arXiv:hep-th/9402019v1 4 Feb 1994

UT-Komaba 94-3

On Global Aspects
Of
Gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten Model

KENTARO HORI [†]

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba
Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

January, 1994

[†] e-mail address: hori@tkyvax.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

INTRODUCTION

Soon after Albert Einstein has presented the general theory of relativity, Hermann Weyl made an attempt to derive the electromagnetic force as well as the gravitational force from one common geometric structure of the space-time.[39] The starting point of his theory is to insist that there is no preferred scale structure in the universe, so that we have to choose a gauge at each space-time point to measure the length of material bodies. Once a gauge is chosen, scales at neighboring two points are compared with the use of a one form $\varphi_\mu dx^\mu$. Namely, when a unit at a point with coordinate x^μ is transported to a point with coordinate $x^\mu + \Delta x^\mu$, it is observed as $(1 - \varphi_\mu(x)\Delta x^\mu)$-times the unit there. If the gauge is changed, the one form $\varphi_\mu dx^\mu$ and the metric $g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$ are transformed as

$$\varphi_\mu \to \varphi_\mu + \tau^{-1}\partial_\mu \tau\,, \qquad g_{\mu\nu} \to \tau^{-1} g_{\mu\nu}\,,$$

where τ is a function valued in positive real numbers. He identified such one form $\varphi_\mu dx^\mu$ as the electromagnetic-potential and developped a theory with the guiding principle of gauge invariance.

His theory itself was not accepted by physical reasons but the principle of gauge invariance survived over the years and became one of the most important guiding principles in constructing candidates for the fundamental theory of particles and fields.

After the birth of quantum mechanics, Weyl himself found that this principle is applicable to the Dirac's theory of electrons provided the 'length' in the original argument is replaced by the 'phase' which has nothing to do with the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The theory of electron field ψ interacting with the electromagnetic fields is invariant under the transformation

$$A_\mu \to A_\mu + e^{-i\theta}\partial_\mu e^{i\theta}\,, \qquad \psi \to e^{-i\theta}\psi\,,$$

where $-iA_\mu dx^\mu$ is the electromagnetic potential and $e^{i\theta}$ is a function of the space-time M with values in the group $U(1)$ of phases. More importantly, nothing like the phase of ψ or the value of the potential $-iA_\mu$ can be observed by physical measurements.

Proceeding analogously to the original argument, we may consider the value $\psi(x)$ of the electron field at a point x as the measured value with respect to a chosen '$U(1)$-gauge' at x denoted by a symbol $s(x)$. The transformation $\psi(x) \to e^{-i\theta(x)}\psi(x)$ can then be considered as the effect of the change of gauge which is denoted by $s(x) \to s(x)e^{i\theta(x)}$, so that the composite of gauge and coefficient looks invariant $s(x)\cdot\psi(x) \equiv s(x)e^{i\theta(x)}\cdot e^{-i\theta(x)}\psi(x)$. We are now tempted to introduce the set P of all $U(1)$-gauges over the space-time points.

There is a projection $\pi : P \to M$ such that the inverse image $P_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ of a point x is the set of $U(1)$-gauges at x. There is an action on P of the group $U(1)$ coming from the changing of gauges. A choice of gauge over M, or equivalently a choice of gauge at each point of M corresponds to the map $s : M \to P$ such that $s(x)$ is a gauge at x. Such a map is called a *section* of P or simply a gauge. If we can find a section s with respect to which the electron field behaves smoothly, we can identify P with the smooth manifold $M \times U(1)$ by $s(x)e^{i\theta} \leftrightarrow (x, e^{i\theta})$.

If we define the set $P\times_{U(1)}V$ for $V = \mathbf{C}^4$ as the set of equivalence classes in $P \times V$ under the equivalence relation $(u, v) \sim (ue^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}v)$, then, the electron field Ψ is a function on M valued in $P\times_{U(1)}V$ where the value $\Psi(x)$ at x is the class represented by $(s(x), \psi(x))$ and denoted by $s(x) \cdot \psi(x)$. There is also a projection $\pi_V : P\times_{U(1)}V \to M$ such that the inverse image $V_x = \pi_V^{-1}(x)$ of a point is identified with the vector space V.

If x and x' are two points in the space-time M, $\Psi(x)$ and $\Psi(x')$ belong to different vector spaces V_x and $V_{x'}$. With the use of the potential $-iA_\mu dx^\mu$, the comparison of two vectors becomes possible for infinitesimal separation between x and x'. Namely, if x and x' are 'near' to each other with the separation Δx^μ, the vector $s(x)\cdot\psi(x) \in V_x$ is transported to $s(x')\cdot(1 - A_\mu(x)\Delta x^\mu)\psi(x) \in V_{x'}$ and compared with the vector $s(x')\cdot\psi(x') \in V_{x'}$. It is easy to see that this transport is invariant under the change of the defining gauge $s \to se^{i\theta}$ together with the transformation of A_μ given above. It is called the parallel transport with respect to $A_\mu dx^\mu$. Taking the limit $\Delta x^\mu \to 0$ of the difference, we obtain the covariant derivative $d_A\Psi(x) = dx^\mu s(x)\cdot(\partial_\mu + A_\mu)\psi(x)$. The parallel transports assign to each point u of P a subspace H_uP (called the *horizontal subspace*) of the tangent space T_uP of P consisting of vectors tangent to the curves $s(x^\mu + t\Delta x^\mu)e^{-tA_\mu(x)\Delta x^\mu}\tilde{u}$ where $u = s(x)\tilde{u}$. This assignment is $U(1)$-covariant in the sense that H_uP is mapped by $e^{i\theta} \in U(1)$ to $H_{ue^{i\theta}}P$. Hence the electromagnetic potential $-iA_\mu dx^\mu$ gives rise to a geometric structure of the space P of gauges. In this sense, $A_\mu dx^\mu$ can be referred to as the gauge field.

This observation has lead to the theory of connections of principal bundles. It generalizes the above theory of gauges and gauge fields to the situation in which the group $U(1)$ may be any Lie group H and in which there may not be a globally defined section $s : M \to P$. Roughly speaking, a principal H-bundle P is a set of all H-gauges at points of M. A connection A is to assign a horizontal subspace to each tangent space of P in H-covariant way. If we choose an H-gauge $s : U \to P$ over an open set U of M, the connection A defines a one form $A^s = A^s_\mu dx^\mu$ on U valued in $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ called the gauge field, in the similar way as in the case of electromagnetism. Under the change $s \to sg$ of gauges, A^s is transformed as

$$A^s \longrightarrow A^{sg} = g^{-1} A^s g + g^{-1} dg \,.$$

For a representation $\rho : H \to GL(V)$ on a vector space V, we can associate to a principal bundle P over M a *vector bundle* $P \times_H V$ over M defined by the equivalence relation $(u, v) \sim (uh, \rho(h)^{-1} v)$ in $P \times V$. A connection A determines a covariant derivative d_A on sections of $P \times_H V$ just as in the case of electron fields.

The above is a purely mathematical generalization. However, with the aid of experimental supports, Yang-Mills fields which are generalizations of the electromagnetic fields to the case of $H = SU(2)$ or $SU(3)$ have come to be believed to mediate some of the fundamental interactions of nature.

According to R.P.Feynman, in such a quantum gauge theory, we have to sum over all configurations that the gauge field can take. A question arises : Should we sum over connections also of non-trivial principal bundles? (A principal bundle P over M is said to be trivial when we can find a globally defined continuous section $s : M \to P$.) An affirmative answer was found in the1970s. The large mass of η' meson may be explained by taking into account the gauge fields of all possible kinds of $SU(3)$-bundles over S^4. Hence, it seems important to investigate quantum gauge theory for topologically non-trivial configurations and to "sum over topologies".

It seems that things become easier if we consider quantum gauge theories in lower dimensions. Especially in two dimensions, it has been observed[2] that exact treatment becomes possible for some class of conformally invariant theories by a neat handling of the infinite dimensional symmetry and its representation theory. In this paper, we shall study exact relationship between the amplitudes for topologically distinct configurations in gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) models — a class of soluble conformaly invariant theories.

This work is inspired by the following observation[17, 27, 25]. The infinite conformal symmetries of the gauged WZW model with compact target group G and compact gauge group H are identified with the Virasoro generators by the so called G/H coset construction [19]. This G/H coset constructed Virasoro algebra acts on the space of highest weight vectors for the H-current algebra in the representation spaces of the G-current algebra. A certain class of external automorphisms of G and H-current algebra, called *spectral flows*, keep invariant the coset constructed Virasoro generators and the highest weight vector conditions for the H-current algebra. Then, a spectral flow induces a certain identification of states with respect to the coset Virasoro algebra. At this stage, a natural question arises : "Does this algebraic identification of states $\gamma|O\rangle \equiv |O\rangle$ lead to the identification of the corresponding quantum fields $\gamma O \equiv O$?" To this problem, there has been no answer with satisfactory explanation. The present paper gives the following answer : "Yes, provided that the gauge group H is chosen appropriately within the local isomorphism class and that the contributions from all the topological types of H-bundles are taken into account." A more refined statement is that a correlator for an H-bundle P with γO-insertion at a point coinsides with a correlator for another bundle $P\gamma$ with O-insertion at the same point.

The above answer has the following significance. First of all, it shows that a large number of soluble conformal field theories are precisely described as lagrange field thories. Any of the unitary minimal models (bosonic or supersymmetric), parafermionic models, $N = 2$ coset models or the twisted topological models and so on is equivalent to a gauged WZW model (or WZW model and free fermionic systems coupled to gauge field) with suitable choice of the gauge group. For example, $(k+2, k+3)$-minimal model is equivalent to the level $(k, 1)$ WZW model with target $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and gauge group $SO(3) = SU(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2$ which acts on the target group by $h : (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (hg_1h^{-1}, hg_2h^{-1})$. Second, it may help to calculate the correlators. The calculation of a correlator for H-bundle P with O-insertion may be easier than the calculation of the correlator for H-bundle $P\gamma^{-1}$ with insertion γO or vise versa.

The answer is based on the obvious identification

$$\pi_0(LH) \cong \pi_1(H)\,, \tag{0.1}$$

between the set of connected components of the loop group LH and the fundamental group of H. A spectral flow mentioned above can be thought of as a representative of an element of $\pi_0(LH)$ and $\pi_1(H)$ is identified with the set of topological types of H-bundles. So it seems that we have only to interpret the spectral flow as acting on the set of H-bundles through the above identification (0.1), as implied in [27]. However, the story is more involved.

In the actual treatment of quantum gauge theory, we first perform the integration along each orbit of the chiral gauge transformations $A_{\bar{z}} \to h^{-1}A_{\bar{z}}h + h^{-1}\partial_{\bar{z}}h$ [16] which is analogous to Weyl's original gauge transformations, and then sum up over the orbits (=over the isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles). Then, we arrive at the following three systems coupled to the common background gauge field representing an isomorphism class of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles : G-WZW model with positive integral level, $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model with negative level and a free fermionic system called the ghost system. Hence we must find a spectral flow that can be interpreted as acting on the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles. It turns out that this is possible only if H is abelian for which the moduli space of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles of one topological type is isomorphic to that of another.

In general, the moduli spaces for different topological types are not isomorphic. On the sphere, since there is only one holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle for each topological type that gives a non-negligible contribution to the correlator, the spectral flow may be interpreted as mapping one 'moduli space' to another. However, the transformation by a spectral flow on the set of gauge invariant fields is intricate and unclear perhaps because the transformation on the representing gauge fields is not canonically determined. This intricacy of the spectral flow has been observed in ref.[28] which deals with the twisted $N = 2$ coset models on the sphere.

Hence, for a general compact group H, we need further reformulation. The solution is to refer to the flag structure at the insertion point of the field under consideration. We define a field $O(f)$ called the *flag partner* of O for each gauge invariant field O and for each flag f at the insertion point. It is shown that the integration of $O(f)$ over flags reproduces O. The spectral flow is defined with respect to a flag and is shown to act on the set of flag partners $O(f) \to \gamma O(f)$. The same spectral flow can be interpreted to act on the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with flag structure at the insertion point. It is checked in several examples that a component of the moduli space relevant in the path-integral is transformed by such a spectral flow to another relevant component of the moduli space. In this way, we arrive at the above answer.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows.

In Chapter 1, we quantize the free fermionic systems with background gauge fields. The representations of infinite dimensional groups on the space of states are discussed and the spectral flow is geometrically realized.

In Chapter 2, we deal with the WZW model of compact simply connected target group G coupled to background gauge field with gauge group G/Z_G. WZW actions for topologically non-trivial G/Z_G-bundles are constructed. Gauge covariant operator formalism is developped and the spectral flow is again realized with geometric meaning.

In Chapter 3, we give a method to perform the integration over the gauge fields. The structure of orbits for the chiral gauge transformation group is reviewed. Neglecting irrelevant orbits, a correlator is expressed as an integral over the moduli space of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles. The latter half of the chapter is devoted to the analysis of of $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model which describes an integral over each chiral gauge orbit.

In Chapter 4, we show the relations between correlators leading to the field identifications. We first introduce the flag partners of gauge invariant fields and find new integral expressions for correlators — integrals over the moduli space of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with flag structure at one point. In several examples, we give explicit description of the moduli space of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with flag structure that gives non-negligible contribution to the integral. Observing the above mentioned identification of the moduli spaces, we obtain the desired relations.

Chapter 5 is the application of the theory of field identification. The existence of a gauge invariant field fixed by a spectral flow is shown to imply the non-vanishing of the partition function for the corresponding H-bundle on the torus. The calculation is attempted for the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ WZW model coupled to the gauge fields of the non-trivial $SO(3)$-bundle.

The main part is Chapter 4. Among several new results, the following are the principal products of the paper which play essential roles in the derivation of the relation (4.0.1) that leads to field identification:

(i) the equation (4.1.20) which expresses a dressed gauge invariant field as an integral over the flag manifold,

(ii) the new integral expression (4.2.22) for correlation functions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Free Fermions **10**

1.1 Path Integral Quantization 10
1.2 The Operator Formalism 15
1.3 Field-State Correspondence and Spectral Flow 21
1.4 Theories of Higher Ranks 24

Chapter 2. Wess-Zumino-Witten Model **29**

2.1 Path Integral Quantization 30
2.2 The WZW Action for General Principal H-bundle 33
2.3 Covariant Operator Formalism 36
2.4 The Spectral Flow 41

Chapter 3. Integration over Gauge Fields **45**

3.1 The Space of Gauge Fields 45
3.2 The Path Integration 52
3.3 WZW Model with Target Space $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$ 57

Chapter 4. Field Identification **66**

4.1 The Flag Partner 66
4.2 A New Integral Expression 72
4.3 The Moduli Space of Holomorphic Principal Bundles with Flag Structure — Examples 78
4.4 The Hecke Correspondence 83
4.5 Field Identification 89

Chapter 5. Sample Calculations **94**

5.1 Differential Equations for Partition and Correlation Functions of WZW Model on Torus 94
5.2 Torus Partition Function for the Trivial Principal Bundle 99
5.3 Torus Partition Functions for Non-Trivial Principal Bundles 104

Concluding Remarks **114**

Acknowledgement **115**

Appendix 1. Lifting the Adjoint Action **116**

Appendix 2. Root Systems and Weyl Groups **117**

Appendix 3. Orthogonality of Characters **120**

References **122**

Index of Notation **124**

CHAPTER 1. FREE FERMIONS

In the first chapter, we review the theory of free fermionic systems on a Riemann surface. In this paper, free fermions appear in two different ways : As a constituent of the matter system and as a ghost system which is indispensable in the consideration of gauge theories. In the present chapter, we first consider the simplest case of one component fermions of spin $(\lambda, 1-\lambda)$ where $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$, and next consider the general multi-component ones. We proceed from the functional integral quantization to the operator formalism. After establishing the field-state correspondence, we look at the effect of screening local fields by external gauge fields of certain configurations. This leads to the geometric definition of the spectral flow.

Now we start with an action integral

$$I_{\Sigma,L} = \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma} \psi_- \bar{\partial}_A \psi_+ + \bar{\psi}_- \partial_A \bar{\psi}_+. \tag{1.0.1}$$

Σ is a compact oriented two-dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g without boundary. The metric g makes Σ a Riemann surface with canonical bundle $K = T^*\Sigma^{(1,0)}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Roughly speaking, K is a holomorphic line bundle with local holomorphic section dz where z is a local coordinate of the Riemann surface Σ. We choose also a hermitian line bundle L with $U(1)$-connection d_A. ψ_+ is an anti-commuting field taking values in $K^{1-\lambda} \otimes L$ where $1-\lambda$ is the spin of ψ_+. ψ_- takes values in $K^{\lambda} \otimes L^{-1}$, $\bar{\psi}_-$ takes values in $\bar{K}^{1-\lambda} \otimes L^{-1}$ and $\bar{\psi}_+$ takes values in $\bar{K}^{\lambda} \otimes L$. Note that K^{λ} is a holomorphic line bundle with local holomorphic section $(dz)^{\lambda}$. If λ is a half-integer, we must choose a sign, i. e. we choose a spin structure within the 2^{2g}-possibilities where g is the genus of Σ. $\bar{\partial}_A$ is the $(0,1)$ part of the covariant exterior derivative on $K^{1-\lambda} \otimes L$: If we choose a local frame $(dz)^{1-\lambda} \otimes \sigma$ and express ψ_+ as $(dz)^{1-\lambda} \otimes \sigma \psi_+^{\sigma}$, we have $\bar{\partial}_A \psi_+ = (dz)^{1-\lambda} \otimes \sigma d\bar{z}(\partial_{\bar{z}} + A^{\sigma}_{\bar{z}})\psi_+^{\sigma}$ where $d_A \sigma = \sigma \cdot A^{\sigma}$. The operator $\bar{\partial}_A$ determines a holomorphic structure on $K^{1-\lambda} \otimes L$ by the following statement : A local section ψ is holomorphic when $\bar{\partial}_A \psi = 0$.

1.1 Path Integral Quantization

The metric g and the hermitian structure of L introduce hermitian inner products on the spaces of sections such as $\Omega^0(\Sigma, K^{1-\lambda} \otimes L)$ or as $\Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, K^{1-\lambda} \otimes L)$. These inner products in turn define Laplace operators $\bar{\partial}_A^{\dagger}\bar{\partial}_A$ on $\Omega^0(\Sigma, K^{1-\lambda} \otimes L)$ and $\Omega^0(\Sigma, K^{\lambda} \otimes L^{-1})$ and $\partial_A^{\dagger}\partial_A$ on $\Omega^0(\Sigma, \bar{K}^{1-\lambda} \otimes L^{-1})$ and $\Omega^0(\Sigma, \bar{K}^{\lambda} \otimes L)$. Then, using the spectral decomposition we can define a measure for functional integration if we regularize in a suitable way the infinite product of eigenvalues of the Laplacian (see e.g. [34], [32]). That is, we can consider the following path integral:

$$Z_{\Sigma,L}(\,g,A\,;\mathcal{O}\,)=\int\mathcal{D}_{g,A}[\psi_+\bar{\psi}_+\psi_-\bar{\psi}_-]\;e^{-I_{\Sigma,L}}\,\mathcal{O},\tag{1.1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}(\psi_+,\bar{\psi}_+,\psi_-,\bar{\psi}_-)$ is any functional of finitely many $\psi_\pm$'s and $\bar{\psi}_\pm$'s. For the integral to be non vanishing, $\mathcal{O}$ must include fermionic integration parameters for the zero modes of $\bar{\partial}_A$ and ∂_A. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, the necessary condition for the non vanishing is $\sharp\,\mathcal{O}=c_1(L)+(2\lambda-1)(1-g)$, where we count the fermion number by $\sharp\psi_+=1$ and $\sharp\psi_-=-1$. [1]

As follows from the translational invariance of the measure $\mathcal{D}\psi\bar{\psi}$, inserted in the correlator, the field ψ_+ behaves as a holomorphic section of $K^{1-\lambda}\otimes L$ over the region without any other operator-insertion. In the same sense, ψ_- behaves holomorphically and $\bar{\psi}_\pm$ behave anti-holomorphically. Another important property is the behavior of the correlation functions including $\psi_+(z)\psi_-(w)$ as z approaches w:

$$Z_{\Sigma,L}(\,g,A\,;\,\psi_+(z)\psi_-(w)\;\mathcal{O}\;)\sim G_{g,A}(z,w)\,Z_{\Sigma,L}(\,g,A\,;\mathcal{O}\,).\tag{1.1.2}$$

$G_{g,A}(z,w)$ is the green function for the $\bar{\partial}_A$ operator. Using local holomorphic frames dz and σ of K and L, we can write the asymptotic behavior as

$$\psi_-(z)\psi_+(w)=(dz)_z^{\lambda}\otimes\sigma(z)^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{z-w}+\;:\!\psi_-^{\sigma}(z)\psi_+^{\sigma}(w)\!:\right\}(dz)_w^{1-\lambda}\otimes\sigma(w).\tag{1.1.3}$$

The expression $:\psi_-^{\sigma}(z)\psi_+^{\sigma}(w):$ is regular as $z\to w$ and we call this the *regularized product* of the coefficients $\psi_-^{\sigma}(z)$ and $\psi_+^{\sigma}(w)$.

Anomaly and Ward Identities

Next we look at the response of path integrals to variations of g and A. We define the *fermion number current* J and the *energy-momentum tensor* T by

$$\delta Z_{\Sigma,L}(\,g,A\,;\mathcal{O}\,)=Z_{\Sigma,L}\Big(\,g,A\,;\Big[\,\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_\Sigma\sqrt{g}d^2x\,\delta g^{ab}T_{ab}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma J\delta A\,\Big]\,\mathcal{O}\Big).\tag{1.1.4}$$

We first consider the variation of (g,A) which preserves the holomorphic structure of (Σ,L). Let ϕ be a real valued function on Σ and $h:L\longrightarrow L$ be an automorphism of complex line bundle. We consider the conformal transformation $g\to ge^{\phi}$ and chiral gauge transformation $A\to A^h$ where the latter is given by $\bar{\partial}_{A^h}=h^{-1}\bar{\partial}_A h$. Note that the classical action is invariant under these transformations together with the replacement

---

[1] Here, $c_1(L)=\int_\Sigma c_1(L,d_A)$ is an integer, not a cohomology class. We often use this abbreviated form.

$\psi_\pm \to h^{\mp}\psi_\pm$ and $\bar{\psi}_\pm \to h^{*\pm}\bar{\psi}_\pm$. In quantum theory, however, due to the regularization of the infinite determinant, this invariance is only preserved up to a prefactor [13]:

$$Z_{\Sigma,L}(\, ge^{\phi}, A^h\,;\mathcal{O}\,) = e^{I(g,A;\phi,hh^*)} Z_{\Sigma,L}(\, g, A\,; h\mathcal{O}\,), \tag{1.1.5}$$

where the exponent of the prefactor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(\, g, A\,;\phi, hh^*) \;&=\; \frac{c_\lambda i}{48\pi}\int_\Sigma \left(\partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + 2R_\Theta\phi\right) - \frac{i}{2\pi}\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)\int_\Sigma \left(\, F_A\phi - \partial\phi\bar{\partial}\varphi\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{4\pi}\int_\Sigma \left[\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi - 2\left(F_A + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)R_\Theta\right)\varphi\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1.6}$$

$c_\lambda = 1 - 12\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2$ is a number called the central charge of the system. φ is a real valued function defined by $hh^* = e^{\varphi}$. $h\mathcal{O}$ is given by $h\mathcal{O}(\psi_\pm, \bar{\psi}_\pm) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1}\psi_+, \psi_- h, h^*\bar{\psi}_+, \bar{\psi}_- h^{*-1})$. R_Θ (resp. F_A) is the curvature of K^{-1} (resp. L) determined by g (resp. A). This phenomenon is called conformal and chiral anomaly. Note also that the prefactor $I(g, A; \phi, hh^*)$ is zero for the unitary automorphism $h : L \longrightarrow L$ where $hh^* = 1$. This fact is important when we consider gauge theory.

Taking the differentials at the identity element of the group of conformal and chiral gauge transformations, we can obtain some usefull identities out of the formula (1.1.5) of anomaly. One of them is the current Ward identity:

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{\Sigma,L}\Big(\, g, A\,; \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma \left(\epsilon\bar{\partial}J - \epsilon^*\partial\bar{J}\right)\mathcal{O}\Big) \\ &\quad = \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma (\epsilon + \epsilon^*)\Big(F_A + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)R_\Theta\Big)\, Z_{\Sigma,L}(\, g, A\,;\mathcal{O}\,) + Z_{\Sigma,L}\Big(\, g, A\,; J(\epsilon)\mathcal{O} + \bar{J}(\epsilon)\mathcal{O}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1.7}$$

where ϵ is an infinitesimal chiral gauge transformation, that is, a section of End$L$ (which is trivial in this abelian case). $J(\epsilon)$ and $\bar{J}(\epsilon)$ are operators defined by $J(\epsilon)\mathcal{O} = (\frac{d}{dt})_0\mathcal{O}(e^{\mp t\epsilon}\psi_\pm, \bar{\psi}_\pm)$ and $\bar{J}(\epsilon)\mathcal{O} = (\frac{d}{dt})_0\mathcal{O}(\psi_\pm, e^{\pm t\epsilon^*}\bar{\psi}_\pm)$. From this, we can read that the current J is a holomorphic one form and $\bar{J}$ is anti-holomorphic one form on an open set without another field-insertion nor with curvature. Then by the same equation, we see that if O is a local field inserted at $x \in \Sigma$ and ϵ is a holomorphic function on a neighborhood U of x over which g and A are chosen to be flat , the following relations hold:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_x \epsilon J\, O = J(\epsilon)O, \qquad \frac{-1}{2\pi i}\oint_x \epsilon^*\bar{J}\, O = \bar{J}(\epsilon)O\,, \tag{1.1.8}$$

where the contour in U encircles x once. The equations (1.1.8) generalize the definition of $J(\epsilon)$ and $\bar{J}(\epsilon^*)$ to the case where ϵ is a meromorphic function with possible singularity at x. Such $J(\epsilon)$ corresponds to the variation of A which amounts to some deformation of the holomorphic structure of L.

The formula (1.1.5) shows also that the $(1,1)$ part of the energy momentum tensor is given by

$$T_{z\bar{z}} = -\frac{c_\lambda}{12} R_{\Theta z\bar{z}} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right) F_{Az\bar{z}}. \tag{1.1.9}$$

We see that we have $T_{z\bar{z}} = 0$ on a region where g and A are flat.

Next, we consider the directions of variation of (g, A) associated to a diffeomorphism f of Σ. The metric g is naturally transformed to f^*g and consequently K is transformed to f^*K. But there is no natural way to transform A preserving L since there is no natural way to lift the action of f to L. For a one parameter group of diffeomorphisms f_t generated by some vector field v, however, we can lift the action to L : the horizontal lift $\tilde{f}_t : L \longrightarrow L$ along the paths. This induces an automorphism $f_t^\sharp$ of $\Omega^*(\Sigma, L)$ by $f_t^\sharp \psi = \tilde{f}_t^{-1} \circ \psi \circ f_t$ for $\psi \in \Omega^*(\Sigma, L)$. The variation $A \to A_t$ is defined by $d_{A_t} = f_t^\sharp d_A f_t^{\sharp -1}$ and we have $\delta d_A = i(v) F_A$. Let $f_t^\flat : \Omega^*(\Sigma, K^\nu \otimes L) \to \Omega^*(\Sigma, f_t^* K^\nu \otimes L)$ be the transformation acting as f_t^* on K-part and $f_t^\sharp$ on L-part. We can see that the variation $(g, A) \to (f_t^* g, A_t)$ is isospectral in the sense that $f_t^\flat$ preserves the spectrum of the Laplacians. Therefore, we have an identity:

$$Z_{\Sigma,L}(\, f_t^* g, A_t \,; \mathcal{O}\,) = Z_{\Sigma,L}(\, g, A \,; f_t \mathcal{O}\,)\,, \tag{1.1.10}$$

where $f_t\mathcal{O}$ is given by $f_t\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(f_t^\flat \psi_\pm, f_t^\flat \bar{\psi}_\pm)$. Taking the derivative at $t = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{\Sigma,L}\Big(\, g, A\,; \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Sigma dz d\bar{z} \Big[\, \partial_{\bar{z}} v^z T_{zz} + (\nabla_z v^z + \nabla_{\bar{z}} v^{\bar{z}})\, T_{z\bar{z}} + \partial_z v^{\bar{z}} T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \,\Big] \mathcal{O} \Big) \\ &\quad + Z_{\Sigma,L}\Big(\, g, A\,; \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Sigma dz d\bar{z} \Big(\, J_z v^z F_{Az\bar{z}} + J_{\bar{z}} v^{\bar{z}} F_{Az\bar{z}} \,\Big) \mathcal{O} \Big) = Z_{\Sigma,L}(\, g, A\,; L_v \mathcal{O}\,)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1.11}$$

where $L_v\mathcal{O}$ is defined by $L_v\mathcal{O} = (\frac{d}{dt})_0 f_t \mathcal{O}$. As in the case of the current J, $T_{zz}(dz)^2$ is holomorphic and $T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}(d\bar{z})^2$ is antiholomorphic on an open set without curvature or without field-insertion. When there is a local field insertion in a flat neighborhood, the Ward identity (1.1.12) shows the following relations:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_x dz v^z(z) T_{zz} O = L_v O\,, \quad \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \oint_x d\bar{z} v^{\bar{z}}(\bar{z}) T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} O = L_{\bar{v}} O\,, \tag{1.1.12}$$

where O is an local field inserted at x , the contours encircle x and $v = v^z(z)\partial/\partial z$ is a holomorphic vector field around x. We can use these equations to define L_v and $L_{\bar{v}}$ for v a meromorphic vector field with possible singularity at x. Such a v corresponds to the deformation of complex structure of Σ. We exemplify the action of L_v on $\psi_\pm$ for holomorphic v:

$$L_v \psi_+(x) = (1 - \lambda) \frac{\partial v^z}{\partial z}(x) \psi_+(x) + v^z(x) \partial_{Az} \psi_+(x)\,.$$

$$L_v\psi_-(x) = \lambda\frac{\partial v^z}{\partial z}(x)\psi_-(x) + v^z(x)\partial_{Az}\psi_-(x)\,.$$

The Currents in terms of Fundamental Fields

Now let us try to find expressions for the current J and energy momentum tensor T in terms of the fundamental fields ψ_+ and ψ_-. By looking at the classical action I, we guess that $J = \psi_-\psi_+$ and $T = -\lambda\psi_-\partial_A\psi_+ + (1-\lambda)\partial_A\psi_-\psi_+$. But since the product of $\psi_-(z)$ and $\psi_+(w)$ have singularity as $z \to w$, we must make sense out of the products $\partial^n\psi_-\partial^m\psi_+$. Natural candidates are the following :

$$J = :\psi_-\psi_+:\,, \qquad T = -\lambda :\psi_-\partial_A\,\psi_+: +(1-\lambda) :\partial_A\psi_-\psi_+:\,, \tag{1.1.13}$$

where the *regularized products* of the fields are defined by

$$:\psi_-\psi_+:(z) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left\{ \psi_-(\zeta_\epsilon)\tau^{(\lambda,-)}_{\zeta_\epsilon,\,z}\tau^{(1-\lambda,+)}_{z,\,w_\epsilon}\psi_+(w_\epsilon) - \frac{dz}{\zeta_\epsilon - w_\epsilon}\right\}, \tag{1.1.14}$$

$$:\psi_-\partial_A\psi_+:(z) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left\{ \psi_-(\zeta_\epsilon)\tau^{(\lambda,-)}_{\zeta_\epsilon,\,z}\tau^{(2-\lambda,+)}_{z,\,w_\epsilon}\partial_A\psi_+(w_\epsilon) - \frac{(dz)^2}{(\zeta_\epsilon - w_\epsilon)^2} + \frac{(dz)^2}{12}\left(\partial_z\omega_z - 2(\omega_z)^2\right)\right\},$$

$$:\partial_A\psi_-\psi_+:(z) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left\{ \partial_A\psi_-(\zeta_\epsilon)\tau^{(1+\lambda,-)}_{\zeta_\epsilon,\,z}\tau^{(1-\lambda,+)}_{z,\,w_\epsilon}\psi_+(w_\epsilon) + \frac{(dz)^2}{(\zeta_\epsilon - w_\epsilon)^2} - \frac{(dz)^2}{12}\left(\partial_z\omega_z - 2(\omega_z)^2\right)\right\}.$$

In the above expressions, ζ_ϵ , z and w_ϵ are on a geodesic τ centered by z with equal distance ϵ. $\tau^{(\mu,-)}_{\zeta,\,z}$ is the parallel transport of $K^\mu\otimes L^{-1}$ along τ from ζ to z, and $\tau^{(\nu,+)}_{z,\,w}$ is the parallel transport of $K^\nu\otimes L$ along τ from w to z. $\omega_z = g^{z\bar z}\partial_z g_{z\bar z}$ is the connection form of K^{-1}. We have added the term $(dz)^2(\partial_z\omega_z - 2\omega_z^2)/12$ to give $-(dz)^2/(\zeta_\epsilon - w_\epsilon)^2$ a covariant meaning in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. We have used the data given only by g and A except for the direction of the geodesic τ. Using the assymptotic behavior of the product $\psi_-(\zeta)\psi_+(w)$, we find that the limit $:\psi_-\psi_+:$ is well defined, but $:\psi_-\partial_A\psi_+:$ as well as $:\partial_A\psi_-\psi_+:$ contains an ambiguous term which depends on this choice of the direction and which is present if the curvature F_A and R_Θ are non zero at z. We have decided to discard this term.

In terms of the regularized product (1.1.3) of the coefficients $\psi^\sigma_\pm$, they are expressed as

$$J_z = \;:\psi^\sigma_-\psi^\sigma_+: - A^\sigma_z - \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)\omega_z\,, \tag{1.1.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{zz} &= -\lambda :\psi^\sigma_-\partial_z\psi^\sigma_+: + (1-\lambda) :\partial_z\psi^\sigma_-\psi^\sigma_+: \qquad (1.1.16)\\ &\quad - A^\sigma_z :\psi^\sigma_-\psi^\sigma_+: + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)\partial_z A^\sigma_z + \frac{1}{2}A^\sigma_z A^\sigma_z - \frac{c_\lambda}{12}\left(\partial_z\omega_z - \frac{1}{2}\omega_z\omega_z\right)\,. \end{aligned}$$

We can explicitly check that these are independent of the choice of of local holomorphic frames dz and σ. It is easy to see that the above candidates of current and energy momentum tensor satisfy the Ward identities (1.1.7), (1.1.9), (1.1.11). Therefore, we conclude that these are the right expressions for J and T in terms of the fundamental fields.

1.2 THE OPERATOR FORMALISM

We proceed to the construction of the operator formalism. We first calculate the commutation relations of the fields ψ_+, ψ_- and currents J_z, T_{zz} over a coordinatized annular open subset of Σ. After the argument on the path integral over fields on a Riemann surface with circle boundary, the space of states is defined. The set of commutation relation of currents is then interpreted as an operator algebra of the infinitesimal generators of infinite dimensional groups acting on the space of states.

Let us now choose an annular neighborhood U of a parametrized circle $c : S^1 \to \Sigma$ with a complex coordinate system z such that $z(c(\theta)) = e^{i\theta}$. We assume that $S = c(S^1)$ devides Σ into two such parts Σ_∞ and Σ_0 that $\Sigma = \Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_0$, $\Sigma_\infty \cap \Sigma_0 = S$ and that $|z(U \cap \Sigma_\infty)| \geq 1$. We also assume that the metric is chosen to be $g^{z\bar{z}} = 8\pi^2|z|^2$ on U and the connection A is chosen to be flat on U with holonomy $e^{-2\pi i a}$ along S. It is easy to show that we can choose a unitary frame s of L on U such that $d_A s = s \cdot (-ia\, d\theta)$ where $z = re^{i\theta}$. Then, $\sigma(z) = s(z)|z|^{-a}$ is a holomorphic frame of L on U : $d_A\sigma = \sigma \cdot (-a)dz/z$.

Laurent Expansion of Fields and Currents

Since ψ_+ and ψ_- are holomorphic sections, we can take the Laurent expansions on U with the following coefficients:

$$\psi_n^{(+)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint \left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^{\lambda} \otimes \sigma(z)^{-1} z^n\, \psi_+(z)\,, \tag{1.2.1}$$

$$\psi_n^{(-)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint \psi_-(z) \left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^{1-\lambda} \otimes \sigma(z)\, z^n. \tag{1.2.2}$$

In the above expressions, the contour may be any circle homotopic to S provided the homotopy does not pass by any other field insertion. From now on, we take the prescription of radial ordering on U. That is, if $\Phi_1(z)$ and $\Phi_2(w)$ are local operators inserted in U with $|z| > |w|$, we always put $\Phi_1(z)$ to the left of $\Phi_2(w)$ in any correlation function. Then, from the singular property of the product of ψ_+ and ψ_- , we see that the following relations hold :

$$\{\psi_n^{(+)}, \psi_m^{(-)}\} = \delta_{n+m,0}\,, \qquad \{\psi_n^{(\pm)}, \psi_m^{(\pm)}\} = 0\ . \tag{1.2.3}$$

Therefore $\psi_n^{(+)}$'s and $\psi_n^{(-)}$'s generate an infinite Clifford algebra. We can also take the Laurent expansion of the regularized product of the coefficients $\psi^\sigma_\pm$ introduced in (1.1.3):

$$
:\psi^\sigma_-(z)\psi^\sigma_+(w): = \sum_{m,n} :\psi^{(-)}_m\psi^{(+)}_n: \, z^{-n-m-1}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)^{-n-1+\lambda} \qquad \text{for} \quad |z|>|w|,
$$

$$
\text{where} \qquad :\psi^{(-)}_m\psi^{(+)}_n: = \begin{cases} \psi^{(-)}_m\psi^{(+)}_n & \text{if} \quad n \geq \lambda \\ -\psi^{(+)}_n\psi^{(-)}_m & \text{if} \quad n < \lambda \quad . \end{cases} \tag{1.2.4}
$$

Using this formula as well as the expressions (1.1.15), (1.1.16), and also $\omega_z = 0$ and $A^\sigma = -a\, dz/z$ we have the expansions $J_z(z) = \sum_n J_n z^{-n-1}$ and $T_{zz}(z) = \sum_n L_n z^{-n-2}$, where the coefficients are given by

$$
J_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\, z^n J_z = \sum_m :\psi^{(-)}_{-m}\psi^{(+)}_{n+m}: + \delta_{n,0}\, a + \delta_{n,0}\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right) \,, \tag{1.2.5}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\, z^{n+1} T_{zz} \qquad\qquad (1.2.6)\\
&= \sum_m \left\{(\lambda n + m) :\psi^{(-)}_{-m}\psi^{(+)}_{n+m}: + a :\psi^{(-)}_{-m}\psi^{(+)}_{n+m}:\right\} + \delta_{n,0}\left(\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)a + \frac{1}{2}a^2 - \frac{c_\lambda}{24}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the radial ordering prescription, they satisfy the following commutation relations :

$$
[J_n, \psi^{(\pm)}_m] = \mp\psi^{(\pm)}_{m+n} \,, \qquad [L_n, \psi^{(\pm)}_m] = -\left(\frac{1}{2}n + m \pm \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)n \mp a\right)\psi^{(\pm)}_{m+n} \,, \tag{1.2.7}
$$

$$
[J_n, J_m] = n\delta_{n+m,0} \,, \tag{1.2.8}
$$

$$
[L_n, J_m] = -mJ_{m+n} + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right)n^2\delta_{m+n,0} \,, \tag{1.2.9}
$$

$$
[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{m+n} + \frac{c_\lambda}{12}n^3\delta_{m+n,0} \,. \tag{1.2.10}
$$

Note that J_n's generate the Heisenberg algebra, the Lie algebra of the basic central extension of the group $LU(1)$ of smooth loops in $U(1)$, and that L_n's generate the Virasoro algebra of central charge c_λ, the Lie algebra of a central extension of the group $Diff\, S^1$ of diffeomorphisms of S^1. In the following, we interpret this mixed Clifford-Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra as an *operator algebra* on a space of states.

Path Integral for a Surface with Boundary Circle

We go over to the holomorphic representations of the fermionic path integrals. Let $|\Sigma_0, A_0; \mathcal{O}_0\rangle$ be the result of path integration over the fields $\psi_\pm$, $\bar\psi_\pm$ on the interior of Σ_0, fixing $\psi_+|_S$ and $\bar\psi_-|_S$ to be $\psi = \sum_n \psi_n z^{-n}(dz/z)^{1-\lambda}\otimes\sigma(z)$ and $\bar\psi = \sum_n \bar\psi_n \bar z^{-n}(d\bar z/\bar z)^{1-\lambda}\otimes\bar\sigma(z)$ respectively, where ψ_n and $\bar\psi_n$ are grassmann odd indeterminates :

$$
|\Sigma_0, A_0; \mathcal{O}_0\,\rangle = \int_{\substack{\psi=\psi_+|_S \\ \bar\psi=\bar\psi_-|_S}} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_0}\psi_\pm\bar\psi_\pm\; e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}}\mathcal{O}_0(\psi_\pm\bar\psi_\pm) \,. \tag{1.2.11}
$$

Similarly, we denote by $\langle\Sigma_\infty, A_\infty; \mathcal{O}_\infty|$ the integral over fields on the interior of Σ_∞ with the same boundary conditions on $\psi_+|_S$ and $\bar\psi_-|_S$:

$$\langle\, \Sigma_\infty, A_\infty; \mathcal{O}_\infty| = \int_{\substack{\psi_+|_S=\psi \\ \bar\psi_-|_S=\bar\psi}} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_\infty}\psi_\pm\bar\psi_\pm\, e^{-I_{\Sigma_\infty}}\mathcal{O}_\infty(\psi_\pm\bar\psi_\pm). \tag{1.2.12}$$

Then the total path integral is given by $\langle\Sigma_\infty, A_\infty; \mathcal{O}_\infty|\Sigma_0, A_0; \mathcal{O}_0\rangle$, where the pairing is the result of path integration $\int\prod_n d\psi_n d\bar\psi_n$ over the fields on the circle S.

We proceed for a while without rigor until we define the spaces to which these states (1.2.11), (1.2.12) belong and give the definition of the pairing. Let us look at the effect of the presence of the contour integrals $\psi_n^{(\pm)}$, $\bar\psi_n^{(\pm)}$. Obviously,

$$|\Sigma_0, A_0; \psi_n^{(+)}\mathcal{O}_0\,\rangle = \psi_n \wedge |\Sigma_0, A_0; \mathcal{O}_0\,\rangle\,. \tag{1.2.13}$$

With the aid of the equation $\bar\partial_A\,\psi_- = 0$ which holds on the interior of Σ_0, we see that

$$|\Sigma_0, A_0; \hat\epsilon\psi_n^{(-)}\mathcal{O}_0\,\rangle = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_0 |\Sigma_0, A_0; \mathcal{O}_0\,\rangle(\psi + t\hat\epsilon v_n)\,, \tag{1.2.14}$$

where $\hat\epsilon$ is a grassmann odd parameter and $v_n = z^n(dz/z)^{1-\lambda}\otimes\sigma$. In a word, the presence of $\psi_n^{(-)}$ has the effect $i(v_n)$ of getting rid of the indeterminate ψ_{-n}. Similar roles are played by $\bar\psi_n^{(-)}$ and $\bar\psi_n^{(+)}$.

Now, let us consider the simple case with $\mathcal{O}_0 = 1$. Let $\mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,-)}$ be the space $\Omega^0(S^1, \mathbf{C})$ of smooth functions on S^1 which is identified, using the frame $\left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^\lambda\otimes\sigma^{-1}$, with the space $\Omega^0(S, K^\lambda\otimes L^{-1})$ of sections of $K^\lambda\otimes L^{-1}|_S$. We consider the subspace $\mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_0}^{(\lambda,-)} \subset \mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,-)}$ identified with the space $H^0(\Sigma_0, K^\lambda\otimes L^{-1})|_S$ of sections that extend holomorphically over Σ_0. Since $\psi_\pm$ behaves holomorphically on the interior of Σ_0, we have

$$\oint w\psi|\Sigma_0, A_0\,\rangle \;=\; 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad w \in \mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_0}^{(\lambda,-)}\,, \tag{1.2.15}$$

and

$$i(v)|\Sigma_0, A_0\,\rangle \;=\; 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad v \in \mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_0}^{(1-\lambda,+)}\,. \tag{1.2.16}$$

These conditions together with the anti-holomorphic counterparts determine the state $|\Sigma_0, A_0\rangle$ up to constant. To see this, we need to know more about the subspaces $\mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_0}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}$. Let H_+ (resp.H_-) be the subspace of $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,-)}$ consisting of one particle states $z^n(dz/z)^\lambda\otimes\sigma^{-1}$ of positive frequency $n \geq 0$ (resp.negative frequency $n < 0$). As the following argument shows, the subspace $\mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_0}^{(\lambda,-)}$ is, in a sense, near to $z^{-d}\mathrm{H}_+$ for some integer d. Let us cap the boundary S of Σ_0 by the disc $D_\infty = \{z^{-1} \in \mathbf{C}; |z| \geq 1\}$ and denote the resulting Riemann surface by $\widehat\Sigma_0$. Extend the line bundle $L|_{\Sigma_0}$ to $\widehat\Sigma_0$ by declairing that the frame σ extend holomorphically over D_∞ and denote the result by $\hat{L}_0$. Then, both

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{W}^{(\lambda,-)}_{\Sigma_0} \cap z^{1-\lambda}\mathrm{H}_- &\cong H^0(\widehat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{K}_0^\lambda \otimes \hat{L}_0^{-1}) \qquad (1.2.17) \\ \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{H}/(\mathrm{W}^{(\lambda,-)}_{\Sigma_0} + z^{1-\lambda}\mathrm{H}_-) &\cong H^1(\widehat{\Sigma}_0, \hat{K}_0^\lambda \otimes \hat{L}_0^{-1}) \qquad (1.2.18) \end{aligned}$$

are finite dimensional and the difference of the dimensions is given by the index $-c_1(\hat{L}_0)+(2\lambda-1)(g_0-1) =: d+1-\lambda$, where g_0 is the genus of $\widehat{\Sigma}_0$. This shows that $\mathrm{W}^{(\lambda,-)}_{\Sigma_0}$ has a dense subspace spanned by a sequence $\{w_n\}_{n=-d}^\infty$ of elements having finite ordre of poles at $z=\infty$:

$$w_n = \left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^\lambda \otimes \sigma^{-1}\left(z^{s_n} + \sum_{m<s_n} z^m T_{m,n}\right), \qquad (1.2.19)$$

where $s_n = n$ for sufficiently large n. In this sense we say that $\mathrm{W}^{(\lambda,-)}_{\Sigma_0}$ has virtual dimensions d relative to H_+. Similar argument shows also that $\mathrm{W}^{(1-\lambda,+)}_{\Sigma_0} \subset \mathrm{H}^{(1-\lambda,+)}$ have virtual dimensions $-d$ relative to $z\mathrm{H}^{(1-\lambda,+)}_+$. With respect to the natural pairing of $\mathrm{H}^{(1-\lambda,+)}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,-)}$, $\mathrm{W}^{(\lambda,-)}_{\Sigma_0}$ is contained in the ortho-complement $\left(\mathrm{W}^{(1-\lambda,+)}_{\Sigma_0}\right)^\perp$ of $\mathrm{W}^{(1-\lambda,+)}_{\Sigma_0}$. But the virtual dimension of the latter relative to H_+ is d and coinsides with that of the former. So the pairing

$$\mathrm{W}^{(1-\lambda,+)}_{\Sigma_0} \times \mathrm{H}/\mathrm{W}^{(\lambda,-)}_{\Sigma_0} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \qquad (1.2.20)$$

is non-degenerate. This fact together with the conditions (1.2.15), (1.2.16) shows that the state $|\Sigma_0, A_0\rangle$ is proportional to the "product" of infinite elements:

$$|\Sigma_0, A_0\rangle \propto \prod_{n=-d}^{\infty} \oint w_n \psi \prod_{n=-d}^{\infty} \oint \bar{w}_n \bar{\psi}\,, \qquad (1.2.21)$$

where $\{w_n\}_{n=-d}^\infty$ (resp.$\{\bar{w}_n\}_{n=-d}^\infty$) is a base of the space of holomorphic sections of $\hat{K}_0^\lambda \otimes \hat{L}_0^{-1}$ (resp.anti-holomorphic sections of $\hat{\bar{K}}_0^{\lambda} \otimes \hat{\bar{L}}_0^{-1}$) having pole at $z=\infty$ of finite ordre.

Definition of the Space of States and Representations of Infinite Dimensional Groups

Motivated by this "semi-infinite product of grassmann odd indeterminates", we introduce infinite dimensional grassmann manifold and determinant line bundle which provides a natural description of the representation of loop groups on the space of states. The theory is fully developped in [37], [31] and [36]. We choose an inner product on the space H of one particle states such that $\{z^n (dz/z)^\lambda \otimes \sigma^{-1}\}_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}$ forms an orthonormal base and take the Hilbert space completion[2]. The grassmann manifold Gr_{H_+} relative to the subspace H_+ is the set of subspaces *comparable* with H_+ where we say that a subspace $\mathrm{W} \subset \mathrm{H}$ is comparable with H_+ when the projection $\mathrm{W} \to \mathrm{H}_+$ is a Fredholm operator (kernel and cokernel are both finite dimensional) and the projection $\mathrm{W} \to \mathrm{H}_-$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator (for some orthonormal base $\{e_n\}$, $\sum_n ||pr_-(e_n)||^2 < \infty$). For example, $\mathrm{W}^{(\lambda,-)}_{\Sigma_0}$ is comparable with H_+ since the projection to $z^{1-\lambda}\mathrm{H}_+$ (and hence to H_+) has finite dimensional kernel and cokernel as we have seen, and the projection to H_- can be seen to be Hilbert-Schmidt by the same argument as in [37]. The manifold Gr_{H_+} consists of infinite number of connected components classified by the virtual dimensions relative to H_+. The group GL_{res} defined by $GL_{res} = \{f \in GL(\mathrm{H})\,;\, pr_{\mp} \colon f\mathrm{H}_{\pm} \to \mathrm{H}_{\mp}\text{are both Hilbert-Schmidt}\}$ acts transitively on Gr_{H_+}. It contains as subgroups the group $Diff_+S^1$ of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S^1 and the group $L\mathbf{C}^*$ of smooth loops in $\mathbf{C}^*$ which act on the space H by[3]

---

[2] In the following, for any subspace we encounter, we always take the completion.

$$f \in Diff_+S^1 : w \to f^\flat w \quad \text{and} \qquad h \in L\mathbf{C}^* : w \to w.h^{-1}. \tag{1.2.22}$$

In the above expression, $w.h^{-1} \in \mathrm{H}$ is defined by the point-wise multiplication.

As in the finite dimensional case, we can define the determinant line bundle $Det_{\mathrm{H}_+} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{C}} Gr_{\mathrm{H}_+}$. Since the determinant cannot be defined for general operator on an infinite dimensional space, GL_{res} does not act on Det_{H_+} but its central extension $\tilde{GL_{res}}$ does.[4] The dual bundle $Det^*_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ has plenty of holomorphic sections. Hence we have a representation of $\tilde{GL_{res}}$ on the space $\Gamma = H^0(Gr_{\mathrm{H}_+}, Det^*_{\mathrm{H}_+})$ and a representation on its dual $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+} = \Gamma^*$. An element $w_\bullet$ in the determinant line $Det_{\mathrm{H}_+}(\mathrm{W})$ over $\mathrm{W} \in Gr_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ of virtual dimension d is represented by a base $\{w_n\}_{n=-d}^{\infty}$ of W with the following property : If we denote the integral $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint w_n\psi$ by $\psi(w_n)$, the semi-infinite product

$$\psi(w_\bullet) = \psi(w_{-d})\psi(w_{-d+1})\psi(w_{-d+2})\cdots\cdots \tag{1.2.23}$$

can be expanded $\psi(w_\bullet) = \sum_{\mathrm{S}\in\mathcal{S}_d} \pi_{\mathrm{S}}(w_\bullet)\psi_{\mathrm{S}}$ by the "monomials"

$$\psi_{\mathrm{S}} = \psi_{s_{-d}}\psi_{s_{-d+1}}\psi_{s_{-d+2}}\cdots \qquad \text{for} \quad \mathrm{S} = \{s_{-d}, s_{-d+1}, s_{-d+2}, \cdots\} \tag{1.2.24}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_d$ is the set of subsets $\mathrm{S} = \{s_{-d}, s_{-d+1}, \cdot\cdot\} \subset \mathbf{Z}$ such that $s_n < s_{n+1}$ for every n and that $s_n = n$ for sufficiently large n. It can be shown that the coefficients $\pi_{\mathrm{S}}(w_\bullet)$

---

[3] It should be noted that the action of $Diff_+S^1$ is well-defined only if a is an integer. Otherwise, (1.2.22) must be understood as an infinitesimal representation. In the latter case, the operator on H is generally unbounded, see [31].

[4] The extension $1 \to \mathbf{C}^* \to \tilde{GL_{res}} \to GL_{res} \to 1$ corresponds to the extension of the Lie algebra whose cocycle is given by

$$c\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{H}_+}(b_2c_1 - b_1c_2)$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is a representation matrix with respect to the decomposition $\mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}_+ \oplus \mathrm{H}_-$.

are square summable: $\sum_{\mathrm{S}} |\pi_{\mathrm{S}}(w_\bullet)|^2 < \infty$. By the evaluation map $\Gamma \times Det_{\mathrm{H}_+} \to \mathbf{C}$, we may consider $\psi(w_\bullet)$ as an element of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ and the vector space spanned by monomials $\{\psi_{\mathrm{S}}\,;\, \mathrm{S} \in \mathcal{S} = \cup_d \mathcal{S}_d\}$ can be shown to be a dense subspace of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$. Together with the observation (1.2.21), this makes us to decide to take $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ as (the holomorphic part of) the space of states $|\Sigma_0, A_0; \mathcal{O}_0\rangle$ appearing on the *outgoing* circle.

We can now identify the relations (1.2.7)∼(1.2.10) as the commutation relations of operators on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$. As was noted, it is natural to interpret $\psi_+(w)$ as $\psi(w)\wedge$ for $w \in \mathrm{H} = \mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,-)}$ and $\psi_-(v)$ as $i(v)$ for $v \in \mathrm{H}^{(1-\lambda,+)}$. If $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{GL}_{res}$ covers the element $g \in GL_{res}$, then we have

$$\tilde{g}\psi_+(w)\tilde{g}^{-1} = \psi_+(gw)\,, \qquad \tilde{g}\psi_-(v)\tilde{g}^{-1} = \psi_-(gv)\,. \tag{1.2.25}$$

If we define the groups $\widetilde{Diff_+S^1}$ and $\widetilde{L\mathbf{C}^*}$ as the pull backs of $\widetilde{GL_{res}}$ by the embedding map of $Diff_+S^1$ and $L\mathbf{C}^*$ to GL_{res} respectively, they have representations on the space $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ of states. Then, the commutation relations (1.2.7) are nothing but the infinitesimal version of the relations (1.2.25), if L_n and J_n are interpreted as the infinitesimal generators of that representations.

As (the holomorphic part of) the space of states $\langle\Sigma_\infty, A_\infty; \mathcal{O}_\infty|$ appearing on the *incoming* circle, we take $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_-}$ the dual of the space of holomorphic sections of the dual determinant bundle $Det^*_{\mathrm{H}_-}$ over the manifold Gr_{H_-}. This is motivated by the fact that the space $\mathrm{W}^{(\lambda,-)}_{\Sigma_\infty}$ of holomorphic sections over Σ_∞ is comparable with H_-. We arrange the element of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_-}$ as a sum of monomials $\{\psi_{\mathrm{R}}\,;\, \mathbf{Z} - \mathrm{R} \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of the form

$$\psi_{\mathrm{R}} = \cdots\cdots\psi_{r_{-d-2}}\psi_{r_{-d-1}}\psi_{r_{-d}} \qquad \text{for} \quad \mathrm{R} = \{\cdots, r_{-d-2}, r_{-d-1}, r_{-d}\}. \tag{1.2.26}$$

Now we can define the pairing $\int \prod_n d\psi_n$ of the two spaces $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_-}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ in the obvious way: for $\eta = \sum_{\mathrm{R}} \eta_{\mathrm{R}}\psi_{\mathrm{R}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_-}$ and $\xi = \sum_{\mathrm{S}} \xi_{\mathrm{S}}\psi_{\mathrm{S}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$, we put

$$\langle \eta, \xi\rangle = \sum_{\mathrm{S}\in\mathcal{S}} (-1)^{\{\mathbf{Z}-\mathrm{S}:\mathrm{S}\}}\eta_{\mathbf{Z}-\mathrm{S}}\xi_{\mathrm{S}}\,, \tag{1.2.27}$$

where $(-1)^{\{\mathbf{Z}-\mathrm{S}:\mathrm{S}\}}$ is the ratio of $\psi_{\mathbf{Z}-\mathrm{S}}\psi_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\psi_{\mathbf{Z}_{<0}}\psi_{\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}}$.

1.3 Field-State Correspondence and Spectral Flow

In this section, we introduce the notion of field-state correspondence. We also define the spectral flow as the transformation of the space of states corresponding to the screening of local fields by an external gauge field of certain configuration. It is identified with the action of a certain element of the disconnected component of the loop group $\widetilde{L\mathbf{C}}^*$. To start with, we record such configuration of gauge field. Since it will be frequently used in this paper to define the spectral flow in various systems, we record it in full generality.

The Basic Configuration

We consider a trivial principal bundle P_0 with a compact gauge group H over the unit disc $D_0 = \{z \in \mathbf{C}\,;\, |z| \leq 1\}$ provided with a trivialisation $s_0 : D_0 \to P_0$. We choose a maximal torus T of H and denote its Lie algebra by $\mathrm{Lie}(T)$. We also choose and fix a cut off function $\varrho : D_0 \to [0,1]$ such that $\varrho = 0$ on a neighborhood of $z = 0$ and $\varrho = 1$ on a neighborhood of the boundary $S = \partial D_0$. We assume that ϱ is rotationally invariant : $\varrho = \varrho(|z|^2)$. The *basic gauge field* A_a for $ia \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)$ is given by the following form of covariant derivative $d_{A_a} s_0 = s_0 \cdot A_a^{s_0}$:[5]

$$A_a^{s_0} = \varrho\,\frac{a}{2}\left(\frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} - \frac{dz}{z}\right) = -\varrho\, ia\, d\theta\,. \tag{1.3.1}$$

We can always find a holomorphic trivialization of the complexified bundle $P_0^{\mathbf{C}}$ with group $H_{\mathbf{C}}$, that is, we can find such a section σ_0 of $P_0^{\mathbf{C}}$ that $\bar{\partial}_{A_a}\sigma_0 = 0$ for vector bundles $P_0^{\mathbf{C}} \times_{H_{\mathbf{C}}} V$ coming from holomorphic representations $H_{\mathbf{C}} \to GL(V)$. If we find a map $h_0 : D_0 \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ which satisfies $h_0 \bar{\partial} h_0^{-1} = \varrho(a/2)d\bar{z}/\bar{z}$, then $\sigma_0 = s_0 h_0$ is the desired section. Especially, we can find the solution of the type $h_0 = e^{f_0(|z|^2)}$ with $f_0(0) = 0$. Such a solution behaves as $h_0 = c_\varrho^{-a}|z|^{-a}$ on a neighborhood of the boundary S where $log\, c_\varrho = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \varrho(x)dx/x$.

If $a \in i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ lies in the lattice $\mathrm{P}^\vee = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\exp^{-1}(1) \subset i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$, then we can find a horizontal section (or a horizontal frame) $\sigma = s_0 e^{ia\theta}$ on a neighborhood of S. This horizontal frame σ defined around S and the holomorphic frame σ_0 defined on D_0 are related to each other by a holomorphic transition function $h_a(z) = c_\varrho^{-a} z^{-a}$:

$$\sigma_0(z) = \sigma(z) h_a(z)\,, \tag{1.3.2}$$

on a neighborhood of S.

---

[5] We define the angle θ and the radius r by $z = re^{i\theta}$. s_0 here is represented as a frame of some vector bundle associated to P_0.

Field-State Correspondence

Now let us introduce a one to one correspondence between local fields and states. In a word, the state corresponding to a field is the result of propagation of the field through the *standard disc*. We take as the standard disc D_0, the disc with a complex coordinate $z : D_0 \overset{\cong}{\to} \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |z| \leq 1\}$ which gives a parametrisation of the boundary $S = \partial D_0$ and with a metric $g_0 = g_{0z\bar{z}} dz d\bar{z} + c.c.$ which is canonically flat $g_0^{z\bar{z}} = 2$ on a neighborhood of $z = 0$ and behaves like cylinder $g_0^{z\bar{z}} = 8\pi^2|z|^2$ near the boundary S. It has a unit curvature $\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{D_0} R(g_0) = 1$. Also we take as the standard line bundle L_{D_0}, the trivial bundle with a canonically flat connection A_0 and a horizontal frame s_0 which provides a horizontal frame σ near S.

We insert a local field O at the center $z = 0$ of D_0. We denote by $|O\rangle$ the state $|D_0, A_0\,; O(0)\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{H_+} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\bar{H}_+}$ corresponding to O with respect to the frame σ. For $O = 1$, the state $|1\rangle$ is determined by the conditions (1.2.15), (1.2.16) up to proportionality which depends on the metric g_0. Because $\mathrm{W}_{D_0}^{(\lambda,-)} = \{z^n \left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^{\lambda} \otimes \sigma^{-1};\, n \geq \lambda\}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{D_0}^{(1-\lambda,+)} = \{z^n \left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^{1-\lambda} \otimes \sigma\,;\, n \geq 1 - \lambda\}$, the result is

$$|1\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes \overline{|0\rangle}\,, \tag{1.3.3}$$

where the vacuum $|0\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ and $\overline{|0\rangle} \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\mathrm{H}}_+}$ are given by

$$|0\rangle = \psi_\lambda \psi_{\lambda+1} \psi_{\lambda+2} \cdots\,, \qquad \overline{|0\rangle} = \bar{\psi}_\lambda \bar{\psi}_{\lambda+1} \bar{\psi}_{\lambda+2} \cdots\,. \tag{1.3.4}$$

For a non trivial field $O \neq 1$, we can determine $|O\rangle$ easily. For example, since the field $\psi_+^{s_0}(0)$ can be obtained by contracting the contour integral $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint z^{-1}(dz)^\lambda \otimes \sigma^{-1}\psi_+ = \psi_{\lambda-1}^{(+)}$, we have $|\psi_+^{s_0}\rangle = \psi_{\lambda-1}^{(+)}|1\rangle = \psi_{\lambda-1}\psi_\lambda\psi_{\lambda+1}\cdots \otimes \overline{|0\rangle}$. In the similar way, we have $|\partial_z^n \psi_+^{s_0}\rangle = n!\psi_{\lambda-n-1}\psi_\lambda\psi_{\lambda+1}\cdots \otimes \overline{|0\rangle}$ and $|\psi_-^{s_0}\rangle = \psi_{-\lambda}^{(-)}|1\rangle = \psi_{\lambda+1}\psi_{\lambda+2}\psi_{\lambda+3}\cdots \otimes \overline{|0\rangle}$ etc.

We now comment on the action of the generators J_n and L_n. Since the metric g_0 is not flat, neither J_z nor T_{zz} can be holomorphic.Taking into account the Levi-Civita coonection terms in the expressions (1.1.15) and (1.1.16), we have

$$J_n|O\rangle = \left|\Big(J(z^n) + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)\delta_{n,0}\Big)O\right\rangle\,, \qquad L_n|O\rangle = \left|\Big(L_{z^{n+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} - \frac{c_\lambda}{24}\delta_{n,0}\Big)O\right\rangle\,, \tag{1.3.5}$$

where the fields in the right hand sides are defined in (1.1.8), (1.1.12). A field O with eigen value $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ for $(L_{z\partial/\partial z}, L_{\bar{z}\partial/\partial\bar{z}})$ and eigen value $(q, \bar{q})$ for $(J(1), \bar{J}(1))$ is said to have conformal weight $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ and charge $(q, \bar{q})$. Then, for such a field O, we have

$$J_0|O\rangle = \Big(q + \lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)\,|O\rangle\,, \qquad L_0|O\rangle = \Big(\Delta - \frac{c_\lambda}{24}\Big)\,|O\rangle\,, \tag{1.3.6}$$

and similar equations for $\bar{J}_0$ and $\bar{L}_0$.

The Spectral Flow

Now let us consider, instead of the canonically flat connection, the basic configuration A_a of gauge field for $H = U(1)$ such that $a \in \mathbf{R}$ lies in the lattice $\frac{1}{2\pi i} exp^{-1}(1) = \mathbf{Z}$. We consider the line bundle L_{D_0} to be associated to P_0 by the representation $(u, c) \in U(1) \times \mathbf{C} \mapsto uc \in \mathbf{C}$. We get a new version of the field-state correspondence $O \leftrightarrow |O\rangle_a$ where the latter is the state $|D_0, A_a\,; O\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\mathrm{H}}_+}$ with respect to the horizontal frame σ along S. We call the transformation $\tilde{h_a} : |O\rangle \mapsto |O\rangle_a$ of the space $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\bar{\mathrm{H}}_+}$ of states the *spectral flow*. As the following argument shows, this operator $\tilde{h_a}$ can be identified as the action of an element of the group $\tilde{L\mathbf{C}^*}$ which is not in the identity component but in the component of *winding number a*.

Since the frame $\sigma_0 = \sigma h_a$ extend holomorphically over D_0 and behaves as a unitary horizontal frame in a small neighborhood of $z = 0$, for $w \in \mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,-)}$ and $v \in \mathrm{H}^{(1-\lambda,+)}$ we have

$$\psi_+(w)\tilde{h_a}|O\rangle = \tilde{h_a}\psi_+(w.h_a)|O\rangle\,, \qquad \psi_-(v)\tilde{h_a}|O\rangle = \tilde{h_a}\psi_-(h_a^{-1}.v)|O\rangle\,, \tag{1.3.7}$$

and similar equations for the anti-holomorphic part of the fields. In particular, the state $|1\rangle_a$ satisfies the conditions $\psi_n^{(+)}|1\rangle_a = 0$ for $n \geq \lambda + a$ and $\psi_n^{(-)}|1\rangle_a = 0$ for $n \geq 1 - \lambda - a$. So we have $|1\rangle_a = |a\rangle \otimes \overline{|a\rangle}$ where $|a\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{H_+}$ and $\overline{|a\rangle} \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{H}_+}$ are given by

$$|a\rangle = \psi_{\lambda+a}\psi_{\lambda+a+1}\psi_{\lambda+a+2}\cdots\,, \qquad \overline{|a\rangle} = \bar{\psi}_{\lambda+a}\bar{\psi}_{\lambda+a+1}\bar{\psi}_{\lambda+a+2}\cdots\,. \tag{1.3.8}$$

The intertwining relation of this spectral flow $\tilde{h_a}$ and the currents J and T can be read in the formulae (1.2.5) and (1.2.6) :

$$\begin{aligned} J_n\,\tilde{h_a}|O\rangle &= \tilde{h_a}(J_n + a\delta_{0,n})|O\rangle\,, & (1.3.9)\\ L_n\tilde{h_a}|O\rangle &= \tilde{h_a}\Big\{L_n + aJ_n + \frac{1}{2}a^2\,\delta_{0,n}\Big\}|O\rangle\,. & (1.3.10)\end{aligned}$$

We may consider the state $|O\rangle_a$ to correspond in the standard way to a new field O_a. If a field O has conformal weight $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ and charge $(q, \bar{q})$, the new field O_a has conformal weight $({}^a\Delta, {}^a\bar{\Delta})$ and charge $({}^aq, {}^a\bar{q})$ related to the old ones by the following

$${}^a\Delta = \Delta + qa + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)a + \frac{1}{2}a^2\,, \qquad {}^aq = q + a\,, \tag{1.3.11}$$

and similar equations for ${}^a\bar{\Delta}$ and ${}^a\bar{q}$.

To summarize, *if a field O is screened by the basic gauge field A_a, it becomes another field O_a where the change of conformal weight and charge is given by (1.3.11). The* *transformation $O \mapsto O_a$ corresponds to the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_a : \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ which comes from the action of an element of the group $L\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^*$ of winding number a.*

1.4 Theories of Higher Ranks

Let us next consider a system of multi-component fermions. The classical action I is the same as (1.0.1) provided that the fields take values in *vector bundles*. We consider the vector bundles with strucures finer in general than hermitian structure. So, let H be a compact Lie group, and V be a finite dimensional complex vector space with a unitary representation of H. Let P be a principal H bundle with conncetion A and E be the associated V bundle $E = P \times_H V$. We consider four kinds of anti-commuting fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+ &\in \Omega^0(\Sigma, K^{1-\lambda} \otimes E) \ , \qquad & \bar{\psi}_+ &\in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \bar{K}^{\lambda} \otimes E) \ , \\ \psi_- &\in \Omega^0(\Sigma, K^{\lambda} \otimes E^*) \ , \qquad & \bar{\psi}_- &\in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \bar{K}^{1-\lambda} \otimes E^*) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where E^* is the dual bundle $P \times_H V^*$ of E. In the process of quantisation, things are essentially the same as in the case of one-component fermions but some modifications are required.

Energy momentum tensor T and H-current J are defined by (1.1.4) where δA is a one form valued in the adjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}P = P \times_H \mathrm{Lie}(H)$. Therefore, the current J takes values in the coadjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}P^*$. The conformal and chiral anomaly (see equation (1.1.5)) for the transformation $g \to e^{\phi} g$, $\bar{\partial}_A \to h^{-1} \bar{\partial}_A h$ where h is an automorphism of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(g, A\,; \phi, hh^*) \ = \ & \frac{c_{\lambda,V}\, i}{48\pi} \int_\Sigma \Big(\partial\phi \bar{\partial}\phi + 2 R_\Theta \phi \Big) - \frac{i}{2\pi} \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \Big) \int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_{E} \Big(F_A \phi - \partial\phi (hh^*)^{-1} \bar{\partial}(hh^*) \Big) \\ & + I_E \Big(A + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \Big) \Theta, hh^* \Big) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{1.4.1}$$

where $c_{\lambda,V} = c_\lambda \mathrm{dim} V$. I_E in the above equation is the Wess-Zumino-Witten action for the bundle E which is determined by the following conditions:

$$\Big(\frac{d}{dt} \Big)_0 I_E \Big(A + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \Big) \Theta, e^{t\epsilon} \Big) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_{E} \Big\{ \epsilon \Big(F_A + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \Big) R_\Theta \Big) \Big\} \ , \tag{1.4.2}$$

$$I_E \Big(A + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \Big) \Theta, \ h_1 h_2 h_2^* h_1^* \Big) = I_E \Big(A^{h_1} + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \Big) \Theta, \ h_2 h_2^* \Big) + I_E \Big(A + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \Big) \Theta, \ h_1 h_1^* \Big) \ . \tag{1.4.3}$$

A description of the Wess-Zumino-Witten action is given in the next chapter. The Ward identities satisfied by J and T have exactly the same form as (1.1.7), (1.1.9) and (1.1.11) though, this time, we need to put tr_{E} in suitable places. The expressions for J and T in terms of the fundamental fields $\psi_\pm$, $\bar\psi_\pm$ are given by

$$J\epsilon = :\psi_-\epsilon\,\psi_+: , \qquad T = -\lambda :\psi_-\partial_A\psi_+: + (1-\lambda) :\partial_A\psi_-\psi_+: , \tag{1.4.4}$$

where the definitions of the regularized products are the obvious generalisations of (1.1.14). If we choose a local section σ of P or $P_{\mathbf{C}}$, then it defines local frames : σ_{V} of E, $\sigma_{V}^{-1} = \sigma_{V^*}$ of E^*, σ_{ad} of $\mathrm{ad}P$ or of $\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}$, etc. With respect to these frames, we have the local expressions $\psi_+ = (dz)^{1-\lambda}\otimes\sigma_{V}\psi_+^\sigma$ and $\psi_- = (dz)^{\lambda}\otimes\sigma_{V}^{-1}\psi_-^\sigma$ in terms of the vector valued coefficients $\psi_+^\sigma(z)\in V$ and $\psi_-^\sigma(z)\in V^*$. Using the obvious generalization to this case of the definition (1.1.3) of the normal ordered product of the coefficients, J and T are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} J_z(\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}X) &= \ :\psi_-^\sigma X\psi_+^\sigma: -\mathrm{tr}_{V}\,(A_z^\sigma X) - \Big(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\mathrm{tr}_{V}(X)\omega_z\ , && (1.4.5)\\ T_{zz} &= -\lambda :\psi_-^\sigma\partial_z\psi_+^\sigma: +(1-\lambda):\partial_z\psi_-^\sigma\psi_+^\sigma: -\frac{c_{\lambda,V}}{12}\Big(\partial_z\omega_z-\frac{1}{2}\omega_z\omega_z\Big) \\ &\quad - :\psi_-^\sigma A_z^\sigma\psi_+^\sigma: +\mathrm{tr}_{V}\Big(\Big(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\partial_z A_z^\sigma+\frac{1}{2}A_z^\sigma A_z^\sigma\Big)\ . && (1.4.6)\end{aligned}$$

Operator Formalism

As in the case of one-component fermion, we choose a circle $c: S^1\to S\subset\Sigma$ which devides Σ into two parts Σ_∞ and Σ_0 with a complex coordinate z on an annular neighborhood U of S. We choose a metric g flat on U with the coefficient $g^{z\bar z}=8\pi^2|z|^2$ and a connection A of P which is also flat on U admitting a holomorphic section $\sigma: U\to P_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the form $A^\sigma = -a\,dz/z$ where $ia\in\mathrm{Lie}(H)$. We consider the Laurent expansion of $\psi_\pm$. If $\{e_{\mathrm{I}}\}_{\mathrm{I}\in I(V)}$ is a base of V dual to a base $\{e^{\mathrm{I}}\}_{\mathrm{I}\in I(V)}$ of V^* where $I(V)$ is an indexing set, the coefficients $\psi_n^{(+)} = e_{\mathrm{I}}\psi_n^{\mathrm{I}(+)}\in V$ and $\psi_n^{(-)} = e^{\mathrm{I}}\psi_{n,\mathrm{I}}^{(-)}\in V^*$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_n^{\mathrm{I}(+)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint\left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^{\lambda}\otimes\sigma_{V}(z)^{-1}e^{\mathrm{I}}z^n\,\psi_+(z)\ , && (1.4.7)\\ \psi_{n,\mathrm{I}}^{(-)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint\psi_-(z)\left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^{1-\lambda}\otimes\sigma_{V}(z)e_{\mathrm{I}}z^n\ . && (1.4.8)\end{aligned}$$

They satisfy the following anti-commutation relations in the radial ordering prescription:

$$\{\psi_{n,\mathrm{J}}^{(-)},\psi_m^{\mathrm{I}(+)}\} = \delta_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{I}}\delta_{n+m,0}\ , \qquad \{\psi_n^{(\pm)},\psi_m^{(\pm)}\}=0\ . \tag{1.4.9}$$

If we define the normal ordered product of these coefficients by

$$:\psi_{m,\mathrm{I}}^{(-)}\psi_n^{\mathrm{J}(+)}: = \begin{cases} \psi_{m,\mathrm{I}}^{(-)}\psi_n^{\mathrm{J}(+)} & \text{if } n\ge\lambda \\ -\psi_n^{\mathrm{J}(+)}\psi_{m,\mathrm{I}}^{(-)} & \text{if } n\le\lambda-1\ , \end{cases} \tag{1.4.10}$$

the Laurent coefficients of the expansions of J_z and T_{zz} can be written as

$$J_n(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\, z^n J_z(\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}X) = \sum_m :\psi^{(-)}_{-m} X \psi^{(+)}_{n+m}: + \delta_{n,0}\mathrm{tr}_V\Big(aX + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)X\Big), \tag{1.4.11}$$

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\, z^{n+1} T_{zz} \tag{1.4.12}$$

$$= \sum_m \Big\{(\lambda n + m) :\psi^{(-)}_{-m}\psi^{(+)}_{n+m}: + :\psi^{(-)}_{-m}\, a\, \psi^{(+)}_{n+m}:\Big\} + \delta_{n,0}\mathrm{tr}_V\Big(\Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)a + \frac{1}{2}a^2 - \frac{c_\lambda}{24}\Big) ,$$

where $X \in \mathrm{Lie}(H)_{\mathbf{C}}$. The commutation relations satisfied by them are

$$[J_n(X), \psi^{(\pm)}_m] = \mp X \psi^{(\pm)}_{n+m} , \qquad [L_n, \psi^{(\pm)}_m] = -\Big(\frac{1}{2}n + m \pm \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)n \mp a\Big)\psi^{(\pm)}_{n+m} , \tag{1.4.13}$$

$$[J_n(X), J_m(Y)] = J_{n+m}([X,Y]) + n\delta_{n+m,0}\mathrm{tr}_V(XY) , \tag{1.4.14}$$

$$[L_n, J_m(X)] = -J_{n+m}(mX - [a,X]) + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)n^2\delta_{n,0}\mathrm{tr}_V(X) , \tag{1.4.15}$$

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \frac{c_{\lambda,V}}{12}n^3\delta_{n+m,0} . \tag{1.4.16}$$

We can consider these commutation relations to have come from a projective representation of the group $LH_{\mathbf{C}}$ of loops in $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ and the group $Diff\, S^1$ of diffeomorphisms of S^1 on a space of states. The choice of the space of states prodeeds just as in the case of one component fermions. That is, the space of states is chosen to be the dual $\mathcal{F}^V_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ of the space of holomorphic sections of the bundle $Det^*_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ over the grassmann manifold $Gr^V_{\mathrm{H}_+}$. This grassmannian $Gr^V_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ consists of subspaces of the space $\mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,V^*)} \cong \Omega^0(S, K^\lambda \otimes E^*)$ of one particle states comparable with the subspace $\mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,V^*)}_+$ of particles of positive frequency. We should note that we have to choose a base $\{e^{\mathrm{I}}\}_{\mathrm{I}\in I(V)}$ of V^* and a total ordering $<$ of the indexing set $I(V)$ to define the determinant line bundle Det_{H_+} (or its dual). The space $\mathcal{F}^V_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ is spanned by the semi-infinite products of grassmann-odd indeterminates of the following form

$$\psi^{\mathrm{I}_1}_{n_1}\psi^{\mathrm{I}_2}_{n_2}\psi^{\mathrm{I}_3}_{n_3}\cdots\psi^{\mathrm{I}_s}_{n_s}\psi^V_N\psi^V_{N+1}\psi^V_{N+2}\cdots , \tag{1.4.17}$$

where N is some integer and ψ^V_n for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ in the above expression is given by

$$\psi^V_n = \psi^{\mathrm{J}_1}_n\psi^{\mathrm{J}_2}_n\psi^{\mathrm{J}_3}_n\cdots\psi^{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{dim}V}}_n \qquad ; \quad \mathrm{J}_1 < \mathrm{J}_2 < \mathrm{J}_3 < \cdots < \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{dim}V}. \tag{1.4.18}$$

The contour integrals $\psi^{\mathrm{I}(+)}_n$ and $\psi^{(-)}_{n,\mathrm{I}}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}^V_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ by $\psi^{\mathrm{I}(+)}_n = \psi^{\mathrm{I}}_n\times$ and $\psi^{(-)}_{n,\mathrm{I}} = i(\upsilon_{n,\mathrm{I}})$ where $\upsilon_{n,\mathrm{I}}$ is the element $\left(\frac{dz}{z}\right)^{1-\lambda} \otimes \sigma_V e_{\mathrm{I}} \in \mathrm{H}^{(1-\lambda,V)}$ and $i(\upsilon)$ is the inner derivation $i(\upsilon)F(\psi) = (d/d\hat{\epsilon})F(\psi + \hat{\epsilon}\upsilon)$.

Field -State Correspondence and Spectral Flow

Let (D_0, g_0, z) be the standard disc with metric and coordinate introduced previously, and let $E_0^V = P_0 \times_H V$ be a vector bundle with fibre V associated to the trivial H-bundle P_0 over the disc D_0. We choose a canonically flat connection A_0 and a frame s_0 horizontal with respect to A_0. Then we have a one to one correspondence $O \leftrightarrow |O\rangle = |g_0, A_0; O(0)\rangle$ between fields and states. For example, we have the state corresponding to the identity : $|1\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes \overline{|0\rangle}$ where $|0\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^V_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ and $\overline{|0\rangle} \in \mathcal{F}^{V^*}_{\bar{\mathrm{H}}_+}$ are given by

$$|0\rangle = \psi^V_\lambda \psi^V_{\lambda+1} \psi^V_{\lambda+2} \cdots , \qquad \overline{|0\rangle} = \bar{\psi}^{V^*}_\lambda \bar{\psi}^{V^*}_{\lambda+1} \bar{\psi}^{V^*}_{\lambda+2} \cdots . \tag{1.4.19}$$

We now choose a maximal torus T of H. A field O is said to have conformal weight Δ and charge $q \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)^*_{\mathbf{C}}$ if it satisfies $L_{z(\partial/\partial z)} O = \Delta O$ and $J(x)O = q(x)O$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)_{\mathbf{C}}$. Then, the state $|O\rangle$ corresponding to such a field O satisfies

$$J_0(x)|O\rangle = \Big(q(x) - \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big) \mathrm{tr}_V(x) \Big) |O\rangle , \qquad L_0 |O\rangle = \Big(\Delta - \frac{c_{\lambda,V}}{24} \Big) |O\rangle , \tag{1.4.20}$$

for $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Next, we consider the *basic configuration* A_a of gauge field where $a \in i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ is in the lattice $T^\vee = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\exp^{-1}(1)$. We get a new version of the correspondence $O \leftrightarrow |O\rangle_a$ where the latter is the state $|g_0, A_a; O(0)\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^V_{\mathrm{H}_+} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{V^*}_{\bar{\mathrm{H}}_+}$ with respect to the horizontal frame σ_V along S. The transformation $\widetilde{h_a} : |O\rangle \to |O\rangle_a$ of $\mathcal{F}^V_{\mathrm{H}_+} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{V^*}_{\bar{\mathrm{H}}_+}$ is called the *spectral flow.* It is given by the action of an element of the group $\widetilde{LH}_{\mathbf{C}}$. (The element may or maynot be in a non-trivial connected component of the group. It depends.)

Since $\sigma_0 = \sigma h_a$ extends holomorphically over D_0, the intertwining relation of this spectral flow $\widetilde{h_a}$ and the currents $\psi_\pm$, J_n and L_n are given by the following :

$$\psi_+(w)\widetilde{h_a}|O\rangle = \widetilde{h_a}\psi_+(w.h_a)|O\rangle , \qquad \psi_-(v)\widetilde{h_a}|O\rangle = \widetilde{h_a}\psi_-(h_a.v)|O\rangle , \tag{1.4.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(x)\widetilde{h_a}|O\rangle &= \widetilde{h_a}\left(J_n(x) + \delta_{n,0}\mathrm{tr}_V(ax)\right)|O\rangle , & (1.4.22)\\ J_n(e_\alpha)\widetilde{h_a}|O\rangle &= c_\varrho^{\alpha(a)}\widetilde{h_a}J_{n+\alpha(a)}(e_\alpha)|O\rangle , & (1.4.23)\\ L_n\widetilde{h_a}|O\rangle &= \widetilde{h_a}\Big\{L_n + J_n(a) + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{n,0}\mathrm{tr}_V(a^2)\Big\}|O\rangle , & (1.4.24)\end{aligned}$$

where $w \in \mathrm{H}^{(\lambda, V^*)}$, $v \in \mathrm{H}^{(1-\lambda, V)}$, $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $e_\alpha \in \mathrm{Lie}(H)$ is a root vector for a root $\alpha \in \Delta$ of H. We take the base $\{e_{\mathrm{I}}\}_{\mathrm{I} \in I(V)}$ of V consisting of vectors of definite weight : $xe_{\mathrm{I}} = \lambda_{\mathrm{I}}(x)e_{\mathrm{I}}$ for $x \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)_{\mathbf{C}}$. This enables us to write down the state $|1\rangle_a$ in a rather explicit way. With the aid of (1.4.21), we have $|1\rangle_a = |a\rangle \otimes \overline{|a\rangle}$ up to proportionality where

$|a\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^{V}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ and $\overline{|a\rangle} \in \mathcal{F}^{V^*}_{\bar{\mathrm{H}}_+}$ are given by

$$|a\rangle = \prod_{\mathrm{I}\in I(V)} \prod_{n=1}^{|\lambda_{\mathrm{I}}(a)|} \psi^{(a)}_{n,\mathrm{I}} |0\rangle \,, \qquad \overline{|a\rangle} = \prod_{\mathrm{I}\in I(V)} \prod_{n=1}^{|\lambda_{\mathrm{I}}(a)|} \bar{\psi}^{(a)}_{n,\mathrm{I}} \overline{|0\rangle} \,, \tag{1.4.25}$$

where $\psi^{(a)}_{n,\mathrm{I}}$ in the right hand side of the first formula is given by

$$\psi^{(a)}_{n,\mathrm{I}} = \begin{cases} \psi^{\mathrm{I}(+)}_{\lambda-n} & \text{if } \lambda_{\mathrm{I}}(a) < 0 \\ \psi^{(-)}_{1-\lambda-n\,,\mathrm{I}} & \text{if } \lambda_{\mathrm{I}}(a) > 0 \ . \end{cases} \tag{1.4.26}$$

$\bar{\psi}^{(a)}_{n,\mathrm{I}}$ is given in the similar way.

We may consider the state $|O\rangle_a$ to correspond in the standard way to a new field O_a. If a field O has conformal weight $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ and charge $(q, \bar{q})$, the new field O_a has conformal weight $({}^a\Delta, {}^a\bar{\Delta})$ and charge $({}^aq, {}^a\bar{q})$ related to the old ones by

$${}^a\Delta = \Delta + q(a) + \mathrm{tr}_V\Big(\Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)a + \frac{1}{2}a^2\Big) \,, \qquad {}^aq(x) = q(x) + \mathrm{tr}_V(ax) \,, \tag{1.4.27}$$

and similar equations for ${}^a\bar{\Delta}$ and ${}^a\bar{q}$.

To summarize, *if a field O is screened by the basic gauge field A_a, it becomes another field O_a where the change of conformal weight and charge is given by (1.4.27). The transformation $O \mapsto O_a$ corresponds to the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_a : \mathcal{F}^{V}_{\mathrm{H}_+} \to \mathcal{F}^{V}_{\mathrm{H}_+}$ which comes from the action of an element of the group $\widetilde{LH}_{\mathbf{C}}$.*

CHAPTER 2. WESS-ZUMINO-WITTEN MODEL

In this chapter, we study the WZW models for compact groups coupled to external gauge fields of various topological types. Introducing a line bundle over the loop group, we construct the WZW action for topologically non-trivial configurations. We develop a gauge covariant operator formalism and establish the field-state correspondence. Finally, we describe the spectral flow as the screening of fields by non-flat gauge field without holonomy.

Let G be a compact, connected and simply connected Lie group with center Z_G and let H be the quotient group G/Z_G. H acts on G as an automorphism group by $(h,g) \to hgh^{-1}$.[6] Let P be a principal H bundle over a compact Riemann surface Σ. Note that the set of topological types of H-bundle over Σ is naturally identified with $\pi_1(H) \cong Z_G$. Let $\mathrm{ad}_G P$ be the associated G fibering $\mathrm{ad}_G P = P \times_H G$ and $\mathrm{ad}_{G_{\mathbf{C}}} P$ be the $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ fibering. The WZW action $I = I_{\Sigma,P}(A,g)$ is a functional of the triple of a Riemann surface Σ, a connection A of P and a section g of $\mathrm{ad}_{G_{\mathbf{C}}} P$ which is characterized by the following relations:

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_0 I_{\Sigma,P}(A\,, e^{t\epsilon}\,) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_P(\epsilon\, F_A)\ , \tag{2.0.1}$$

$$I_{\Sigma,P}(A^h, g) = I_{\Sigma,P}(A, hgh^*) - I_{\Sigma,P}(A, hh^*)\ . \tag{2.0.2}$$

In the above expressions, ϵ is a section of $\mathrm{ad}P = P \times_H \mathrm{Lie}(H)$ and $h : P_{\mathbf{C}} \to P_{\mathbf{C}}$ is an automorphism which gives a transformation $\bar\partial_A \to \bar\partial_{A^h} = h^{-1}\bar\partial_A h$. tr_P is the trace of $\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{ad}P)$ normalized by $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{ad}P} = 2\mathrm{g}^\vee \mathrm{tr}_P$ where $\mathrm{g}^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of G.[7] The latter (2.0.2) is named as the Polyakov-Wiegmann (P-W) identity. These properties are just what we would expect for the chiral anomaly in the model of multi-component spin-half fermions (see (1.4.2), (1.4.3)). Indeed, WZW model was first introduced as the non-abelian bosonization of spin-half fermions [40]. If P is topologically trivial, choosing a trivialization, we can write the action in a rather explicit way:

$$\begin{aligned} I_\Sigma(A,g) \;=\; & \frac{i}{4\pi}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}\Big(\partial g^{-1}\bar\partial g\Big) - \frac{i}{12\pi}\int_{B_\Sigma} \mathrm{tr}\Big(\,\tilde g^{-1} d\tilde g\,\Big)^3 \\ & + \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}\Big(\, g\partial g^{-1}A'' + A'g^{-1}\bar\partial g + A'g^{-1}A''g - A'A''\Big)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.0.3}$$

---

[6] We denote the element $[h]$ of H by the same letter as a representative h in G.

[7] If G is not simple, P decomposes as $P = P_1 \times_\Sigma \cdots \times_\Sigma P_M$ according to the decomposition $G = \prod_{i=1}^M G_i$ into simple factors. Then, $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{ad}P} = 2\mathrm{g}^\vee \mathrm{tr}_P$ should be understood as $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{ad}P_i} = 2\mathrm{g}_i^\vee \mathrm{tr}_{P_i}$ for each i.

where B_Σ is a three manifold with boudary $\partial B_\Sigma = \Sigma$, $\tilde{g}$ is an extension of $g : \Sigma \to G$ to $\tilde{g} : B_\Sigma \to G$. A' and A'' are $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbf{C}}$ valued $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ forms representing the connection A with respect to the chosen trivialization. tr is defined by $2\mathrm{g}^\vee \mathrm{tr}(AB\cdots) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{Lie}(G)}(\mathrm{ad}A\mathrm{ad}B\cdots)$ and induces an invariant bilinear form in the space spanned by roots such that $||\alpha||^2 = 2$ for a long root α. We can see that the above action integral is independent of the choice of B_Σ and $\tilde{g}$ up to the addition of $2\pi i\mathbf{Z}$. If the H bundle P is topologically non trivial, the expression for the action is more involved. We will exhibit shortly how to construct it. In any case, $e^{nI_{\Sigma,P}(A,g)}$ is well defined if $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

2.1 Path Integral Quantization

Now, let us quantize the system with the classical action $kI_{\Sigma,P}(A,g)$ for $k \in \mathbf{N}$[8] considering A as an external gauge field. A Riemannian metric g on Σ defines an adjoint invariant inner product on the vector space $\Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P)$[9] and in turn, this inner product defines a left-right invariant Riemannian metric h_g on the space $\mathcal{G}_{P,G} = \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}_G P)$ of smooth sections of $\mathrm{ad}_G P$. We assume without proof that this metric h_g defines a left-right invariant measure $\mathcal{D}_g g$ on $\mathcal{G}_{P,G}$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}_{P,G}} \mathcal{D}_g g\, f(agb) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{P,G}} \mathcal{D}_g g\, f(g) \ , \tag{2.1.1}$$

where a and b are sections of $\mathrm{ad}_{G_{\mathbf{C}}} P$ and f is a function on $\Gamma(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}_{G_{\mathbf{C}}} P)$ which is well behaved in some sense. The center of our interest is the behavior of the function

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g, A\, ; \mathcal{O}\,) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{P,G}} \mathcal{D}_g g\ e^{-kI_{\Sigma,P}(A,g)}\, \mathcal{O}(g) \ , \tag{2.1.2}$$

under the variation of (g, A). As the field insertion $\mathcal{O}$,we consider

$$\mathcal{O}(g) = \prod_{l=1}^{s} \rho_{\Lambda_l}(g(x_l)) \ , \tag{2.1.3}$$

where $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_s$ are points in Σ, Λ_l parametrizes a unitary irreducible representation of G on a vector space V_{Λ_l} and $x \mapsto \rho_\Lambda(g(x))$ is the section of $\mathrm{ad}_{GL(V_\Lambda)} P$ determined by g. Note that H acts on $GL(V_\Lambda)$ by $(h, M) \to hMh^{-1}$ by the Schur's lemma.

---

[8] If G is a direct product $\prod_{i=1}^M G_i$ of simple groups, the level k is a sequence of natural numbers $k = (k_1, \cdots, k_M)$ and $kI_{\Sigma,P}$ should be understood as the sum $\sum_{i=1}^M k_i I_{\Sigma,P_i}$ where I_{Σ,P_i} is the WZW action for the principal G_i/Z_{G_i}-bundle P_i.

[9] Remark that the pointwise composition makes the space $\mathcal{G}_{P,G} = \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}_G P)$ an infinite dimensional Lie group with Lie algebra $\Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P)$.

Ward Identities

The left-right invariance of the measure (2.1.1) and the P-W identity (2.0.2) lead to the following response of $Z(\mathcal{O})$ to the chiral gauge transformation $A \to A^h$ by $h : P_{\mathbf{C}} \to P_{\mathbf{C}}$:

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g, A^h; \mathcal{O}\,) = e^{kI_{\Sigma,P}(A,hh^*)} Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g, A\,; h\mathcal{O}\,) \ , \tag{2.1.4}$$

where $h\mathcal{O}$ is defined by $h\mathcal{O}(g) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1}gh^{*-1})$. This formula leads to the following Ward identities for the insertion of the current J (see (1.1.4) for the definition of the current) :

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\, g, A\,; \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma (J\bar\partial_A\epsilon - \bar J\partial_A\epsilon^*)\,\mathcal{O}\Big) &(2.1.5)\\ &\quad = \frac{ik}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_P\Big((\epsilon+\epsilon^*)F_A\Big)\, Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g, A\,;\mathcal{O}\,) + Z_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\, g, A\,; J(\epsilon)\mathcal{O} + \bar J(\epsilon)\mathcal{O}\Big) \ , \\ &Z_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\, g, A\,; \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma \Big(J\bar\partial_A\epsilon_1\Big)\, J\epsilon_2(x)\,\mathcal{O}\Big) &(2.1.6)\\ &\quad = k\,\mathrm{tr}_P(\partial_A\epsilon_1\epsilon_2)(x) Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g, A\,;\mathcal{O}\,) + \frac{ik}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_P(\epsilon_1 F_A)\, Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g, A\,; J\epsilon_2(x)\,\mathcal{O}\,) \\ &\qquad + Z_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\, g, A\,; J[\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2](x)\,\mathcal{O} + J\epsilon_2(x)\, J(\epsilon_1)\mathcal{O}\Big) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where $J(\epsilon)$ and $\bar J(\epsilon)$ are defined by $J(\epsilon)\mathcal{O}(g) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \mathcal{O}(e^{-t\epsilon}g)$ and $\bar J(\epsilon)\mathcal{O}(g) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \mathcal{O}(ge^{-t\epsilon^*})$. To derive (2.1.6), we have used the relation

$$\delta_A I_{\Sigma,P}(A, hh^*) = \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_P\Big(\, hh^*\partial_A(hh^*)^{-1}\delta A'' + \delta A'(hh^*)^{-1}\bar\partial_A(hh^*)\,\Big) \ , \tag{2.1.7}$$

which is easily proved for the action given in (2.0.3) and which also holds for the action we shall construct for the topologically non-trivial P.

We assume that $Z(\mathcal{O})$ behaves under the conformal transformation $g \to ge^\phi$ as[10]

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, ge^\phi, A\,;\mathcal{O}\,) = e^{cS_L(g,\phi) - \sum_l \Delta_l\phi(x_l)} Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g, A\,;\mathcal{O}\,) \ , \tag{2.1.8}$$

where Δ_l's and $c = c_{G,k}$ are numbers to be determined. The appearance of the factor $-\Delta_l\phi(x_l)$ could be understood to come from the renormalization of the field $\rho_{\Lambda_l}(g(x_l))$ with respect to the scale determined by the metric g. This leads to the following expression for the $(1,1)$-part of the energy momentum tensor :

$$T_{z\bar z} = -\frac{c_{G,k}}{12} R_{\Theta z\bar z} - 2\pi i \sum_{l=1}^{s} \Delta_l \delta_{x_l z\bar z} \ . \tag{2.1.9}$$

Next we derive Ward identities for insertion of the energy momentum tensor. Let $f_t : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ be a one parameter group of diffeomorphisms generated by a vector field v

---

[10] $S_L(g,\phi)$ is the Liouville functional $\frac{i}{48\pi}\int_\Sigma (\partial\phi\bar\partial\phi + 2R_\Theta\phi)$ which has already appeared in Chapter 1.

and let $\tilde{f}_t : P \to P$ be its horizontal lift with respect to the connection A. We consider the variation $(g, A) \to (f_t^* g, \tilde{f}_t^* A)$ for which we think of A as the connection form on P. Then, since the automorphism $f_t^\sharp$ of the Lie group $\mathcal{G}_{P,G} = \Gamma(\mathrm{ad}_G P)$ defined by $(f_t^\sharp g)(x) = \tilde{f}_t^{-1} g(f_t(x))$ is an isometry of the Riemannian manifolds $f_t^\sharp : (\,\mathcal{G}_{P,G}, h_g\,) \to (\mathcal{G}_{P,G}, h_{f_t^* g})$ and since we can prove the invariance of the classical action $I_{f_t^*\Sigma,P}(\tilde{f}_t^* A, f_t^\sharp g) = I_{\Sigma,P}(A, g)$, we have the following identity :

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, f_t^* g, \tilde{f}_t^* A\,;\mathcal{O}\,) = Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g, A\,; f_t\mathcal{O}\,)\ , \tag{2.1.10}$$

where $f_t\mathcal{O}$ is given by $f_t\mathcal{O}(g) = \mathcal{O}(f_t^\sharp g)$. With the aid of the expression (2.1.9) and $\delta(\,g\,,A\,) = (\,L_v g\,, i(v)F_A\,)$, the infinitesimal version of the identity (2.1.10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,g, A\,;\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma dz d\bar{z}\Big[\,\partial_{\bar{z}} v^z T_{zz} - \frac{c_{G,k}}{12}(\nabla_z v^z + \nabla_{\bar{z}} v^{\bar{z}}) R_{\Theta z\bar{z}} + \partial_z v^{\bar{z}} T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}\,\Big]\,\mathcal{O}\,\Big) \\ &\quad + Z_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,g, A\,;\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma [(v^z J_z + v^{\bar{z}}\bar{J}_{\bar{z}})F_A]\,\mathcal{O}\,\Big) = Z_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,g, A\,; L_v\mathcal{O} + \sum_{l=1}^{s}\Delta_l(\nabla_z v^z + \nabla_{\bar{z}} v^{\bar{z}})_{x_l}\mathcal{O}\,\Big)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.11}$$

where L_v is defined by $L_v\mathcal{O} = (\frac{d}{dt})_0 f_t\mathcal{O}$.

Local Expression of the Current and the Sugawara Construction

Let us take a local holomorphic section σ of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ over an open subset $U_\sigma \subset \Sigma$. With respect to this section, the connection is represented by a $(1,0)$ form $A^\sigma = A_z^\sigma dz$ and the curvature is given by $F_A = \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}\bar{\partial} A^\sigma$. From the first Ward identity (2.1.5), we see that we can take the local holomorphic part J^σ of the current J :

$$J\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}} X = J^\sigma(X) - k\,\mathrm{tr}(A^\sigma X)\ , \tag{2.1.12}$$

where $X \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbf{C}}$. Looking at the second Ward identity (2.1.6), we see the following singular behavior of the product of two currents:

$$J_z^\sigma(X)(z)\, J_z^\sigma(Y)(w) = \frac{k\,\mathrm{tr}(XY)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{1}{z-w} J_z^\sigma([X,Y])(w) + :J_z^\sigma(X)(z)\, J_z^\sigma(Y)(w):\ , \tag{2.1.13}$$

where the last term in the right hand side is regular as $z \to w$.

Classically the current and the energy momentum tensor are given by $J\epsilon = k\mathrm{tr}_P(g\partial_A g^{-1}\epsilon)$ and $T_{zz} = \frac{k}{2}\mathrm{tr}_P(g\partial_{Az} g^{-1})^2$, and we see that T_{zz} has a quadratic expression in terms of currents : $T_{zz} = \frac{1}{2k}(J_z, J_z)_P$ where $(\ ,\ )_P$ is the bilinear form on $(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})^*$ induced by tr_P. We shall assume that in the quantum theory the energy momentum tensor still has an expression quadratic in the currents. However, the product $J_z(z)J_z(z)$ is seen to be ill-defined in view of the equation (2.1.13), so we consider the following regularization :

$$:(J,J):=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left\{\left(\tau_z^{\zeta_\epsilon}J(\zeta_\epsilon),\tau_z^{w_\epsilon}J(w_\epsilon)\right)_P-k\,\mathrm{dim}G\left(\frac{(dz)^2}{(\zeta_\epsilon-w_\epsilon)^2}-\frac{(dz)^2}{12}(\partial_z\omega_z-2\omega_z^2)\right)\right\}. \tag{2.1.14}$$

In the above expression, ζ_ϵ, z, and w_ϵ are on a geodesic τ with equal distance $d_g(\zeta_\epsilon,z)=d_g(z,w_\epsilon)=\epsilon$ and τ_z^w is the parallel transform of $K\otimes(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})^*$ along τ from w to z. We add the term $-(dz)^2(\partial_z\omega_z-2\omega_z^2)/12$ to give $(dz)^2/(\zeta_\epsilon-w_\epsilon)^2$ a covariant meaning in the limit $\epsilon\to 0$. Taking the assymptotic behavior (2.1.13) into account, we see that the limit $\epsilon\to 0$ is well defined up to a term which depends on the direction of the geodesic τ and which we discard. This normal ordered product $:(J,J):$ has a local expression in terms of the holomorphic part J^σ (2.1.12) of the current and their regularized product given in (2.1.13):

$$\begin{aligned} :(J_z,J_z): \;&=\; \eta^{\mathrm{ab}}:J_z^\sigma(e_{\mathrm{a}})J_z^\sigma(e_{\mathrm{b}}): \qquad (2.1.15)\\ &\quad +2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\left\{-J_z^\sigma(A_z^\sigma)+\frac{k}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(A_z^{\sigma 2})-\frac{k\,\mathrm{dim}G}{12(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)}\left(\partial_z\omega_z-\frac{1}{2}\omega_z^2\right)\right\}\;. \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_{\mathrm{a}}\}$ is a base of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\eta^{\mathrm{ab}}\mathrm{tr}(e_{\mathrm{b}}e_{\mathrm{c}})=\delta^{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

Now let us assume that T_{zz} is proportional to $:(J_z,J_z):$. Inserting the expression (2.1.15) into the Ward identity (2.1.11), we see that we must have

$$T_{zz}=\frac{1}{2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)}:(J_z,J_z):\;, \tag{2.1.16}$$

$$\text{and}\qquad c_{G,k}=\frac{k\,\mathrm{dim}G}{k+\mathrm{g}^\vee}\;,\qquad \Delta_l=\frac{C_2(\Lambda_l)}{2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)}\;, \tag{2.1.17}$$

where $C_2(\Lambda)$ is a constant defined by $C_2(\Lambda)=\eta^{\mathrm{ab}}\rho_\Lambda(e_{\mathrm{a}})\rho_\Lambda(e_{\mathrm{b}})$. This assumption is non-trivial and together with the Ward identities (2.1.5), (2.1.6) lead to the functional differential equations for $Z_{\Sigma,P}(g,A;\mathcal{O})$ which, in the topologically trivial case, gave the non-perturbative definitions of the partition and correlation functions [24], [11], [3].

2.2 THE WZW ACTION FOR GENERAL PRINCIPAL H-BUNDLE

We choose a parametrized circle $c:S^1\to S\subset\Sigma$ which devides Σ into two parts Σ_∞, Σ_0 with boundary $S=\partial\Sigma_0=-\partial\Sigma_\infty$ and a complex coordinate z defined on a neighborhood U of S just as in Chapter 1. In the next section, we consider the *states* $Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0;\mathcal{O}_0)$ and $Z_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty;\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ formally given by the integral

$$Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0;\mathcal{O}_0)(\gamma)\;=\;\int_{\gamma=g|_S}\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_0}g\,e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A_0,g)}\,\mathcal{O}_0(g)\;, \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$Z_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty,\mathcal{O}_\infty)(\gamma)\;=\;\int_{g|_S=\gamma}\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_\infty}g\,e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty,g)}\,\mathcal{O}_\infty(g)\;, \tag{2.2.2}$$

and develop an operator formalism which is *covariant* in a sense that becomes clear. To do that, we first construct the weights e^{-kI} for Riemann surfaces with a circle boundary, following the way found by Felder, Gawedzki and Kupiainen [12]. This leads to a construction of the WZW action $I_{\Sigma,P}(A,g)$ for general principal H-bundle P .

The Line Bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{wz}}$ over the Loop Group $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$

We start with defining the weight $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g)}$ for a Lie(H) valued one form A and a $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ valued function g on Σ_0 with the boundary loop $\gamma = g|_S \in LG_{\mathbf{C}}$. We "cap" the surface Σ_0 by the disc $D_\infty = \{z^{-1} \in \mathbf{C}; |z|^{-1} \leq 1\}$ and denote the capped surface by $\hat{\Sigma}_0$. If we choose a map $g_\infty : D_\infty \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$ with $g_\infty|_{-\partial D_\infty} = \gamma$, we can define the action $I_{\hat{\Sigma}_0}(0 \star A, g_\infty \star g)$ by (2.0.3) where $(0\star A, g_\infty \star g)$ coincides with $(0, g_\infty)$ on D_∞ and with (A, g) on Σ_0. Since we do not have a canonical way to choose g_∞, we consider the set $D_\infty G_{\mathbf{C}}|_\gamma = \{g_\infty : D_\infty \to G_{\mathbf{C}}\,;\, g_\infty|_S = \gamma\}$ of all extensions and put a suitable equivalence relation[11] in $D_\infty G_{\mathbf{C}}|_\gamma \times \mathbf{C}$ which gives a complex line $\left(\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}}\right)_\gamma$ so that the class

$$e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g)} = \{(g_\infty, e^{-kI_{\hat{\Sigma}_0}(0\star A, g_\infty \star g)})\} \in \left(\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}}\right)_\gamma \tag{2.2.3}$$

is independent of the choice g_∞ of extension. Gathering all the loops $\gamma \in LG_{\mathbf{C}}$, we obtain a *line bundle* $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}} = \bigcup_\gamma (\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}})_\gamma$. Therefore, the wave function $\gamma \mapsto Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0; \mathcal{O}_0)(\gamma)$ is a *section* of $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}}|_{LG} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{C}} LG$.

The group structure of $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ lifts by $\{(g_1, c_1)\}\{(g_2, c_2)\} = \{(g_1 g_2, e^{-k\Gamma_{D_\infty}(g_1,g_2)} c_1 c_2)\}$ to the semigroup structure of $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}}$ where $\Gamma_{D_\infty}(g,h)$ is given by $\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{D_\infty} \mathrm{tr}(h\partial h^{-1} g^{-1}\bar{\partial} g)$. The set $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{wz}})^\times$ of non-zero elements in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{wz}}$ is the basic central extension $\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}}$ of $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$. Most strikingly the weight $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}}$ satisfies the following version of the Polyakov-Wiegmann identity :

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,hgh^*)} &= e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,h)} e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A^h,g)} e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,h^*)} e^{-k\Gamma_{\Sigma_0}(A,h,h^*)}\ , && (2.2.4)\\ &;\quad \Gamma_{\Sigma_0}(A,h,h^*) = \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_0} \mathrm{tr}\Big(h^*\partial_A h^{*-1} h^{-1}\bar{\partial}_A h\Big)\ , && (2.2.5) \end{aligned}$$

for $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ valued functions g, h on Σ_0. This identity holds true if h is $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ valued with contractible boundary loop $h|_S = [\gamma] \in L_0 H_{\mathbf{C}} \cong LG_{\mathbf{C}}/Z_G$, though we needs some care to define $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,h)} \otimes e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,h^*)} \in (\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}})_\gamma \otimes (\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}})_{\gamma^*}$. If h is H-valued, (2.2.4) can be written as

---

[11] The following is the explicit description of the equivalence relation in $D_\infty G_{\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{C}$ where $D_\infty G_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the group of smooth maps of D_∞ to $G_{\mathbf{C}}$:

$$(gh, c) \sim (g, c\exp\{kI_{\mathbf{P}^1}(h \star 1) + k\Gamma_{D_\infty}(g,h)\})$$

where g, $h \in D_\infty G_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $h|_{\partial D_\infty} = 1$ and $\Gamma_{D_\infty}(g,h)$ is given above.

$$\gamma^{-1} e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g)} \gamma = e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A^h, h^{-1}gh)} \ , \tag{2.2.6}$$

where $\gamma \in LG$ is a representative of the boundary value $h|_{\partial\Sigma_0} \in L_0H$. In this sense we can say that the weight $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g)}$ defined above is *gauge covariant.*

Remark. The identity (2.2.6) holds true when $[\gamma] \in LH$ is a non-contractible boundary value of an H valued function h defined on a neighborhood of $\partial\Sigma_0$ and when g is different from 1 only on the same neighborhood. Note that the pointwise adjoint action of LH on $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ lifts to a unique automorphic action on the semigroup $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ (see Appendix 1).

Quite in the similar way, we define a line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ so that the class

$$e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A,g)} = \{(g_0, e^{-kI_{\hat{\Sigma}_\infty}(A\star 0, g\star g_0)})\} \in \left(\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}\right)_{g|_S} \tag{2.2.7}$$

is independent of the extension $g_0 : D_0 \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$ of a $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ valued function g on Σ_∞ where A is a Lie(H) valued one form on Σ_∞. The weight $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A,g)}$ satisfies the P-W identity

$$e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A,hgh^*)} = e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A,h)} e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A^h,g)} e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A,h^*)} e^{-k\Gamma_{\Sigma_\infty}(A,h,h^*)} \ , \tag{2.2.8}$$

with respect to the composition law in $\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ analogous to the one in $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$. Note that we can identify $\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ as the dual $\mathcal{L}^{-k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ of $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ by the pairing

$$\{(g_0, c_0)\}.\{(g_\infty, c_\infty)\} = c_0 c_\infty e^{kI_{\mathbf{P}^1}(g_\infty \star g_0)} \in \mathbf{C}. \tag{2.2.9}$$

Construction of the Action

We come back to the closed Riemann surface $\Sigma = \Sigma_\infty \cup \Sigma_0$. If $g_\infty : \Sigma_\infty \to G$ and $g_0 : \Sigma_0 \to G$ are restrictions of a smooth map $g : \Sigma \to G$, and if Lie(G) valued one forms $A_\infty \in \Omega^1(\Sigma_\infty, \mathrm{Lie}(G))$, $A_0 \in \Omega^1(\Sigma_0, \mathrm{Lie}(G))$ are restrictions of $A \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathrm{Lie}(G))$, then, the pairing of $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty, g_\infty)} \in \mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ and $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A_0,g_0)} \in \mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ reproduces the weight

$$e^{-kI_\Sigma(A,g)} = e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty,g_\infty)} . e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A_0,g_0)} \in \mathbf{C} \ , \tag{2.2.10}$$

for the trivial H bundle over the closed surface Σ which is given in (2.0.3).

To construct the action integral for the topologically non trivial H bundle, let us choose an open neighborhood U_0 (resp.U_∞) of Σ_0 (resp.Σ_∞). We consider smooth maps $g_\infty : U_\infty \to G$, $g_0 : U_0 \to G$ and one forms $A_\infty \in \Omega^1(U_\infty, \mathrm{Lie}(G))$, $A_0 \in \Omega^1(U_0, \mathrm{Lie}(G))$ related through the transition function $h_{\infty 0} : U_\infty \cap U_0 \to H$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= h_{\infty 0}^{-1} A_\infty h_{\infty 0} + h_{\infty 0}^{-1} dh_{\infty 0} \ , && (2.2.11) \\ g_0 &= h_{\infty 0}^{-1}\, g_\infty h_{\infty 0} \qquad \text{on} \quad U_\infty \cap U_0 \, . && (2.2.12) \end{aligned}$$

The data $(U_\infty, U_0, h_{\infty 0})$ determines an H-bundle P over Σ. A connection A of P is provided by the pair $\{A_\infty, A_0\}$ of one forms and $\{g_\infty, g_0\}$ gives a section g of $\mathrm{ad}_G P$. We cannot take a pairing of $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty, g_\infty)}$ and $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A_0,g_0)}$ because the base elements $\gamma_\infty = g_\infty|_S$, $\gamma_0 = g_0|_S$ do not coincide but related by $\gamma_0 = \gamma_{\infty 0}^{-1}\gamma_\infty\gamma_{\infty 0}$ where $\gamma_{\infty 0} = h_{\infty 0}|_S \in LH$. Fortunately, since the group LH acts on the semigroup $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ (Appendix 1), we can take

$$e^{-kI_{\Sigma,P}(A,g)} = e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty, g_\infty)}.\mathrm{ad}\gamma_{\infty 0}\Big(e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A_0,g_0)}\Big) \in \mathbf{C}\,, \tag{2.2.13}$$

as a candidate for the WZW action $I_{\Sigma,P}(A,g)$ for general H bundle P.

We can check that this satifies the conditions (2.0.1) and (2.0.2). (2.0.1) is obvious. The global P-W identity (2.0.2) for the above action (2.2.13) follows from (2.2.4) and (2.2.8) since $\mathrm{ad}\gamma_{\infty 0}$ preserves the structure of semigroup of $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ and the paring of $\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ satisfies $(\tilde\gamma_1'\tilde\gamma_2').(\tilde\gamma_1\tilde\gamma_2) = \tilde\gamma_1'.\tilde\gamma_1 \cdot \tilde\gamma_2'.\tilde\gamma_2$ for $\tilde\gamma_i' \in (\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}})_{\gamma_i}$ and $\tilde\gamma_i \in (\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}})_{\gamma_i}$ where γ_1, $\gamma_2 \in LG_{\mathbf{C}}$. Therefore, we conclude that (2.2.13) is the proper definition of the weight for the WZW model.

2.3 Covariant Operator Formalism

Now we go on to the description of the space of states and of the action of loop groups. As was noticed, the wave functions (2.2.1) and (2.2.2) are sections of $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ respectively over the loop space LG. We rewrite the formal definitions as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0, \mathcal{O}_0)(\gamma) &= \int_{1=g|_S} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_0} g\, e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A_0, g_0 g)}\mathcal{O}_0(g_0 g)\,, & (2.3.1)\\ Z_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty, \mathcal{O}_\infty)(\gamma) &= \int_{g|_S=1} \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_\infty} g\, e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty, g_\infty g)}\,\mathcal{O}_\infty(g_\infty g)\,, & (2.3.2)\end{aligned}$$

where $g_0 : \Sigma_0 \to G$ and $g_\infty : \Sigma_\infty \to G$ are any maps satisfying $g_0|_{\partial\Sigma_0} = g_\infty|_{-\partial\Sigma_\infty} = \gamma$. Then, we see that these sections can be extended to holomorphic sections over the complexified loop space $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ by admitting g_0 and g_∞ to be $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ valued.[12]

Remark. We may as well change the H-frame on S. If a new frame s_1 is related to the original one s_0 by $s_1 = s_0\gamma_{01}$ where $\gamma_{01} \in LH$, the wave function $\Phi^{(s_1)} \in H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}})$ with respect to s_1 is related to the original one $\Phi^{(s_0)} \in H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}})$ by

$$\Phi^{(s_1)}(\gamma) = \gamma_{01}^{-1}\Phi^{(s_0)}(\gamma_{01}\gamma\gamma_{01}^{-1})\gamma_{01} \tag{2.3.3}$$

which is due to the covariance (2.2.6) of the weight.

---

[12] Let $\mathcal{Y} \subset LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ be an open subset and $g_\infty : \mathcal{Y} \to D_\infty G_{\mathbf{C}}$ be a holomorphic map such that $g_\infty(\gamma)|_{-\partial D_\infty} = \gamma$. Then the section $\gamma \mapsto \{(g_\infty(\gamma), 1)\}$ of $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ over $\mathcal{Y}$ is by definition holomorphic.

Representation of Loop Group on the Space of States

Since the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\rm wz}^k$ is acted on by the group $\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}} \cong (\mathcal{L}_{\rm wz})^{\times}$ from the left and from the right through the covering map $(\mathcal{L}_{\rm wz})^{\times} \to (\mathcal{L}_{\rm wz}^k)^{\times}$, there are representations of $\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}}$ on the space $H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathcal{L}_{\rm wz}^k)$ of sections—the left and the right representations :

$$J(\tilde{\gamma}_1)\bar{J}(\tilde{\gamma}_2)\Phi(\gamma) = \tilde{\gamma}_1\Phi(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_2^{*-1})\tilde{\gamma}_2^{*}\,, \tag{2.3.4}$$

where the anti-holomorphic anti-automorphism $\tilde{\gamma} \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}^*$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\rm wz}$ is defined by $\{(g,c)\}^* = \{(g^*, c^*)\}$ where $g \in D_\infty G_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $c \in \mathbf{C}$. The P-W identity (2.2.4) shows that, for any smooth map $h : \Sigma_0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathbf{C}}$ with contractible boundary value $[\gamma] \in L_0H_{\mathbf{C}} \cong LG_{\mathbf{C}}/Z_G$, the element $\tilde{\gamma} = e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}(A_0^h, h^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\Sigma_0}(A_0, h, h^*)} \in (\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}})_\gamma$ gives a transformation of $H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathcal{L}_{\rm wz}^k)$ such that

$$J(\tilde{\gamma})\bar{J}(\tilde{\gamma})Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0; \mathcal{O}) = Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0^h; h^{-1}\mathcal{O})\ . \tag{2.3.5}$$

By taking the differentials of this equation, we can identify the currents with the infinitesimal generators of the representations J, $\bar{J}$. To see this explicitly, we take the connection A_0 to be flat on a neighborhood V_0 of S with holonomy $e^{-2\pi i a} \in H$ along S where $a \in {\rm Lie}(H)$. We can take a holomorphic frame σ of the $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ bundle $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ over V_0 with respect to which the connection A_0 is represented as $A_0^\sigma = -a\,dz/z$ and which gives an H-frame on S. Let $\varrho : \Sigma_0 \to [0,1]$ be a cut off function such that $\varrho = 1$ on S and $supp(\varrho) \subset V_0$. For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $v \in {\rm Lie}(G)$, we consider the vector $\tilde{vz^n} \in {\rm Lie}(\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}})$ tangent to the curve $e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}(h_t)}$ in $\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}}$ where $h_t = e^{t\varrho v z^n}$. Then the equation (2.3.5) leads to

$$J(\tilde{vz^n})Z_{\Sigma_0}^{(\sigma)}(A_0; \mathcal{O}\,) = Z_{\Sigma_0}^{(\sigma)}(A_0; J\sigma_n(v)\mathcal{O}\,)\,, \tag{2.3.6}$$

$$\text{where} \qquad J\sigma_n(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_S J\sigma_{\rm ad} z^n v = J_n^\sigma(v) + k\,{\rm tr}(av)\delta_{n,0}\,, \tag{2.3.7}$$

and similar equations for $\bar{J}$. $J_n^\sigma(v)$ is a Laurent coefficient of the holomorphic part J^σ of the current given in (2.1.12). These $J\sigma_n(v)$'s generate of course the affine Kac-Moody algebra with center k which could be seen by using the operator product expansions (2.1.13). Note also that the Laurent coefficient of the energy momentum tensor is expressed as

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2(k+{\rm g}^\vee)}\sum_{m\in\mathbf{Z}}\eta^{\rm ab} :J_{-m}^\sigma(e_{\rm a})J_{n+m}^\sigma(e_{\rm b}): + J_n^\sigma(a) + \frac{k}{2}{\rm tr}(a^2)\delta_{n,0} - \frac{c_{G,k}}{24}\delta_{n,0}\ , \tag{2.3.8}$$

$$\text{where} \qquad :J_n^\sigma(X)J_m^\sigma(Y): \;= \begin{cases} J_n^\sigma(X)J_m^\sigma(Y) & \text{if } n \le m \\ J_m^\sigma(Y)J_n^\sigma(X) & \text{if } m < n \end{cases}\ . \tag{2.3.9}$$

These L_n's generate the Virasoro algebra of central charge $c_{G,k}$ given in (2.1.17).

Finally we comment on the choice of the space of states. Due to the relation (2.3.5), the state $Z_{\Sigma_0} = Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0 = 0; \mathcal{O} = 1)$ is invariant under $J(e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}(h)})$ and $\bar{J}(e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}(h)})$ for any holomorphic map $h : \Sigma_0 \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$. In other words, Z_{Σ_0} is annihilated by $J(\tilde{\mathrm{W}}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}_{\Sigma_0})$ and $\bar{J}(\tilde{\mathrm{W}}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}_{\Sigma_0})$ where $\mathrm{W}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}_{\Sigma_0} \subset \mathrm{H}^{(0,\mathrm{g})} = \mathrm{Lie}(LG_{\mathbf{C}})$ is the space of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbf{C}}$-valued functions on S that extends holomorphically over Σ_0. This space $\mathrm{W}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}_{\Sigma_0}$ is comparable with the subspace $\mathrm{H}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}_{+}$ in the same sense as in Chapter 1. Motivated by this observation, we take as the space of states, the space $\mathcal{H}^{(G,k)} \subset H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{wz}})$ spanned by a family of vectors each of which is annihilated by $J(\tilde{\mathrm{W}})$ and $\bar{J}(\tilde{\mathrm{W}})$ for some subspace $\mathrm{W} \subset \mathrm{H}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}$ comparable with $\mathrm{H}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}_{+}$. This subspace $\mathcal{H}^{(G,k)}$ is preserved by $J(\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}}) \times \bar{J}(\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}})$ since $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ is contained in $GL_{res}(\mathrm{H}^{(0,\mathrm{g})})$. Though it has not yet been proved, it is likely that the Virasoro generators (2.3.8) can act on this space $\mathcal{H}^{(G,k)}$.

Field-State Correspondence

Now we consider the state at the boundary S of the standard disc $D_0 = (D_0, g_0)$ (see Chapter 1) with a local field insertion. We denote by $|O\rangle$ the state $Z^{(s_0)}_{D_0}(A_0; O(0))$ corresponding to the local field O where s_0 is a frame on D_0 which is horizontal with respect to the canonically flat connection A_0. We consider the field $O_\Lambda(g) = \rho_\Lambda(g(0))$ for a unitary irreducible representation $\rho_\Lambda : G \to GL(V_\Lambda)$. Since A_0 is invariant under the chiral gauge transformation by holomorphic maps from D_0 to $G_{\mathbf{C}}$, the relation (2.3.5) shows that[13]

$$J(e^{-I_{D_0}(g_1)})\bar{J}(e^{-I_{D_0}(g_2)})|O_\Lambda\rangle = |\rho_\Lambda(g_1(0)^{-1})O_\Lambda\, \rho_\Lambda(g_2(0)^{*-1})\rangle\ , \qquad (2.3.10)$$

for $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ valued holomorphic functions g_1 and g_2 on D_0. We recall that $e^{-I_{D_0}(g)}$ in the above expressions is an element $e^{-I_{D_0}(0,g)}$ of $\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}}$ over the boundary loop $g|_S$ of $g : D_0 \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$. (See eq. (2.2.3) for the definition)

Let us now choose a maximal torus T_G of G and $T = T_G/Z_G$ of H. We also choose a chambre C in $\mathrm{V} = i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ (see Appendix 2 for the notations and basics on the root system and the Weyl group). We denote by B^+ the Borel subgroup of $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ consisting of boundary loops $g|_S$ of holomorphic maps $g : D_0 \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $g(0)$ are in the Borel subgroup B^+_0 of $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ determined by C. We also denote by N^+ the subgroup of B^+ consisting of boundary loops $g|_S$ of holomorphic maps g with $g(0) \in N^+_0$, where N^+_0 is the maximal unipotent subgroup of B^+_0. B^+ is embedded into $\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}}$ by $g|_S \in B^+ \mapsto e^{-I_{D_0}(g)} \in \widetilde{B}^+$. We take as the index Λ the highest weight of the representation ρ_Λ. If we denote by

---

[13] The P-W identity (2.2.4) and hence the relation (2.3.5) can be seen to hold when we take h and h^* to be independent.

$\Lambda^* = -w_0\Lambda$ the highest weight of the dual representation ${}^t\rho_\Lambda^{-1} : G_{\mathbf{C}} \to GL(V_\Lambda^*)$[14], the above equation (2.3.10) shows that the state $\Phi_\Lambda = |(O_{\Lambda^*})^{-\Lambda}_{-\Lambda}\rangle$ is a highest weight state[15] with weight (Λ, Λ) of the left-right representations $J \times \bar{J}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\Lambda(g_1 g_2^*|_S) &= e^{-\Lambda}(g_1(0)) \left\{ J(e^{-I_{D_0}(g_1)}) \bar{J}(e^{-I_{D_0}(g_2)}) \Phi_\Lambda \right\} (g_1 g_2^*|_S) \qquad (2.3.11) \\ &= e^{-\Lambda}(g_1(0))\, e^{-kI_{D_0}(g_1)} \Phi_\Lambda(1) e^{-kI_{D_0}(g_2^*)} = c_\Lambda e^{-\Lambda}(g_1(0))\, e^{-kI_{D_0}(g_1 g_2^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

for $g_1|_S \in B^+$, $g_2|_S \in N^+$ and $c_\Lambda = \Phi_\Lambda(1)$ is a complex number. Since the subset $B^+(N^+)^*$ is known to be open dense in $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ [31], there is at most one such highest weight state. In [12], it is shown that this section $g_1 g_2^*|_S \mapsto e^{-\Lambda}(g_1(0)) e^{-kI_{D_0}(g_1 g_2^*)}$ of $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ over $B^+(N^+)^*$ extends to a section over the whole space $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ if and only if $0 \leq (\Lambda, \alpha) \leq k$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$. Such a weight Λ is said to be integrable at level k and the set of integrable weight at level k is denoted by $\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}$. As is shown in [12], the highest weight state of $J \times \bar{J}$ must have the equal left-right highest weight $\Lambda_L = \Lambda_R$.

The state Φ_Λ for $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}$ generates an irreducible $\tilde{LG}_{\mathbf{C}} \times \tilde{LG}_{\mathbf{C}}$ module $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{(k)} \subset \mathcal{H}^{(G,k)}$ which is isomorphic to $L_{(\Lambda,k)} \otimes \overline{L_{(\Lambda,k)}}$ where $L_{(\Lambda,k)}$ (*resp.* $\overline{L_{(\Lambda,k)}}$) is the holomorphic (*resp.* anti-holomorphic) irreducible representation of the group $\tilde{LG}_{\mathbf{C}}$ at level k with highest weight $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}$. We see that this subspace $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{(k)}$ corresponds to the current descendant fields in view of the relations

$$J_n(v)|O\rangle = |J_n^{s_0}(v)O\rangle\,, \qquad \bar{J}_n(v)|O\rangle = |\bar{J}_n^{s_0}(v^*)O\rangle\,, \qquad (2.3.12)$$

which follow from (2.3.6) and analogous equation for $\bar{J}$. We do not know whether the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}} \mathcal{H}_\Lambda^{(k)}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^{(G,k)}$ or not, but if it *is* dense, we have a one to one correspondence $O \leftrightarrow |O\rangle$ of scaling fields and states of definite $(L_0, \bar{L}_0)$ values.

Gluing

Let us comment on the pairing of the state $Z_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty; \mathcal{O}_\infty) \in \check{\mathcal{H}}^{(G,k)}$ at the *incoming* circle and the state $Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0; \mathcal{O}_0) \in \mathcal{H}^{(G,k)}$ at the *outgoing* circle, where we say that a boundary circle S of an oriented two manifold Σ is incoming (resp. outgoing) when

---

[14] $w_0 \in W$ is the element of maximum length with respect to the simple reflections determined by C.

[15] We say that a state Φ is a *highest weight state with weight* (Λ_L, Λ_R) of the left-right representation when it is annihilated by the infinitesimal generators of $\widetilde{N}^+ \subset \tilde{LG}_{\mathbf{C}}$

$$J_n(v)\Phi = \bar{J}_n(v)\Phi = J_0(e_\alpha)\Phi = \bar{J}_0(e_\alpha)\Phi = 0\,, \qquad \text{for } n > 0,\ v \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbf{C}},\ \alpha \in \Delta_+\,,$$

and $$J_0(t)\Phi = \Lambda_L(t)\Phi\,, \quad \bar{J}_0(t)\Phi = \Lambda_R(t^*)\Phi\,, \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

where $J_n(v) = J(\tilde{vz^n})$ and $\bar{J}_n(v) = \bar{J}(\tilde{vz^n})$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $v \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbf{C}}$.

$S=-\partial\Sigma$ (resp. $S=\partial\Sigma$). The space $\check{\mathcal{H}}^{(G,k)}$ is a certain subspace of $H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}},\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{wz}})$ which shall be made precise shortly.

Naïvely, the pairing is given by the path-integration over the loops in G : $\langle\Psi,\Phi\rangle = \int_{LG}\mathcal{D}\gamma\Psi(\gamma).\Phi(\gamma)$. If we could define it, due to the left-right invariance of the measure, it would satisfy the relation

$$\langle J(\tilde{\gamma}_1')\bar{J}(\tilde{\gamma}_2')\Psi, J(\tilde{\gamma}_1)\bar{J}(\tilde{\gamma}_2)\Phi\rangle = (\tilde{\gamma}_1'.\tilde{\gamma}_1)\langle\Psi,\Phi\rangle(\tilde{\gamma}_2'^{*}.\tilde{\gamma}_2^{*})\,, \tag{2.3.13}$$

for $\tilde{\gamma}_i'\in(\mathcal{L}^{*}_{\mathrm{wz}})^{\times}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_i\in(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{wz}})^{\times}$ $(i=1,2)$ where the representation $J\times\bar{J}$ of $(\mathcal{L}^{*}_{\mathrm{wz}})^{\times}\times(\mathcal{L}^{*}_{\mathrm{wz}})^{\times}$ on the space $H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}},\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{wz}})$ is defined in a similar way as in the case of $\mathcal{L}^{k}_{\mathrm{wz}}$.

We now sketch the construction of such a pairing defined on some subspaces of $H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}},\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{wz}})$ and $H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}},\mathcal{L}^{k}_{\mathrm{wz}})$. The basic ingredients are the hermitian inner product $(\;,\;)$ defined on a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{\Lambda}$ for each $\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}^{(k)}_{+}$ [31] such that

$$(J(\tilde{\gamma}_1)\bar{J}(\tilde{\gamma}_2)\Phi_1,\Phi_2) = (\Phi_1, J(\tilde{\gamma}_1^{*})\bar{J}(\tilde{\gamma}_2^{*})\Phi_2) \tag{2.3.14}$$

and the anti-holomorphic homomorphism $\natural:\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{wz}}\to\mathcal{L}^{k}_{\mathrm{wz}}$ which is anti-linear on each fibre and covers the involution $\gamma\mapsto\gamma^{*-1}$ of $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$. Then, the pairing would be given by $\langle\Psi,\Phi\rangle=(\overline{\Psi},\Phi)$ where the anti-linear map $\Psi\mapsto\overline{\Psi}$ from $H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}},\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{wz}})$ to $H^0(LG_{\mathbf{C}},\mathcal{L}^{k}_{\mathrm{wz}})$ is defined by $\overline{\Psi}(\gamma)=\natural\,(\Psi(\gamma^{*-1}))$. If the map $\natural$ is given by

$$\natural\{(g_0,c)\} = \{(g_\infty^{*-1}, c^{*}e^{-kI_{\mathbf{P}^1}(g_\infty^{*-1}\star g_0^{*-1})+2kK_{D_0}(g_0^{*-1})})\}\,, \tag{2.3.15}$$

where $K_D(g)=\frac{i}{4\pi}\int_D \mathrm{tr}(\partial g^{-1}\bar{\partial}g)$, then this pairing would satisfy the condition (2.3.13).

As the space $\check{\mathcal{H}}^{(G,k)}$ of states at the incoming circle, we take the space spanned by vectors each of which is annihilated by $J(\tilde{\mathrm{W}}_{-})$ and $\bar{J}(\tilde{\mathrm{W}}_{-})$ for some subspace $\mathrm{W}_{-}\subset\mathrm{H}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}$ comparable with the space $\mathrm{H}^{(0,\mathrm{g})}_{-}$. This space contains the sum $\bigoplus_{\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}^{(k)}_{+}}\check{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}_{\Lambda}$ of lowest weight representations where $\check{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}_{\Lambda}$ is generated by the lowest weight vector $\check{\Phi}_{\Lambda}$ which is the wave function corresponding to the insertion of $(O_{\Lambda})^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}$ in D_∞ at $z=\infty$. We can explicitly check that $\overline{\check{\Phi}_{\Lambda}}\propto\Phi_{\Lambda}$ which proves that $Z_{\mathbf{P}^1,\mathrm{triv}}((O_{\Lambda})^{\Lambda}_{\Lambda}(\infty)(O_{\Lambda^*})^{-\Lambda}_{-\Lambda}(0))\neq 0$ and the pairing

$$\bigoplus_{\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}^{(k)}_{+}}\check{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}_{\Lambda}\times\bigoplus_{\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}^{(k)}_{+}}\mathcal{H}^{(k)}_{\Lambda}\longrightarrow\mathbf{C} \tag{2.3.16}$$

is densely defined and satisfies the condition (2.3.13).

2.4 The Spectral Flow

We consider again the state at the boundary S of the standard disc D_0 with field insertion $O(0)$. This time we suppose that the gauge field is in the *basic configuration* A_a such that $a \in i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ lies in the lattice $\mathrm{P}^\vee = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\exp^{-1}(1)$. To observe the state, we stand on the horizontal frame σ along S which is related to the original frame s_0 by

$$s_0 = \sigma\gamma_{10}\,, \qquad ; \qquad \gamma_{10}(e^{i\theta}) = n_{w_\sigma}^{-1} e^{-ia\theta}\,. \tag{2.4.1}$$

where $n_{w_\sigma} \in G$ is in the normalizer N_{T_G} of T_G that represents an element $w_\sigma \in W$.[16] The state $Z_{D_0}^{(\sigma)}(A_a; O(0))$ of interest is related to the state $Z_{D_0}^{(s_0)}(A_a; O(0))$ with respect to the original frame by $Z_{D_0}^{(\sigma)}(A_a, O(0))(\gamma_1) = \mathrm{ad}\gamma_{10} Z_{D_0}^{(s_0)}(A_a; O(0))(\mathrm{ad}\gamma_{10}^{-1}\gamma_1)$. Since $A_0'' = h_0\bar\partial h_0^{-1}$, the original state is given by $J(\tilde\gamma_0)\bar J(\tilde\gamma_0)\Phi_O$ for $\tilde\gamma_0 = e^{-I_{D_0}(h_0) - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{D_0}(h_0, h_0^*)}$ (see equation (2.3.5)) where $\Phi_O = |O\rangle$ corresponds to O in the standard way. Hence we have

$$Z_{D_0}^{(\sigma)}(A_a; O(0))(\gamma_1) = \tilde\gamma_\sigma \Phi_O(\gamma_\sigma^{-1}\gamma_1\gamma_\sigma^{*-1})\tilde\gamma_\sigma^*\,, \tag{2.4.2}$$

where $\gamma_\sigma = \gamma_{10}\gamma_0 \in LH_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the boundary loop $h_\sigma|_S$ of the holomorphic transition function $\sigma_0 = \sigma h_\sigma$ given by $h_\sigma(z) = n_{w_\sigma}^{-1} c_\varrho^{-a} z^{-a}$. We call this transformation $\Phi \mapsto \tilde{h}_\sigma\Phi$; $(\tilde{h}_\sigma\Phi)(\gamma_1) = \tilde\gamma_\sigma\Phi(\gamma_\sigma^{-1}\gamma_1\gamma_\sigma^{*-1})\tilde\gamma_\sigma^*$ of the space $\mathcal{H}^{(G,k)}$ of states the *spectral flow* corresponding to the transition function h_σ.

Calculation of $\tilde{h}_\sigma\Phi$

We calculate how the highest weight state Φ_Λ given in (2.3.11) is transformed by $\tilde{h}_\sigma$. Note that the loop $\gamma_\sigma \in LH_{\mathbf{C}}$ represents an element of the affine Weyl group W'_{aff} of LH. As explained in Appendix 2 (A.2.5), we can write it as a product $\gamma_\sigma = [\gamma_\diamond]\gamma$ where $\gamma_\diamond \in LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ represents an element of the affine Weyl group W_{aff} of LG and $\gamma \in LH$ represents an element of the group $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ which preserves the decomposition of the set Δ_{aff} of affine roots into $\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}\,+}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}\,-}$. This leads to $\tilde{h}_\sigma\Phi_\Lambda = J(\tilde\gamma_\diamond)\bar J(\tilde\gamma_\diamond)\tilde{h}\Phi_\Lambda$ where h is a $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-valued holomorphic function with $h|_S = \gamma$ which is expressed as $h(z) = z^{-\mu}n_w$ using $\mu \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ and $n_w \in N_{T_H}$.

We see how the state $\tilde{h}\Phi_\Lambda \in \mathcal{H}^{(G,k)}$ looks over the open dense set $B^+\,(N^+)^* \subset LG_{\mathbf{C}}$. So we take holomorphic maps g_1 and g_2 from D_0 to $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $g_1(0) \in B_0^+$ and $g_2(0) \in N_0^+$. Since $\mathrm{ad}\gamma$ preserves the subgroups B^+ and N^+, we see that $\gamma^{-1}g_1|_S\gamma$ and $\gamma^{-1}g_2|_S\gamma$ extend as holomorphic functions $h^{-1}g_1h$ and $h^{-1}g_2h$ on D_0 such that $(h^{-1}g_1h)(0) \in B_0^+$ and

---

[16] Although we have now introduced the twist $n \in N_{T_G}$ only to relate the spectral flow to the affine Weyl group, twists of certain kind play essential roles in the theory of field identification.

$(h^{-1}g_2h)(0) \in N_0^+$. Hence we have

$$\left(\tilde{h}\Phi_\Lambda\right)(g_1g_2^*|_S) = e^{-\Lambda}\left((h^{-1}g_1h)(0)\right)\mathrm{ad}\gamma\Big(e^{-kI_{D_0}\left((h^{-1}g_1h)(h^{-1}g_2h)^*\right)}\Big)\,. \tag{2.4.3}$$

If $g_1(0) \equiv e^{t_0} \in T_G \ \mathrm{mod} N_0^+$, we find that $(h^{-1}g_1h)(0) \equiv e^{w^{-1}t_0} \ \mathrm{mod} N_0^+$, which gives

$$e^{-\Lambda}\left((h^{-1}g_1h)(0)\right) = e^{-w\Lambda(t_0)}\,. \tag{2.4.4}$$

Applying the transformation rule of the adjoint action of LH on $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ given in Appendix 1, we have

$$\gamma e^{-kI_{D_0}(h^{-1}g_1h)}\gamma^{-1} = \{(\check{g}_1, e^{-kI_{\mathbf{P}^1}\left((\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}_1\check{h})\star(h^{-1}g_1h)\right)+kc(\check{h},\check{g}_1)})\}\,, \tag{2.4.5}$$

where $\check{h}$ is an extension of the loop γ to a map $\check{h} : D_\infty - \{\infty\} \to H$ given by $\check{h}(re^{i\theta}) = \gamma(e^{i\theta})$ and $\check{g}_1$ is an extension $\check{g}_1 : D_\infty \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$ of g_1 defined in the following way: If g_1 is expressed as $g_1(z) = e^{v(z)}$ where $v : D_0 \to \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a holomorphic map, we take $\check{g}_1(re^{i\theta}) = e^{\varrho_\infty(r)v(e^{i\theta})}$ using a cut-off function $\varrho_\infty : D_\infty \to [0,1]$ such that $\varrho_\infty|_S = 1$ and $\varrho_\infty(\infty) = 0$. For this choice of $\check{g}_1$, we see that $I_{\mathbf{P}^1}((\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}_1\check{h}) \star (h^{-1}g_1h) = K_{D_\infty}(\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}_1\check{h})$ and $K_{D_\infty}(\check{g}_1) = I_{\mathrm{P}^1}(\check{g}_1 \star g_1)$. Note also that $\check{h}d\check{h}^{-1} = i\mu d\theta$ and that $d\check{g}_1\check{g}_1{}^{-1}|_r = \check{g}_1{}^{-1}d\check{g}_1|_r = d\varrho v(e^{i\theta})$. From these, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &-I_{\mathbf{P}^1}\left((\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}_1\check{h}) \star (h^{-1}g_1h)\right) + c(\check{h}, \check{g}_1) \\ &= \ -K_{D_\infty}(\check{g}_1) - \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{[1,\infty)\times S^1} \mathrm{tr}(d\varrho\, t_0\, i\mu d\theta) = -I_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\check{g}_1 \star g_1) - \mathrm{tr}(t_0\mu)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4.6}$$

Hence, we have

$$\gamma e^{-kI_{D_0}(h^{-1}g_1h)}\gamma^{-1} = e^{-k\,\mathrm{tr}(\mu t_0)}e^{-kI_{D_0}(g_1)}\,. \tag{2.4.7}$$

Doing the similar calculation for $\mathrm{ad}\gamma e^{-kI_{D_0}\left((h^{-1}g_2h)^*\right)}$ and combining all the results, we obtain the expression of the section $\tilde{h}\Phi_\Lambda$ over $B^+(N^+)^* \subset LG_{\mathbf{C}}$:

$$\left(\tilde{h}\Phi_\Lambda\right)(g_1g_2^*|_S) = e^{-w\Lambda(t_0)-k\,\mathrm{tr}(\mu t_0)}e^{-kI_{D_0}(g_1g_2^*)}\,. \tag{2.4.8}$$

Since the adjoint action of LH on $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ is continuous, this state must extend to the whole space $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ if the state Φ_Λ does. Therefore, if $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}$, the weight $w\Lambda + k\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mu$ is necessarily in $\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}$ and the state $\tilde{h}\Phi_\Lambda$ is the highest weight state $\Phi_{\gamma\Lambda}$ with that highest weight

$$\gamma\Lambda = w\Lambda + k\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mu\ , \tag{2.4.9}$$

where the weight ${}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mu \in \mathrm{P}$ is given by ${}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mu(v) = \mathrm{tr}(\mu v)$.

The Spectral Flow as an Algebra Automorphism

The above result could be seen by looking at the response of the state to the action of $J(\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}}) \times \bar{J}(\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}})$. Instead of doing the direct calculation, we exploit the relation (2.3.6) of the current J and the infinitesimal generators of $J(\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}})$.[17] In the situation of ours we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(v) Z_{D_0}^{(\sigma)}(A_a; O) &= Z_{D_0}^{(\sigma)}(A_a; J_n^{\sigma}(v) O)\,, &(2.4.10)\\ ;\quad J_n^{\sigma}(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint z^n dz &\left\{ J_z^{\sigma_0}(h_\sigma^{-1} v h_\sigma) + \frac{1}{z} k\, \mathrm{tr}(a h_\sigma^{-1} v h_\sigma) \right\}\,, &(2.4.11) \end{aligned}$$

where $J_z^{\sigma_0} dz$ is the local holomorphic part (2.1.12) of the current with respect to the frame σ_0 defined on D_0. Taking account of this and the expression (2.3.8) for the energy momentum tensor, we have the following intertwining relations between the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\sigma$ and the generators L_n, $J_n(v)$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_n \tilde{h}_\sigma |O\rangle &= \tilde{h}_\sigma \Big\{ L_n + J_n(a) + \frac{k}{2}\mathrm{tr}(a^2)\delta_{n,0} \Big\} |O\rangle\,, &(2.4.12)\\ J_n(t) \tilde{h}_\sigma |O\rangle &= \tilde{h}_\sigma \Big\{ J_n(w_\sigma t) + k\delta_{n,0}\mathrm{tr}(a w_\sigma t) \Big\} |O\rangle\,, &(2.4.13)\\ J_n(e_\alpha) \tilde{h}_\sigma |O\rangle &= \tilde{h}_\sigma J_{n + w_\sigma \alpha(a)}(n_{w_\sigma} e_\alpha n_{w_\sigma}^{-1}) |O\rangle c_{\varrho}^{w_\sigma \alpha(a)}\,, &(2.4.14) \end{aligned}$$

where $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $t \in \mathrm{Lie}(T)_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$. Note that (2.4.12) and (2.4.13) for $n = 0$ derive the transformation rule of $[\gamma_\sigma] = e^{ia\theta} w_\sigma$ on the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathrm{V}}$ of the torus $U(1) \times \tilde{T}_G$ given in the formula (A.2.2) in Appendix 2. These show that a state Φ of weight $(\mathcal{E}, \lambda, k)$ is transformed to another state $\tilde{h}_\sigma \Phi$ of weight $(\gamma_\sigma \mathcal{E}, \gamma_\sigma \lambda, k)$ where

$$\gamma_\sigma \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} + \lambda(a) + \frac{k}{2}\mathrm{tr}(a^2)\,, \qquad \gamma_\sigma \lambda = w_\sigma^{-1}(\lambda + k\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}a)\,. \tag{2.4.15}$$

If the loop γ_σ represents an element of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ from the start, (2.4.14) shows that a highest weight state Φ_Λ is transformed to another highest weight state $\tilde{h}_\sigma \Phi_\Lambda$. Under the identification $\mu = w_\sigma^{-1} a$ and $w = w_\sigma^{-1}$, we see that the two results on the new weight, (2.4.9) and (2.4.15) coincide. Moreover, a calculation shows that the L_0 value $\Delta_{\gamma\Lambda} - \frac{c_{G,k}}{24}$ is given by $\gamma\mathcal{E}$ of (2.4.15) with $\mathcal{E} = \mathit{\Delta}_\Lambda - \frac{c_{G,k}}{24}$ and $\lambda = \Lambda$ where $\mathit{\Delta}_\Lambda$ is given by (2.1.17) or

$$\mathit{\Delta}_\Lambda = \frac{(\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\rho)}{2(k + \mathrm{g}^\vee)}\,, \tag{2.4.16}$$

where $\rho \in \mathrm{P}$ is half the sum of positive root of G.

We can see in a more transparent manner the transformation $\Lambda \mapsto \gamma\Lambda$ of highest weight for $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ where we identify the loop γ with the corresponding element of the

---

[17] We only look at the left representation J since everything is the same for the right representation $\bar{J}$.

Weyl group W'_{aff}. As explained in Appendix 2, the group $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ is a permutation group of the set $\mathrm{B}(\widehat{\mathrm{C}}) = \{\, \hat{\alpha}_0, \cdots, \hat{\alpha}_l \,\}$ of simple affine roots and it acts on $\{0, 1, \cdots, l\}$ by $\gamma\hat{\alpha}_i = \hat{\alpha}_{\gamma i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, l$. We introduce the *fundamental affine weights* $\hat{\Lambda}_0, \hat{\Lambda}_1, \cdots, \hat{\Lambda}_l \in \hat{\mathrm{V}}$ by

$$\hat{\Lambda}_0 = (0, 0, 1)\ , \qquad \hat{\Lambda}_i = (0, \Lambda_i, m_i)\,, \quad i = 1, \cdots, l\,, \tag{2.4.17}$$

where $\Lambda_1, \cdots, \Lambda_l \in \mathrm{P}$ are the fundamental weights defined by $\Lambda_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$[18] and $m_1, \cdots, m_l \in \mathbf{N}$ are the coefficients of the coroot $\tilde{\alpha}^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \alpha_i^\vee$ for the highest root $\tilde{\alpha}$. Then, in view of the relations $2(\hat{\Lambda}_i, \hat{\alpha}_j)/||\hat{\alpha}_j||^2 = \delta_{i,j}$ and $\gamma\hat{\alpha}_i = \hat{\alpha}_{\gamma i}$ we see that $\gamma\hat{\Lambda}_i \equiv \hat{\Lambda}_{\gamma i}$ modulo $\mathbf{R} \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$. The affine weight $\hat{\Lambda} = (\Delta_\Lambda, \Lambda, k)$ for $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}$ is expressed as $\hat{\Lambda} = \sum_{i=0}^{l} n_i \hat{\Lambda}_i - \Delta_\Lambda \delta$ where n_i's are non-negative integers and $\delta = (-1, 0, 0)$. Then, the new weight is given by

$$\gamma\hat{\Lambda} = \sum_{i=0}^{l} n_i \hat{\Lambda}_{\gamma i} - \Delta_{\gamma\Lambda}\delta\,. \tag{2.4.18}$$

Remark. We may consider the state $\tilde{h_\sigma}|O\rangle$ to correspond in the standard way to a new field $\gamma_\sigma O$. If the field O has conformal weight $(\Delta_O, \bar{\Delta}_O)$ and charge $(\Lambda_O, \bar{\Lambda}_O)$, the new field $\gamma_\sigma O$ has conformal weight $(\gamma_\sigma \Delta_O, \gamma_\sigma \bar{\Delta}_O)$ and charge $(\gamma_\sigma \Lambda_O, \gamma_\sigma \bar{\Lambda}_O)$. A primary field O is transformed to another primary field $\gamma_\sigma O$ if γ_σ represents an element of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}} \subset W'_{\mathrm{aff}}$.

---

[18] For each root $\alpha \in \Delta$, we associate the coroot $\alpha^\vee \in \mathrm{Q}^\vee$ defined by ${}^{\mathrm{tr}}\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha/||\alpha||^2$.

CHAPTER 3. INTEGRATION OVER GAUGE FIELDS

Let H be a compact Lie group and let M denote the *matter* field theory which we discussed in the previous chapters : the system of free fermions taking values in a vector bundle E with structure group H, the WZW model for a group G such that H is a closed subgroup of G/Z_G, or some combination of these systems. We consider in this chapter the quantization problem of gauge theory. That is, we give a method to perform the integration

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}(g\,;\mathcal{O}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_P/\mathcal{G}_P} \mathcal{D}_g A\, Z^M_{\Sigma,P}(g,A\,;\mathcal{O})\,, \tag{3.0.1}$$

over gauge equivalence classes $\mathcal{A}_P/\mathcal{G}_P$ of connections on a principal H-bundle P. The integrand is the correlation function of the matter theory M with $\mathcal{G}_P$-invariant insertion $\mathcal{O}$ of local fields. We first perform the integration over each orbit of the group $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ of chiral gauge transformations and then, sum up over the orbits. The first step naturally leads to the WZW model with negative level, targetting the symmetric space $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$. The latter half of this chapter is devoted to the analysis of this theory.

3.1 The Space of Gauge Fields

We give a description of the structure of $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbits in the space $\mathcal{A}_P$ of gauge fields and argue that we can neglect some orbits in the integration (3.0.1). To start with, we note on the relation of holomorphic structures of the $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ and connections of P. A connection A of P determines a holomorphic structure J_A of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$: A local section σ of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ is holomorphic with respect to J_A when $\bar{\partial}_A\,\sigma = 0$ where σ is represented as a local frame of the vector bundle associated to $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ through a faithful holomorphic representation of $H_{\mathbf{C}}$. Conversely, any holomorphic structure J of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ determines a "hermitian connection" $A(J) \in \mathcal{A}_P$ such that $J_{A(J)} = J$. So we can identify the set of holomorphic structures of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ and the set $\mathcal{A}_P$ of connections of P. Two holomorphic structures J_1 and J_2 are *isomorphic* when there is an automorphism $h \in \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ which gives a bi-holomorphic map $h : (P_{\mathbf{C}}, J_1) \to (P_{\mathbf{C}}, J_2)$. In other words, A_1 and A_2 determine isomorphic holomorphic structures in $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ when $h^{-1}\bar{\partial}_{A_2}h = \bar{\partial}_{A_1}$. So, we can identify the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic structures of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ and the set $\mathcal{A}_P/\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ of orbits of the chiral gauge transformation group.

It should be noticed that the space $\mathcal{A}_P$ is given a structure of complex manifold in such a way that the action of the group $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ is holomorphic : The complex structure at each tangent space $\Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P)$ is given by the operator $*$ which determines an involution $*d\bar{z} = id\bar{z}$, $*dz = -idz$ on the space of differentials.

On the Sphere

We begin with the case in which Σ is the complex projective line $\mathbf{P}^1$. It is covered by two complex planes — z-plane and w-plane where z and w are related by $zw = 1$. We denote by $D_0 \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ the unit disc in the z-plane.

(For $H = U(1)$)

First, we consider the simplest case $H = U(1)$. We start with the topological classification. A principal $U(1)$ bundle P admits local sections s_0 and s_∞ defined on U_0^ϵ and U_∞^ϵ for some $\epsilon > 0$ respectively where U_0^ϵ (resp. U_∞^ϵ) is an open neighborhood of D_0 (resp. $\mathbf{P}^1 - D_0$) consisting of z with $|z| < 1 + \epsilon$ (resp. w with $|w| < 1 + \epsilon$). They are related by the transition rule $s_0 = s_\infty h_{\infty 0}$ where $h_{\infty 0}$ is a function on $U_0^\epsilon \cap U_\infty^\epsilon$ valued in $U(1) = \{e^{i\phi}\}$. A topological invariant of P is given by the winding number of $h_{\infty 0}$

$$a = \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_S h_{\infty 0}^{-1} dh_{\infty 0} \,, \tag{3.1.1}$$

which must be an integer. Then, $h_{\infty 0}$ can be written as $h_{\infty 0} = e^{-ia\theta + i\phi_{\infty 0}}$ where $\phi_{\infty 0}$ is a real valued function on $U_0^\epsilon \cap U_\infty^\epsilon$. [19] Using the cut-off function $\varrho : S^2 \to [0,1]$ which is identically 0 on $S^2 - U_\infty^\epsilon$ (resp. 1 on $S^2 - U_0^\epsilon$), we have the following transition rule

$$s_0 e^{-i\varrho\phi_{\infty 0}} = s_\infty e^{i(1-\varrho)\phi_{\infty 0}} e^{-ia\theta} \,. \tag{3.1.2}$$

Thus, the topological type of a principal $U(1)$-bundle is determined by the winding number $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. Such a classifacation holds for any surface Σ.

We proceed to the classifiction of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^*$-bundles. A holomorphic $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ admits local holomorphic sections σ_0 and σ_∞ defined on U_0^ϵ and U_∞^ϵ for some $\epsilon > 0$ respectively which are related by the transition rule $\sigma_0 = \sigma_\infty f_{\infty 0}$ where $f_{\infty 0}$ is a holomorphic function on $U_0^\epsilon \cap U_\infty^\epsilon$ valued in $\mathbf{C}^*$. If $a = \dfrac{i}{2\pi} \oint f_{\infty 0}^{-1} df_{\infty 0}$, $f_{\infty 0}$ can be written as $f_{\infty 0}(z) = z^{-a} e^{x_{\infty 0}(z)}$ in terms of a holomorphic function $x_{\infty 0}$ on $U_0^\epsilon \cap U_\infty^\epsilon$. Then, taking the Laurent expansion $x_{\infty 0}(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_n z^n$, we have the following transition rule :

$$\sigma_0(z) e^{-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n} = \sigma_\infty(z) e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{-n} w^n} z^{-a} \,. \tag{3.1.3}$$

This shows that for each $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundle $P_{\mathbf{C}}$, there is only one holomorphic structure up to isomorphism. Thus, the space $\mathcal{A}_P$ of gauge fields is itself a single $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbit.

---

[19] As before, the radius r and the angle θ are related to the complex coordinate z by $z = re^{i\theta}$.

(For simple H)

Next we consider the concrete example in which $H = SU(n)/\mathbf{Z}_n$ where $\mathbf{Z}_n$ is the center of $SU(n)$ consisting of identity matrices multiplied by n-th roots of unity. For each $j \in \mathcal{J}_0 = \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, we take an H-bundle $P^{(j)}$ which admits a section s_0 on the z-plane U_0 and a section s_∞ on the w-plane U_∞ related by $s_0 = s_\infty h_{\infty 0}$ on $U_0 \cap U_\infty$ where the transition function $h_{\infty 0} : U_0 \cap U_\infty \to H$ is represented by a multi-valued map $\tilde{h}_{\infty 0}$ to $SU(n)$ such that $\tilde{h}_{\infty 0}(re^{i\theta + 2\pi i}) = e^{2\pi i \frac{j}{n}} \tilde{h}_{\infty 0}(re^{i\theta})$. Then, $\{P^{(j)}\,;\, j \in \mathcal{J}_0\}$ is the set of topologically distinct H-bundles over S^2. Such a classification holds for any surface Σ.

A holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}} = SL(n, \mathbf{C})/\mathbf{Z}_n$ bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$ is described by the transition rule $\sigma_0 = \sigma_\infty f_{\infty 0}$ where σ_0 (resp. σ_∞) is a holomorphic section over U_0 (resp. U_∞) and the holomorphic map $f_{\infty 0} : U_0 \cap U_\infty \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ is also represened by a multi-valued map to $SL(n, \mathbf{C})$. By the Birkhoff factorization theorem [20], [31], we may assume that $f_{\infty 0}$ is given by $f_{\infty 0}(z) = z^{-a}$ where a belongs to $\mathrm{P}^\vee$, that is, a is a traceless diagonal matrix

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{with} \quad a_i + \frac{j}{n} \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (i = 1, \cdots, n)\,, \tag{3.1.4}$$

for some $j \in \mathcal{J}_0$. This holomorphic bundle denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ is isomorphic to $(P^{(j)}_{\mathbf{C}}, J_{A(a)})$ for some $A(a) \in \mathcal{A}_{P^{(j)}}$. The theorem of Birkhoff shows that $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{[a']}$ if and only if $a' \in W a$ where W is the permutation group of $\{a_1, \cdots, a_n\}$. Thus, the discrete set $\mathrm{P}^\vee/W$ indexes the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles over $\mathbf{P}^1$ and it follows that the set $\mathcal{A}_{P^{(j)}}/\mathcal{G}_{P^{(j)}_{\mathbf{C}}}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{P^{(j)}_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbits is indexed by $\mathrm{P}^\vee_j/W$ where $\mathrm{P}^\vee_j$ is the set of matrices $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ whose entries differ from $-\dfrac{j}{n}$ by integers.

We calculate the dimension of the group $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$. An element $f \in \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ is given by a pair of holomorphic maps $f_0 : U_0 \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $f_\infty : U_\infty \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ that are related by $f_0(z) = z^a f_\infty(z) z^{-a}$. We see that the matrix element $(f_0)^i_j$ is a span of $1, z, \cdots, z^{a_i - a_j}$ if $a_i \geq a_j$ and $(f_0)^i_j = 0$ if $a_i < a_j$ which shows that $\mathrm{dimAut}\mathcal{P}_{[a]} = n - 1 + \sum_{i<j}\Big(\delta_{a_i, a_j} + 1 + |a_i - a_j|\Big)$. It is minimized by $a = \mu_0, \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_{n-1}$ where $\mu_j \in \mathrm{P}^\vee_j$ is given by

$$(\mu_j)_i = 1 - \frac{j}{n} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \cdots, j, \quad \text{and} \quad (\mu_j)_i = -\frac{j}{n} \quad \text{for} \quad i = j+1, \cdots, n\,. \tag{3.1.5}$$

Since $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ for $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee_j$ is naturally isomorphic to the isotropy subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_{P^{(j)}_{\mathbf{C}}}$ at $A(a) \in \mathcal{A}_{P^{(j)}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{P^{(j)}}$ contains a single orbit $\mathcal{A}_{\mu_j} = A(\mu_j) \cdot \mathcal{G}_{P^{(j)}_{\mathbf{C}}}$ of maximal dimension. The codimension d_a of the orbit $\mathcal{A}_a$ in $\mathcal{A}_{P^{(j)}}$ is hence given by

$$d_a = \sum_{i<j} \Big(\delta_{a_i,a_j} - 1 + |a_i - a_j| \Big) = \sum_{a_i > a_j} (a_i - a_j - 1)\,. \tag{3.1.6}$$

Note that the orbit $\mathcal{A}_{\mu_j}$ has a complement in $\mathcal{A}_{P^{(j)}}$ of codimension 1 for $j = 0$ and n for $j = 1, \cdots, n-1$. Hence, in the integration (3.0.1) for $P = P^{(j)}$, we have only to take into account the contribution of the orbit $\mathcal{A}_{\mu_j}$, if $Z^M_{\mathbf{P}^1, P^{(j)}}$ is smoothly defined over the whole space $\mathcal{A}_{P^{(j)}}$.

If H is the quotient group $\tilde{H}/Z_{\tilde{H}}$ for a compact simple simply connected group $\tilde{H}$, the story is almost the same. We follow the notation in Appendix 2. The set of distinct topological H-bundle is represented by $\{P^{(j)}\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ where $P^{(j)}$ is an H-bundle given by a transition rule $s_0 = s_\infty e^{-i\mu_j \theta}$. The set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1$ is given by $\{\mathcal{P}_{[a]}\,;\, a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee \cap \overline{\mathrm{C}}\}$ where $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ admits local holomorphic sections $\sigma_0^{(a)}$ and $\sigma_\infty^{(a)}$ related by $\sigma_0^{(a)} = \sigma_\infty^{(a)} z^{-a}$. The dimension of the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ is given by $l + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (\, \delta_{\alpha(a),0} + 1 + |\alpha(a)|\,)$ which is minimized by $\{\mu_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}_0}$ (see proposition 2, Appendix 2). This shows that each $\mathcal{A}_{P^{(j)}}$ containes a single $\mathcal{G}_{P^{(j)}_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbit $\mathcal{A}_{\mu_j}$ of maximal dimension. The codimension of the orbit $\mathcal{A}_a$ corresponding to $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ is given by

$$d_a = \sum_{\alpha(a) > 0} (\alpha(a) - 1)\,. \tag{3.1.7}$$

Hence we have only to take into account the contribution of the orbit $\mathcal{A}_{\mu_j}$ in the integration (3.0.1) for $P = P^{(j)}$.

On a Surface of Genus ≥ 1

If the genus g of the surface Σ is larger than zero, the set of $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbits in the space of connections of an H-bundle P is not in general a discrete set. But there is an efficient way developed by Atiyah and Bott [1] to classify the orbits by a discrete set.

The basic notion in the classification is the semi-stability of vector bundles. We say that a holomorphic vector bundle E is (*semi-*)*stable* if for any proper holomorphic subbundle F of E, we have $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ ($\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$) where $\mu(E)$ is the *slope* of E defined by $\mu(E) = c_1(E)/\mathrm{rank}(E)$. A vector bundle is not in general semi-stable but has a unique filtration $0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_r = E$ by subbundles, called the *canonical filtration* of E, such that the quotients $D_i = E_i/E_{i-1}$ are semi-stable and satisfy $\mu(D_i) > \mu(D_{i+1})$, see Harder and Narasimhan [21]. A holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ admits its *canonical parabolic reduction* $\mathcal{P}_Q$, that is, a reduction to a parabolic subgroup Q of $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{P}_Q/\mathrm{ad}_{n_Q}\mathcal{P}_Q$ is semi-stable and of slope zero and that the canonical filtration of $\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{P}/\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{P}_Q$ (resp. $\mathrm{ad}_{n_Q}\mathcal{P}_Q$) has quotients of negative slopes (resp. positive slopes) where n_Q is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of Q.

We now define the *type* of a holomorphic principal bundle. We choose a maximal torus T of H and a chambre C in $i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$. Note that an element μ of $\overline{\mathrm{C}}$ determines a parabolic subgroup Q of $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ by the statement "a root α of $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a root of the unipotent radical n_Q of Q if and only if $\alpha(\mu) > 0$". For each one dimensional representation χ of a parabolic subgroup Q, we denote by λ_χ the linear form on $\mathrm{V} = i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ such that $\chi(e^t) = e^{\lambda_\chi(t)}$ for $t \in \mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{C}}$. A holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be of type $\mu \in \overline{\mathrm{C}}$, when the canonical parabolic reduction of $\mathcal{P}$ is to the parabolic subgroup Q determined by μ and for any representation $\chi : Q \to \mathbf{C}^*$, the line bundle $\chi(\mathcal{P}_Q) = \mathcal{P}_Q \times_Q \mathbf{C}$ associated to $\mathcal{P}_Q$ through χ has the first Chern number $\lambda_\chi(\mu)$:

$$c_1(\chi(\mathcal{P}_Q)) = \lambda_\chi(\mu)\,. \tag{3.1.8}$$

For example, the holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ on the Riemann sphere is of type $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$. There exists an $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle of type μ only if μ is an 'integral point', that is, $\lambda_\chi(\mu) \in \mathbf{Z}$ for every character χ of Q. Thus, the gauge fields are classified with respect to the types and we have the disjoint union

$$\mathcal{A}_P = \bigcup_{\mu:\mathrm{type}} \mathcal{A}_\mu\,, \tag{3.1.9}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ consists of $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbits corresponding to type μ holomorphic structures of P. A simple index calculation shows that $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is a submanifold of $\mathcal{A}_P$ of codimension

$$d_\mu = \sum_{\alpha(\mu)>0} (\alpha(\mu) + g - 1)\,. \tag{3.1.10}$$

Hence, for $g \geq 1$ we have only to take into account of the submanifold $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_{ss}$ corresponding to the set of semi-stable $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles. (A holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be *semi-stable* when $\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{P}$ is semi-stable.)

For $H = U(1)$, since every holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}^*$-bundle is stable, we take the whole space $\mathcal{A}_P$ into account. The set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundles is given by the sheaf cohomology group $H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\times)$ called the Picard group of Σ and denoted by $\mathrm{Pic}\Sigma$ where $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\times$ is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions valued in $\mathbf{C}^*$. The homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma \to \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\times$ with kernel $\mathbf{Z}$ given by $f \mapsto e^{2\pi i f}$ induces the long exact sequence of sheaf cohomology groups :

$$0 \to H^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma) \to H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\times) \to H^2(\Sigma, \mathbf{Z}) \to 0\,, \tag{3.1.11}$$

where the last projection counts the winding number. The Jacobian variety $\mathrm{Jac}\Sigma = H^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma)/H^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{Z})$ is a complex torus of dimension g. Thus, we have the description of the set of holomorphic $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundles by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Jac}\Sigma \to \mathrm{Pic}\Sigma \overset{c_1}{\to} \mathbf{Z} \to 0\,. \tag{3.1.12}$$

Let us choose a base point $x_0 \in \Sigma$. An element $\mathcal{O}(x_0) \in \mathrm{Pic}\Sigma$ of winding number one is defined by the transition rule

$$\sigma_0(z) = \sigma_\infty(z) z^{-1}\,, \tag{3.1.13}$$

where z is a local coordinate with $z(x_0) = 0$, σ_0 is a section over the coordinate neighborhood and σ_∞ is a section over $\Sigma - \{x_0\}$. This bundle $\mathcal{O}(x_0)$ generates a subgroup of $\mathrm{Pic}\Sigma$ which is mapped isomorphically onto $\mathbf{Z}$ by the projection c_1 in (3.1.12). In other words, 'tensoring by $\mathcal{O}(x_0)^a$' induces an isomorphism $(\mathrm{Pic}\Sigma)_0 = \mathrm{Jac}\Sigma \to (\mathrm{Pic}\Sigma)_a = c_1^{-1}(a)$ of complex manifolds. This isomorphism plays an important role in the theory of field identification which we discuss in the next chapter.

If H is simple, the semi-stable orbits are further classified. We can show that for $g \geq 2$, $\mathcal{A}_{ss}$ contains a submanifold $\mathcal{A}_s$ with a complement of codimension ≥ 1 where $\mathcal{A}_s$ consists of $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbits corresponding to stable bundles.(See [33] for the definition of stability of principal bundles). The theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri [30], [9], [33] states that the set $\mathcal{A}_F^{irr}$ of irreducible flat connections is included in the space $\mathcal{A}_s$ consisting of stable orbits and that the inclusion map induces the bijection $\mathcal{A}_F^{irr}/\mathcal{G}_P \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathcal{A}_s/\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}} = \mathcal{N}_P^{st}$ of the quotients. It is also known that $\mathcal{N}_P^{st}$ is a complex orbifold of dimension $\mathrm{dim}H(g-1)$ and has a natural compactification $\mathcal{N}_P = \mathcal{A}_{ss}/\sim$ which can be identified with the set $\mathcal{A}_F/\mathcal{G}_P$ of all flat connections.[20]

If $g = 1$, $\mathcal{A}_{ss}$ contains a submanifold $\mathcal{A}_{ss}^\circ$ with a complement of codimension ≥ 1 : A holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{P}$ corresponds to an orbit in $\mathcal{A}_{ss}^\circ$ when $\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{P}$ is decomposed into a direct sum of distinct stable bundles. The quotient space $\mathcal{A}_{ss}^\circ/\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ is a complex orbifold and it has a natural compactification $\mathcal{N}_P = \mathcal{A}_{ss}/\sim$ which can be identified with $\mathcal{A}_F/\mathcal{G}_P$.

Example — Flat $SO(3)$-Connections over the Torus

We explicitly describe the spaces $\mathcal{N}_P$ of flat connections of the trivial and the nontrivial $H = SO(3)$-bundles on the torus $\Sigma_\tau = \mathbf{C}/(\mathbf{Z} + \tau\mathbf{Z})$ of period 1 and τ where $\tau_2 = \mathrm{Im}\tau > 0$. We denote by ζ the coordinate of this plane $\mathbf{C}$. We choose a homology base A, $B : [0,1] \to \Sigma_\tau$ defined by $\zeta(A_t) = t$ and $\zeta(B_t) = t\tau$, which also determines a set of generators of the fundamental group $\pi_1\Sigma = \mathbf{Z}^2$. A flat connection of an H-bundle P determines (up to conjugation) a holonomy representation $\rho : \pi_1\Sigma \to H$. It is determined by $a = \rho(A)$ and $b = \rho(B)$ that commute with each other.

---

[20] $A_1 \sim A_2$ when A_1 and A_2 determine holomorphic bundles $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ respectively such that $\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{P}_2$ have filtrations whose quotients are stable and coincide with each other up to permutation.

If P is trivial, a and b are represented by elements $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ of $\tilde{H} = SU(2)$ that also commute with each other. By conjugation if necessary, we may as well assume that $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ are diagonal matrices

$$\tilde{a} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{b} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i\psi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i\psi} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1.14}$$

Such holonomy is provided by the gauge field of the following form :

$$A_u = \Big(\frac{\pi}{\tau_2} u \, d\bar{\zeta} - \frac{\pi}{\tau_2} \bar{u} \, d\zeta\Big) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1.15}$$

where $u = \psi - \tau\phi$. $A_{u'}$ is $\mathcal{G}_P$-equivalent to A_u if it is a gauge transform of A_u by element $g \in \mathcal{G}_P$ of the following form :

$$g(\zeta = x + \tau y) = n_w \begin{pmatrix} e^{\pi i(nx+my)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\pi i(nx+my)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1.16}$$

where $n_w \in SU(2)$ represents an element of the Weyl group $W = S_2$ and n, m are integers. (This g represents a single valued function on Σ_τ valued in $SO(3)$.) Thus, $A_{u'}$ is equivalent to A_u if and only if $u' = \pm u - \frac{m}{2} + \tau\frac{n}{2}$ for some n, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ which shows that

$$\mathcal{N}_P = \mathbf{C}\Big/\Big\{(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} + \tfrac{\tau}{2}\mathbf{Z})\tilde{\times}\{\pm 1\}\Big\}. \tag{3.1.17}$$

It is topologically a sphere and is a complex orbifold with four singularities $u = 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{\tau+1}{4}$ of order 2. The orbifold $\mathcal{N}_P - \{u = 0\}$ corresponds to the quotient space $\mathcal{A}^{\circ}_{ss}/\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ in the above general argument.

If P is non-trivial, a and b are represented by elements $\tilde{a}$, $\tilde{b}$ of $\tilde{H} = SU(2)$ that do not commute but satisfy

$$\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{a}^{-1}\tilde{b}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1.18}$$

There is only one such pair $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$ modulo conjugation :

$$\tilde{a} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1.19}$$

Hence, for the non-trivial $SO(3)$-bundle P over the torus,

$$\mathcal{N}_P = \{\text{one point}\}. \tag{3.1.20}$$

It should be noted that, unlike in the abelian case, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{triv.}}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{non-triv.}}$ and that even the dimensions are different on torus. This is the general situation. For general simple group H without center, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{triv.}}$ on the torus Σ_τ is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{triv.}} = \mathrm{Lie}(T)_{\mathbf{C}}/(\mathrm{P}^\vee + \tau\mathrm{P}^\vee)\tilde{\times}W\,, \tag{3.1.21}$$

and hence of dimension rank$H$. But for each $j \in \mathcal{J}$ in the terminology of Appendix 2, we have a non-trivial H-bundle $P^{(j)}$ and we can see that $\dim\mathcal{N}_{P^{(j)}} = \dim\mathrm{Ker}(w_j w_0 - 1)$ which is strictly less than the rank of H.

3.2 The Path Integration

Now we perform the integration (3.0.1). To define the measure for integration, we introduce metrics on the spaces $\mathcal{A}_P$ and $\mathcal{G}_{P_\mathbf{C}}$. So, let us consider the tangent spaces

$$\Big(T_A\mathcal{A}_P\Big)^{(1,0)}_{\mathbf{C}} \cong \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})\,, \qquad \Big(T_h\mathcal{G}_{P_\mathbf{C}}\Big)^{(1,0)}_{\mathbf{C}} \cong \Omega^{0}(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})\,, \tag{3.2.1}$$

at points $A \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $h \in \mathcal{G}_{P_\mathbf{C}}$ where $a \in \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})$ is tangent to the curve $\bar\partial_{A_t} = \bar\partial_A + ta$ at $t = 0$ and $\epsilon \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})$ is tangent to the curve $h_t = he^{t\epsilon + \bar t\epsilon^*}$ at $t = 0$. We define inner products on those spaces by $(a_1, a_2) = \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_P(a_1^* a_2)$ and by $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma *\mathrm{tr}_P(\epsilon_1^*\epsilon_2)$ where tr_P is a suitably normalized H-invaiant fibre metric of ad$P$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_P$ becomes a $\mathcal{G}_P$ invariant Kähler manifold and $\mathcal{G}_{P_\mathbf{C}}$ becomes a Hermitian manifold invariant under the left translations by elements of $\mathcal{G}_{P_\mathbf{C}}$ and under the right translations by elements of $\mathcal{G}_P$.

Local Parametrization of Gauge Fields

As have been noticed in the previous section, we may neglect some class of $\mathcal{G}_{P_\mathbf{C}}$-orbits in the integration (3.0.1). So, let $\mathcal{A}_P^\circ \subset \mathcal{A}_P$ be the submanifold that we take into account and let $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ$ denote the quotient space $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ = \mathcal{A}_P^\circ/\mathcal{G}_{P_\mathbf{C}}$ and let $d_\mathcal{N}$ be its dimension. If $H = U(1)$, $\mathcal{A}_P^\circ$ is the whole space $\mathcal{A}_P$ and $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ$ is the connected component of the Picard group PicΣ for the winding number $c_1(P\times_{U(1)}\mathbf{C})$. This gives the value $d_\mathcal{N} = g$. If the group H is simple, we have

$(g = 0)$ $\mathcal{A}_P^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{\mu_j}$ for $P = P^{(j)}$, $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ =$ one point,
$(g = 1)$ $\mathcal{A}_P^\circ = \mathcal{A}_{ss}^\circ$, $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ =$ complex orbifold $\subset \mathcal{N}_P$, $0 \le d_\mathcal{N} \le \mathrm{rank}H$,
$(g \ge 2)$ $\mathcal{A}_P^\circ = \mathcal{A}_s$, $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ =$ complex orbifold $\subset \mathcal{N}_P$, $d_\mathcal{N} = \dim H(g-1)$.

We may as well restrict our attention to the non-singular points of $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ$ and we assume hence-forth that $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ$ is a complex manifold. For every point $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_P^\circ$, we can take a neighborhood U of u_0 in $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ$ with a holomorphic family $\{A_u\}_{u\in U}$ of representatives, that is, a holomorphic map $U \to \mathcal{A}_P^\circ$, $u \mapsto A_u$ such that $A_u \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}} = u$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_U$ the inverse image of U by the projection $\mathcal{A}_P^\circ \to \mathcal{N}_P^\circ$ and define the surjective map

$$f : U \times \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_U \tag{3.2.2}$$

by $f(u,h) = A_u^h$. This is not in general injective due to the symmetries of $\mathcal{A}_u$. In fact, if $S_u = \mathrm{Aut}\bar{\partial}_{A_u}$ is the group of automorphisms of $(P_{\mathbf{C}}, J_{A_u})$, $f(u',h') = f(u,h)$ if and only if $u' = u$ and $h' \in S_u h$. We denote by d_S the dimension of S_u which is constant on U since $d_S - d_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the index $\dim H(1-g)$ of the operator $\bar{\partial}_{A_u}$ acting on the sections of the adjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Now, we coordinatize the spaces $\mathcal{A}_P$ and $U \times \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ in a way that makes easy to pull back the measure on $\mathcal{A}_P$ by the map f. Let $(u^1, \cdots, u^{d_{\mathcal{N}}})$ be a complex coordinate system on U. Then, $\nu_1(u), \cdots, \nu_{d_{\mathcal{N}}}(u)$ defined by $\nu_a(u) = (\partial/\partial u^a) A_u^{0,1}$ determine a base of the tangent space

$$T_u^{(1,0)} U = H^{0,1}_{\bar{\partial}_{A_u}}(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}), \tag{3.2.3}$$

for each point $u \in U$. We choose a base $a^1(u), \cdots, a^{d_{\mathcal{N}}}(u)$ of the cotangent space

$$\left(T_u^{(1,0)} U\right)^* = H^0_{\partial_{A_u}}(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}} \otimes K)\,, \tag{3.2.4}$$

and a base $\epsilon_1(u), \cdots, \epsilon_{d_S}(u)$ of the space $\mathrm{Lie}(S_u) = H^0_{\bar{\partial}_{A_u}}(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})$ of infinitesimal symmetries of $\bar{\partial}_{A_u}$.

At the point $f(u,h) = A_u^h$, we choose an orthonormal base $\{a_n(u,h)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of the tangent space $\mathrm{Im}\{\bar{\partial}_{A_u^h} : \Omega^0_{\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}} \to \Omega^{0,1}_{\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}}\}$ of the $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbit through A_u^h and an orthonormal base $\{\epsilon_n(u,h)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of the space $\left(h^{-1}\mathrm{Lie}S_u h\right)^{\perp} \subset \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})$ normal to the symmetries of $\bar{\partial}_{A_u^h}$. If we put $a_{b-d_{\mathcal{N}}}(u,h) = h^* a^b(u)^* h^{*-1}$ and $\epsilon_{i-d_S}(u,h) = h^{-1}\epsilon_i(u) h$, then we have a base of $T^{(1,0)}_{A_u^h}\mathcal{A}_P$ and a base of $T_h^{(1,0)}\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ given respectively by

$$\Big\{ a_n(u,h) \Big\}_{n=1-d_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \Big\{ \epsilon_n(u,h) \Big\}_{n=1-d_S}^{\infty}\,. \tag{3.2.5}$$

We introduce complex coordinate systems : $x = (x^{1-d_{\mathcal{N}}}, \cdot\cdot, x^0, x^1, \cdots)$ on a neighborhood of A_u^h in $\mathcal{A}_U$ and $t = (t^{1-d_S}, \cdot\cdot, t^0, t^1, \cdots)$ on a neighborhood of h in $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ such that

$$A(x)^{(0,1)} \;=\; (A_u^h)^{(0,1)} + \sum_{n=1-d_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\infty} x^n a_n(u,h)\,, \tag{3.2.6}$$

$$\text{and} \qquad h(t) \;=\; \exp\Bigg\{\sum_{i=1}^{d_S} t^{i-d_S}\epsilon_i(u)\Bigg\} h \exp\Bigg\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \epsilon_n(u,h)\Bigg\}\,. \tag{3.2.7}$$

Then, the pull backs $\delta f^{(0,1)} = h^{-1}\delta A_u h + \bar\partial_{A_u^h}(h^{-1}\delta h)$ of differentials dx^n are expressed as

$$f^* dx^{a-d_{\mathcal{N}}} = \sum_{b,c=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} M^{a\bar b}(u,h)\Big\langle a^b(u), \boldsymbol{\nu}_c(u)\Big\rangle\, du^c\,, \tag{3.2.8}$$

$$f^* dx^n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big(a_n(u,h), \bar\partial_{A_u^h}\epsilon_m(u,h)\Big)\, dt^m + \sum_{c=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} \Big(a_n(u,h), h^{-1}\boldsymbol{\nu}_c(u)h\Big)\, du^c\,, \tag{3.2.9}$$

where $M^{a\bar b}(u,h)$ is the matrix element of the inverse of $M_{\bar a b}(u,h) = \Big(a_{a-d_{\mathcal{N}}}(u,h), a_{b-d_{\mathcal{N}}}(u,h)\Big)$. $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ in the equation (3.2.8) is the natural pairing given by $\langle a, \boldsymbol{\nu}\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}(a\boldsymbol{\nu})$.

The Measure $\mathcal{D}A$

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}A}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}h}$) the volume element of the Hermitian space $\mathcal{A}_P$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$). At the points $A_u^h \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $h \in \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$, we have the formal expressions in terms of the coordinates $\{x^n\}_{n=1-d_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\infty}$ and $\{t^n\}_{n=1-d_S}^{\infty}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}A}\Big)_{A_u^h} &= \det\Big(M_{\bar{a}b}(u,h)\Big) \prod_{n=1-d_{\mathcal{N}}}^{\infty} d^2x^n\,, \qquad (3.2.10)\\ \Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}h}\Big)_h &= \det\Big(S_{\bar{i}j}(u,h)\Big) \prod_{n=1-d_S}^{\infty} d^2t^n\,, \qquad (3.2.11)\end{aligned}$$

where we denote by d^2x^n the real two form $idx^n \wedge d\bar{x}^n$ (similarly for d^2t^n and we use such notation in the rest of the paper) and the matrix $S_{\bar{i}j}(u,h)$ is given by $\Big(\epsilon_{i-d_S}(u,h), \epsilon_{j-d_S}(u,h)\Big)$. By the formulae (3.2.8), (3.2.9), the pull back $f^*\widetilde{\mathcal{D}A}$ at the point $(u,h) \in U \times \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ is expressed as,

$$\begin{aligned}f^*\widetilde{\mathcal{D}A}_{(u,h)} &= \frac{\Big|\det\Big\langle a^b(u), \nu_c(u)\Big\rangle\Big|^2}{\det\Big(M_{\bar{a}b}(u,h)\Big)} \Big|\det\Big(a_n(u,h), \bar{\partial}_{A_u^h}\epsilon_m(u,h)\Big)\Big|^2 \prod_{c=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} d^2u^c \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} d^2t^n\\ &= \prod_{c=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} d^2u^c \Big|\det\Big\langle a^b(u), \nu_c(u)\Big\rangle\Big|^2 \frac{\det'\Big(\bar{\partial}_{A_u^h}^{\dagger}\bar{\partial}_{A_u^h}\Big)}{\det M(u,h) \det S(u,h)} \det S(u,h) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} d^2t^n\\ &= \prod_{c=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} d^2u^c \Big|\det\Big\langle a^b(u), \nu_c(u)\Big\rangle\Big|^2 \frac{\det'\Big(\bar{\partial}_{A_u}^{\dagger}\bar{\partial}_{A_u}\Big)}{\det\Big(a^a(u), a^b(u)\Big) \det\Big(\epsilon_i(u), \epsilon_j(u)\Big)}\\ &\quad \times \exp\{I_{\mathrm{ad}P}(A_u, hh^*)\} \det S(u,h) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} d^2t^n\,, \qquad (3.2.12)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used in the last step the formulae (1.1.5), (1.4.1) for the chiral anomaly. In the above expressions, $\det'(D^{\dagger}D)$ denotes the regularized determinant of $D^{\dagger}D$ restricted to its positive eigen-space.

We now introduce a function $F_u : \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}} \to \mathbf{C}^{d_S}$ which satisfies the following condition : On each S_u-orbit in $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$, F_u takes the value zero at one and only one point and that at each zero point h the differential $F_{u,h} : \mathrm{Lie}S_u \to \mathbf{C}^{d_S}$ defined by $F_{u,h}(\epsilon) = \Big(\frac{d}{dt}\Big)_0 F_u(e^{t\epsilon}h)$ is a linear isomorphism. If the point $(u,h) \in U \times \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ satisfies $F_u(h) = 0$, we have the equality :

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d_S} \delta^{(2)}(t^{i-d_S}) = \delta^{(2d_S)}\Big(F_u(h(t))\Big)\Big|\det\Big(F^i_{u,h(t)}(\epsilon_j(u))\Big)\Big|^2\,. \qquad (3.2.13)$$

Since the role of this factor is to fix the finite dimensional ‘gauge degrees of freedom’, we call it the residual gauge-fixing term and the function F_u is called the *residual gauge-fixing function.* Making use of this function, the pull back of the measure $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}A}$ by the isomorphism $f_\star : \cup_{u\in U} S_u \backslash \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_U$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} f_\star^* \widetilde{\mathcal{D}A}_{(u,S_u h)} &= \prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} d^2u^a \int_{S_u} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}h}\, e^{I_{\mathrm{ad}P}(A_u,hh^*)}\, \delta^{(2d_S)}\Big(F_u(h)\Big) \\ &\quad \times \det{}'\Big(\, \bar\partial_{A_u}^\dagger \bar\partial_{A_u} \Big) \frac{\Big|\det\Big(F^i_{u,h}(\epsilon_j(u))\Big)\Big|^2}{\det\Big(\epsilon_i(u),\epsilon_j(u)\Big)} \frac{\Big|\det\Big\langle a^b(u),\nu_c(u)\Big\rangle\Big|^2}{\det\Big(a^a(u),a^b(u)\Big)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.14}$$

Let us now introduce a spin $(1,0)$ free fermionic system (b,c) taking values in the adjoint bundle ad$P_{\mathbf{C}}$ which we call the *adjoint ghost system*. Then, we have another expression for the measure given in (3.2.14) :

$$\begin{aligned} f_\star^* \widetilde{\mathcal{D}A}_{(u,S_u h)} &= \prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} d^2u^a \int_{S_u} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}h}\, e^{I_{\mathrm{ad}P}(A_u,hh^*)}\, \delta^{(2d_S)}\Big(F_u(h)\Big) \\ &\quad \times\ Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\, g\,, A_u\,; \prod_{i=1}^{d_S} F^i_{u,h}(c)\bar F^i_{u,h}(\bar c) \prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} \Big\langle b,\nu_a(u)\Big\rangle\Big\langle \bar b,\bar\nu_a(u)\Big\rangle \,\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.15}$$

As it should be, this is independent of the choice of the family A_u of gauge fields or of the residual gauge-fixing functions F_u. We can also check that this is invariant by the right translation by elements of $\mathcal{G}_P$.

Expression for the Integral (3.0.1)

We shall express the integral (3.0.1) as an integral over the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^\circ$, the integrand of which is determined by a composition of three kinds of quantum field theories—the matter theory M, the adjoint ghost system and the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$ WZW model which we shall study in the next section.

Before doing that, we fix a definite model for the matter field theory M. Let us take a semi-simple simply connected compact Lie group G such that the group H is embedded into its adjoint group G/Z_G and we choose a half-integer $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$ and a unitary representation $\rho : H \to U(V)$ on a finite dimensional hermitian vector space V. We consider as the matter M the combined system of the WZW model at level $k \in \mathbf{N}$ with the target group G and the system of free fermions taking values in vector bundles with fibre V and structure group $\rho(H)$.

Due to the PW identity (2.1.4) and the chiral anomaly (1.1.5), the integrand of (3.0.1) is given by

$$Z^M_{\Sigma,P}(g, A^h_u; \mathcal{O}) \;=\; e^{I^M_\Sigma(g,A_u;hh^*)} Z^M_{\Sigma,P}(g, A_u; h\mathcal{O})\,, \tag{3.2.16}$$

$$;\ \ I^M_\Sigma(g,A\,;hh^*) = kI^G_{\Sigma,P}(A,hh^*) + I_E\Big(A + \Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)\Theta\,; hh^*\Big)\,, \tag{3.2.17}$$

where $I^{G}_{\Sigma,P}$ is the WZW action for the group G (we consider here that hh^* is $G_{\mathbf{C}}$-valued) and I_E is the WZW action corresponding to the vector bundle $E = P \times_H V$. The gauge invariance of the field insertion $\mathcal{O}$ implies that $h\mathcal{O}$ is invariant under $h \mapsto hU$; $U \in \mathcal{G}_P$. This shows that it has the dependence of the form $h\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(hh^*, M)$ where M denotes the fields in the matter theory M. Since S_u preserves the connection A_u, we may as well assume that we can expand the dressed insertion $h\mathcal{O}$ as $h\mathcal{O} = \sum_m \mathcal{O}^m(hh^*)\mathcal{O}_m(M)$ where for each symmetry $h_s \in S_u$, $\mathcal{O}^m$ and $\mathcal{O}_m$ transform as

$$h_s\mathcal{O}^m = \mathcal{O}^m e^{I^M_{\Sigma,P}(g,A_u;h_s h_s^*)} \quad \text{and} \quad h_s\mathcal{O}_m = \mathcal{O}_m e^{-I^M_{\Sigma,P}(g,A_u;h_s h_s^*)}\,, \tag{3.2.18}$$

respectively. (If necessary, we select out the components of $h\mathcal{O}$ that transform in the above way.)

After factoring out the volume element of the gauge transformation group $\mathcal{G}_P$, we integrate $f^*_\star\widetilde{\mathcal{D}A}\, Z^M_{\Sigma,P}(g, A^h_u\,; \mathcal{O})$ over each space $S_u\backslash\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}/\mathcal{G}_P$. Then, we obtain the following measure on U :

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}) \;&=\; \prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} d^2u^a \int_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}/\mathcal{G}_P} \mathcal{D}h\, e^{-I^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}_{\Sigma,P}(g,A_u,hh^*)}\, \delta^{(2d_S)}\Big(F_u(h)\Big)\mathcal{O}^m(hh^*) \\ &\quad\times\, Z^{M+\mathrm{gh}}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,g\,, A_u\,; \prod_{i=1}^{d_S} F^i_{u,h}(c)\bar{F}^i_{u,h}(\bar{c}) \prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} \Big\langle b, \nu_a(u)\Big\rangle\Big\langle \bar{b}, \bar{\nu}_a(u)\Big\rangle \mathcal{O}_m\Big)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.19}$$

where the exponent $I^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}_{\Sigma,P}$ is given by $-I^M_{\Sigma,P} - I_{\mathrm{ad}P}$ and $\mathcal{D}h$ is the volume element of the homogeneous Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}/\mathcal{G}_P$. Since this form $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P}$ is independent of the choice of families $\{A_u\}_{u\in U}$ and $\{F_u\}_{u\in U}$, $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P}$ extends to a well-defined measure of the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^\circ$. Thus, we have the following expression for the integral (3.0.1) :

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}) = \int_{\mathcal{N}^\circ_P} \Omega^M_{\Sigma,P}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O})\,. \tag{3.2.20}$$

Remark. Though it is not realistic, we have assumed in the above argument that the function $\delta\Big(F_u(h)\Big)$ is right $\mathcal{G}_P$-invariant. Some care is needed if the zero point set of F_u is transversal to the $\mathcal{G}_P$-orbits.

3.3 WZW Model with Target Space $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$

The integration over each $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbit has thus lead to a system of quantum field theory—the system of fundamental fields $hh^* \in \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}/\mathcal{G}_P$ with the action $I^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}_{\Sigma,P}$. In the final section, we study this system in the simplest cases where H is $U(1)$ or H is simple. The general case is essentially a "direct sum" of such abelian and simple models.

To start with, we write down the action $I^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}_{\Sigma,P}$ in such simplest cases. For the abelian case $H = U(1)$, we introduce a new variable X defined by $hh^* = e^X$. We denote by $e^{ix} \mapsto e^{ix\mu}$ and $e^{ix} \mapsto e^{ix\mu_V}$ the embedding map $\imath : H \to G/Z_G$ and the representation $\rho : H \to GL(V)$ respectively, where $\mu \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ and $\mu_{V} \in gl(V)$. Then, we have the following expression for the action :

$$I^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}_{\Sigma,P}(g, A\,; e^X) = \frac{i}{4\pi}\int_\Sigma \Big\{ k^{\mathbf{c}}(\partial X\bar{\partial}X - 2f_A X) - 2c^1\Big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\Big)R_\Theta X \Big\}\,, \tag{3.3.1}$$

where $k^{\mathbf{c}} = k\mathrm{tr}_{G}(\mu^2) + \mathrm{tr}_{V}(\mu_{V}^2)$ and $c^1 = \mathrm{tr}_{V}(\mu_{V})$.[21] This is the free boson system with the background charge provided by the curvatures f_A and R_Θ of the gauge field A and the metric g respectively.

If H is simple, since it coincides with its commutator subgroup $[H, H]$, the term $\mathrm{tr}_{E}\epsilon R_\Theta$ in the formula (1.4.2) disappears. Hence we see that the action is proportional to the WZW action for the universal covering group $\tilde{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}_{\Sigma,P}(g, A\,; hh^*) &= -k^{\mathbf{c}} I^{\tilde{H}}_{\Sigma,P}(A, hh^*)\,, & (3.3.2)\\ ;\quad k^{\mathbf{c}} &= kr^H_G + r^H_V + 2h^\vee\,, & (3.3.3) \end{aligned}$$

where r^H_G and r^H_V are ratios defined by

$$\mathrm{tr}_{G}(\imath X \imath Y) = r^H_G \mathrm{tr}_{H}(XY) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{tr}_{V}(\rho(X)\rho(Y)) = \mathrm{tr}_{H}(XY)\,, \tag{3.3.4}$$

for X, $Y \in \mathrm{Lie}(H)$. By this observation, we call this model the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$ *WZW model at level* $-k^{\mathbf{c}}$.

Fusion Rule as Integrability Condition

Let H be simple. Unlike in the ordinary quantum field theory, the path-integration

$$Z^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}_{\Sigma,P}(\,g, A\,; \mathcal{O}) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}/\mathcal{G}_P} \mathcal{D}h\; e^{k^{\mathbf{c}} I^{\tilde{H}}_{\Sigma,P}(A_u, hh^*)} \delta\Big(F_u(h)\Big) \left|\det F^i_{u,h}(\epsilon_j)\right|^2 \mathcal{O}(hh^*)\,, \tag{3.3.5}$$

is ill-defined for generic insertion $\mathcal{O}$ of local fields. This is due to the fact that $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$ WZW model is a field theory *induced* by the integration over gauge fields and that for the integral to be well-defined, the integrand need to be finite everywhere on $\mathcal{A}_P$ or have at most mild singularities. For illustration, we consider a concrete example—two and three point functions on the sphere of a model with $G = SU(2)$, $V = \{0\}$ and $H = SO(3)$.

---

[21] The symbol tr_{G} is the normalised trace of the universal envelooping algebra of Lie(G). See Chapter 2.

We look at the behavior of the integrand $Z^{G}_{\mathbf{P}^1,\mathrm{triv.}}(\,g, A\,;\mathcal{O})$ as the gauge field A lying in the dense orbit $\mathcal{A}_0$ approaches a point in the orbit $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma_3}$ of codimension one. Recall that if A lies in the dense orbit ; $A^{0,1} = h^{-1}\bar{\partial}h$, $h : \mathbf{P}^1 \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$, then we have

$$Z^{G}_{\mathbf{P}^1,\mathrm{triv.}}(A\,;\mathcal{O}) = e^{kI_{\mathbf{P}^1}(hh^*)}\mathcal{O}(hh^*)^{H_{\mathbf{C}}} Z^{G}_{\mathbf{P}^1,\mathrm{triv.}}(0\,;\mathcal{O}(g)^{H_{\mathbf{C}}})\,, \tag{3.3.6}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(hh^*)^{H_{\mathbf{C}}}\mathcal{O}(g)^{H_{\mathbf{C}}}$ is the invariant component of $h\mathcal{O}$ with respect to the global symmetry group $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the trivial connection 0 (see (3.2.18)). Let us consider a family $\{A_{(c)}\}_{c\in\mathbf{C}}$ of gauge fields given by $A^{0,1}_{(c)} = (1-c)A^{0,1}_{(0)}$ where

$$A^{0,1}_{(0)} = \frac{d\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\begin{pmatrix} -z & z^2 \\ 1 & z \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.3.7}$$

lies in the orbit $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma_3}$. If $c \neq 0$, $A_{(c)}$ lies in the dense orbit $\mathcal{A}_0$: $A^{0,1}_{(c)} = h_c^{-1}\bar{\partial}h_c$ where

$$h_c = \frac{1}{1+|z|^2}\begin{pmatrix} c^{\frac{1}{2}} + c^{-\frac{1}{2}}|z|^2 & z(c^{\frac{1}{2}} - c^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \bar{z}(c^{\frac{1}{2}} - c^{-\frac{1}{2}}) & c^{-\frac{1}{2}} + c^{\frac{1}{2}}|z|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3.8}$$

A direct calculation shows that $e^{kI(h_c h_c^*)} = |c|^{2k}e^{k(1-|c|^2)}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{jj}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{j_1j_2j_3}$ be the field insertions $\mathrm{tr}_j g(\infty)\mathrm{tr}_j g(0)$ and $\mathrm{tr}_{j_1} g(\infty)\mathrm{tr}_{j_2} g(1)\mathrm{tr}_{j_3} g(0)$ respectively where tr_j is the trace in the spin j representation of $SU(2)$. Then we have the following asymptotic behavior :

$$\begin{aligned} e^{kI_{\mathbf{P}^1}(h_c h_c^*)}\mathcal{O}_{jj}(h_c h_c^*)^{H_{\mathbf{C}}} &\sim |c|^{2(k-2j)}e^{k(1-|c|^2)} \qquad \text{as } c \to 0, &(3.3.9)\\ e^{kI_{\mathbf{P}^1}(h_c h_c^*)}\mathcal{O}_{j_1j_2j_3}(h_c h_c^*)^{H_{\mathbf{C}}} &\sim |c|^{2(k-j_1-j_2-j_3)}e^{k(1-|c|^2)} \quad \text{as } c \to 0. &(3.3.10)\end{aligned}$$

These are finte in the limit $c \to 0$ if and only if $j \leq \dfrac{k}{2}$ and $j_1 + j_2 + j_3 \leq k$.

A detailed analysis by Gawędzki [14] shows that these are precisely the convergence condition of the integrals

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{C}}/\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{D}h\; e^{(k+4)I_{\mathbf{P}^1}(hh^*)}\delta(hh^*)\mathcal{O}(hh^*)^{H_{\mathbf{C}}}\,, \tag{3.3.11}$$

for $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{jj}$ and for $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{j_1j_2j_3}$ respectively. Fortunately, if $j > \dfrac{k}{2}$ and $j_1 + j_2 + j_3 > k$, the correlators $Z^{G}_{\mathbf{P}^1,\mathrm{triv.}}(0;\mathcal{O}(g)^{H_{\mathbf{C}}})$ for $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{jj}$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{j_1j_2j_3}$ are identically zero due to the fusion rule found by Gepner and Witten [18]. Hence, the integrand $Z^{G}_{\mathbf{P}^1,\mathrm{triv.}}(\,g, A\,;\mathcal{O})$ or the path-integral of the total system never diverges.

It has been conjectured by Gawędzki that this phenomenon generally occurs. That is, the fusion rule of WZW model for compact group $\tilde{H}$ provides a convergence condition for the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$ WZW model.

Direct Path Integration

If $H = U(1)$, the symmetry group S_u is always the group $\mathbf{C}^*$ of constant multiplication. Hence, to fix the gauge degrees of freedom is just to fix the constant mode of the field X. Thus we are left with the integral

$$\int_{Map(\Sigma,\mathbf{R})} \mathcal{D}X \ e^{-I_\Sigma(g,A;X)} \delta(X(x_0)) \mathcal{O}(e^X)\,, \tag{3.3.12}$$

where $x_0 \in \Sigma$ is any base point and the insertion $\mathcal{O}$ satisfies $\mathcal{O}(e^{X+X_0}) = e^{-I_\Sigma(g,A;X_0)}\mathcal{O}(e^X)$. This is essentially a Gaussian integral which can be performed exactly if we suitably renormalize the local fields $e^{\alpha X(z)}$.

If H is simple, the direct calculation gets more difficult. So far it has been done only for topologically trivial H-bundles on the sphere and on the torus by reducing the problem to iterative Gaussian integrals (see Gawędzki [14] and Gawędzki and Kupiainen [16]). This is possible because we can take in that case an Iwasawa-like decomposition of the group $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ which is point-wisely preserved by holonomies of the background connection A_u. For higher genus and for non-trivial H-bundle, a good coordinatization of the homogeneous space $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}/\mathcal{G}_P$ has not been found.[22] However, using the field identification which shall be proved in the next Chapter, the calculation for general H-bundle is reduced to a problem for the trivial bundle.

Ward Identities and the Sugawara Construction

Instead of doing the direct path-integration, we can get an amount of information out of the Sugawara construction together with the Ward identities for current and energy-momentum tensor. We list below the useful identities :

(i) Ward identities (2.1.5) and (2.1.6) for the H-current, (3.3.13)

(ii) Expression (2.1.9) for $T_{z\bar{z}}$, (3.3.14)

(iii) Ward identity (2.1.11) for T_{zz} and $T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$, (3.3.15)

where k and G in the formulae in Chapter 2 should be replaced by $-k^{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\tilde{H}$ respectively. These are essentially the results of the left $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-invariance of the measure $\mathcal{D}h$. The Ward identities (3.3.13) lead to the local expression

$$J\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}v = J^\sigma(v) + k^{\mathbf{c}}\,\mathrm{tr}(A^\sigma v) \qquad ; \quad \bar{\partial}J^\sigma(v) = 0\,, \tag{3.3.16}$$

---

[22] Gawędzki has recently announced [15] that he has found such good coordinatization (=good choice of the family of background gauge fields A_u) for the $SU(2)$-bundle over each Riemann surface of genus ≥ 2.

for the current with respect to a local holomorphic frame σ. We also make the assumption

$$T_{zz} = -\frac{1}{k^{\mathbf{c}} - h^{\vee}} : (J_z, J_z) : \tag{3.3.17}$$

on the relation of the current and the energy-momentum tensor where the product $: J_z J_z :$ on the right hand side is defined as in (2.1.15) with the above mentioned replacement.

Space of States and Representation of Loop Group

We give a description of the space of states of the model. As in the case of WZW model for compact groups, we construct a natural line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over the space $LH_{\mathbf{C}}/LH$ of configuration on a circle S^1 and take as the space of states the space $\Omega^0(LH_{\mathbf{C}}/LH, \mathcal{L})$ of smooth sections. We also discuss on the representation of a loop group on this space.

(For $H = U(1)$)

We record here the description of the space of states which is applicable to any $k^{\mathbf{c}} > 0$ and any $c^1 \in \mathbf{C}$. The construction of the line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^{-1} = \Big(D_\infty \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}\Big)/\sim$ is essentially the same as in Chapter 2. Therefore, we only list below the basic results :

$$\text{(The definition of} \sim \text{)} \qquad (X_\infty + Y, 1) \sim (X_\infty, e^{I_{D_\infty}(Y) + \Gamma_{D_\infty}(X_\infty, Y)}) \,, \tag{3.3.18}$$

$$; \qquad X_\infty, Y : D_\infty \to \mathbf{R} \,, \quad Y|_{-\partial D_\infty} = 0 \,,$$

$$I_{D_\infty}(Y) = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{D_\infty} \partial Y \bar{\partial} Y \,, \quad \Gamma_{D_\infty}(X, Y) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{D_\infty} \partial Y \bar{\partial} X \,. \tag{3.3.19}$$

$$\text{(Definition of the weight)} \qquad e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}(X)} = \{(X_\infty, e^{-I_{\hat{\Sigma}_0}(X_\infty \star X)})\} \,, \tag{3.3.20}$$

$$; \qquad X : \Sigma_0 \to \mathbf{R} \,, \quad X|_{\partial \Sigma_0} = X_\infty|_{-\partial D_\infty} \,.$$

$$\text{(The composition law)} \quad \{(X_1, c_1)\}\{(X_2, c_2)\} = \{(X_1 + X_2, c_1 c_2 e^{-\Gamma_{D_\infty}(X_1, X_2)})\}. \tag{3.3.21}$$

$$\text{(PW identity)} \qquad e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}(X_1 + X_2)} = e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}(X_1)} e^{-I_{\Sigma_0}(X_2)} e^{-\Gamma_{\Sigma_0}(X_1, X_2)} \,. \tag{3.3.22}$$

The bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^{*-1}$ is also defined in the similar way (see Chapter 2.) and we have the

$$\text{(Pairing)} \qquad \{(X_0, c_0)\}.\{(X_\infty, c_\infty)\} = c_0 c_\infty e^{I_{\mathbf{P}^1}(X_\infty \star X_0)} \,, \tag{3.3.23}$$

of elements of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^{*-1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^{-1}$ over the same loop $X_0|_{\partial D_0} = X_\infty|_{-\partial D_\infty}$. We denote by $\tilde{L\mathbf{R}}$ the group $\Big(\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^{-1}\Big)^\times$ of invertible elements. It has the following representation on the space $\Omega^0(L\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^{-1})$ of states :

(Left and right representations) For $\Phi \in \Omega^0(L\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^{-1})$ and $\tilde{x}_L, \tilde{x}_R \in \tilde{L\mathbf{R}}$,

$$\Big(J(\tilde{x}_L)\bar{J}(\tilde{x}_R)\Phi\Big)(x) \;=\; \tilde{x}_L \Phi(x - x_L - x_R) \tilde{x}_R^* \,. \tag{3.3.24}$$

We coordinatize the configuration space $L\mathbf{R}$ by

$$x(\theta) = x_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(x_n e^{in\theta} + \bar{x}_n e^{-in\theta} \right) , \tag{3.3.25}$$

where x_0 is a real parameter and x_n's are complex parameters. A global frame $\sigma_{D_0} : L\mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZ}}^{-1}$ is defined by

$$\sigma_{D_0}(x) = e^{-I_{D_0}(X_x)} \quad \text{where} \quad X_x(z) = x_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(x_n z^n + \bar{x}_n \bar{z}^n \right) . \tag{3.3.26}$$

Let J_n and $\bar{J}_n$ be the generators of J and $\bar{J}$ respectively corresponding to the vector tangent to the curve $\tilde{x}_n(t) = e^{-I_{D_0}(tX_n)}$ where X_n is a function on D_0 defined by $X_n(z) = \varrho(|z|^2) z^n$ (ϱ is a cut off function such that $\varrho(0) = 0$ and $\varrho(1) = 1$). If we denote by $\Phi^{(0)}$ the coefficient of a section Φ of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZ}}^{-1}$ with respect to the frame σ_{D_0} ; $\Phi = \sigma_{D_0} \Phi^{(0)}$, then a calculation shows that

$$\left(J_n \Phi \right)^{(0)} = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \Phi^{(0)} , & \text{if} \quad n \geq 0 \\ \left(-\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_{|n|}} + |n| x_{|n|} \right) \Phi^{(0)} , & \text{if} \quad n \leq -1 , \end{cases} \tag{3.3.27}$$

$$\text{and} \qquad \left(\bar{J}_n \Phi \right)^{(0)} = \begin{cases} -\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}_n} \Phi^{(0)} , & \text{if} \quad n \geq 0 \\ \left(-\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_{|n|}} + |n| \bar{x}_{|n|} \right) \Phi^{(0)} , & \text{if} \quad n \leq -1 . \end{cases} \tag{3.3.28}$$

Note that the subspace spanned by the J-descendants of the state σ_{D_0} is isomorphic to the well-known *Boson Fock space*. When completed with respect to a certain topology, it is preserved by the representation J of the loop group $\widetilde{L\mathbf{R}}$.

(For simple H)

We take as the natural line bundle the restriction $\mathcal{L}$ of the bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZ}}^{-k^{\mathbf{C}}} \to \widetilde{LH}_{\mathbf{C}}$ to the loops of the form $\gamma\gamma^*$ for $\gamma \in LH_{\mathbf{C}}$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{L}$ is the pull back of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZ}}^{-k^{\mathbf{C}}}$ by the map $[\gamma] \in LH_{\mathbf{C}} \mapsto \gamma\gamma^* \in \widetilde{LH}_{\mathbf{C}}$. We take as the space of states the space $\Omega^0(LH_{\mathbf{C}}/LH, \mathcal{L})$ of sections. The group $\widetilde{LH}_{\mathbf{C}}$ acts on this space by

$$\left(\mathcal{J}(\tilde{\gamma}) \Phi \right) \left(\gamma_1 \gamma_1^* \right) = \tilde{\gamma} \Phi(\gamma^{-1} \gamma_1 \gamma_1^* \gamma^{*-1}) \tilde{\gamma}^* . \tag{3.3.29}$$

Note that $\widetilde{\widetilde{LH}}_{\mathbf{C}} \times \widetilde{\widetilde{LH}}_{\mathbf{C}}$ does not act on this space. However, the infinitesimal action induces the representation of the complexification $\left(\widetilde{Lh}_{\mathbf{C}} \right)_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the Lie algebra $\widetilde{Lh}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Decomposing

$\left(\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)_{\mathbf{C}}$ into $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ subspaces, we have the mutually commuting representations of negative level $-k^{\mathbf{c}}$:

$$J = \mathcal{J}^{(1,0)} : \left(\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)_{\mathbf{C}}^{(1,0)} \longrightarrow gl\left(\Omega^0(\mathcal{L})\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{J} = \mathcal{J}^{(0,1)} : \left(\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)_{\mathbf{C}}^{(0,1)} \longrightarrow gl\left(\Omega^0(\mathcal{L})\right). \tag{3.3.30}$$

The action of these generators can be identified with the action of the current in the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$ WZW theory.

States Corresponding to Some Fields and Spectral Flow

Let (D_0, g_0, z) be the standard disc with coordinate and with metric of unit curvature $\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{D_0} R(g_0) = 1$ (see Chapter 1, section 2). We insert a local field at $z = 0$ and we find explicit expression for the wave function at the boundary circle S of D_0. Also, we describe the spectral flow as the screening of local field by certain H-gauge field with integral curvature.

(For $H = U(1)$)

We come back to the definite model with the action given in (3.3.1). Rescaling the field X by $Y = \sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}X$, the action is expressed as

$$I_\Sigma(\, g, A\, ; Y) = \frac{i}{4\pi}\int_\Sigma \Big\{ \partial Y \bar{\partial} Y - 2\Big(\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}} f_A + \frac{c^1}{\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}}\big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\big) R_\Theta\Big) Y \Big\}. \tag{3.3.31}$$

When a local field O is inserted at $z = 0$, the effect of propagation through the standard disc (D_0, g_0) with the basic gauge field A_a can be seen by looking at the state $Z_{D_0}(\, g_0, A_a\, ; O(0)) \in \Omega^0(L\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZ}}^{-1})$ which is formally defined by

$$Z_{D_0}(\, g_0, A_a\, ; O(0))(y) = \int_{y = Y|_S} \mathcal{D}Y\ e^{-I_{D_0}(g_0, A_a; Y)} O\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}} Y\Big)(0)\,, \tag{3.3.32}$$

where the weight is given by $e^{-I_{D_0}(g_0, A_a; Y)} = \{(Y_\infty, e^{-I_{\mathbf{P}^1}(0\star g_0, 0\star A_a; Y_\infty \star Y)})\} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZ}}^{-1}$. For a certain kind of field insertion O, we can detemine such states up to constant. We first consider $O = 1$. In this case, by changing the variable Y by $Y + Y_y$ (see the definition in (3.3.26)) and using the PW identity $e^{-I_{D_0}(Y + Y_y)} = e^{-I_{D_0}(Y_y)} e^{-I_{D_0}(Y)}$, we see that the path-integral factors out and we get

$$Z_{D_0}(\, g_0, A_a\, ; 1)(y) = e^{-I_{D_0}(Y_y)} \exp\Big\{ \frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{D_0} Y_y \Big(\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}} f_{A_a} + \frac{c^1}{\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}}\big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\big) R(g_0)\Big) \Big\}\,. \tag{3.3.33}$$

Since A_a is the basic gauge field and the metric g_0 has the unit curvature, we may as well assume that we can find a function φ on D_0 such that $\varphi(z) = \log|z|^2$+const. on a neighborhood of S and that

$$R(g_0) = \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi\,, \qquad f_{A_a} = a\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi\,. \tag{3.3.34}$$

Then, we see that $\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{D_0} Y_y\Big(\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}} f_{A_a} + \frac{c^1}{\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}}\big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\big)R(g_0)\Big) = y_0\Big(\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}a + \frac{c^1}{\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}}\big(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\big)\Big)$. With respect to the natural coordinates $x_0 = y_0/\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}$, $x_n = y_n/\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}$, the state is expressed as

$$Z_{D_0}(\, g_0, A_a\,; 1)(x) = \sigma_{D_0}(\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}x)e^{(k^{\mathbf{c}}a + c^1(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}))x_0}\,. \tag{3.3.35}$$

Quite in the similar way, we obtain

$$Z_{D_0}(\, g_0, A_a\,; e^{-\Lambda X(0)})(x) = \sigma_{D_0}(\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}x)e^{(-\Lambda + k^{\mathbf{c}}a + c^1(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}))x_0}\,. \tag{3.3.36}$$

In particular, the state corresponding in the standard way to the field $e^{-\Lambda X}$ is given by

$$|\Lambda\rangle = \sigma_{D_0}(\sqrt{k^{\mathbf{c}}}x)e^{(-\Lambda + c^1(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}))x_0}\,. \tag{3.3.37}$$

If it is screened by the basic gauge field A_a, the state $|\Lambda\rangle_a$ appearing at the boundary S is given by (3.3.36), that is,

$$|\Lambda\rangle_a = |\Lambda - k^{\mathbf{c}}a\rangle\,. \tag{3.3.38}$$

We call this map $|O\rangle \to |O\rangle_a$ the spectral flow generated by the gauge field A_a.

(For simple H)

In the theory of field identification we shall develop in the next Chapter, two kinds of fields are of special importance. The first kind are the matrix elements of finite dimensional representations of H admissible with respect to the fusion rules :

$$\rho_\lambda(hh^*)^m_{\bar{m}} \qquad ; \quad \lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k^{\mathbf{c}} - 2h^\vee)}\,. \tag{3.3.39}$$

These come from the dressing $h\mathcal{O}$ of the field insertion $\mathcal{O}$ of the matter field theory M.

The second kind are the fields of the following form[23]:

$$\Big|e^{\lambda + 2\rho}(b(h))\Big|^2 \qquad ; \quad \lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k^{\mathbf{c}} - 2h^\vee)}\,, \tag{3.3.40}$$

where $b(h)$ is the 'Borel part' of the Iwasawa decomposition $h = b(h)U(h)$; $b(h) \in B_0^+$ and $U(h) \in H$. It is assumed that a flag structure at the insertion point is specified. In the sense that becomes clear in Chapter 4, the field (3.3.40) constitutes a part of the *flag partner* of a dressed field composed of fields of the form (3.3.39).

Inserting those fields $\rho_\lambda(hh^*)^{-\lambda^*}_{-\lambda^*}$ and $\Big|e^{\lambda+2\rho}(b(h))\Big|^2$ at the center $z = 0$ of the standard disc (D_0, g_0) with the canonically flat connection $A_0 = 0$, we odtain the states $\Big|(O_\lambda)^{-\lambda^*}_{-\lambda^*}\Big\rangle$ and $|-\lambda - 2\rho\rangle$ at the boundary $S = \partial D_0$. These generate highest weight representations

---

[23] ρ is the half the sum of positive roots of H.

$\mathcal{V}^{\lambda^*}$, $\mathcal{V}_{-\lambda-2\rho} \subset \Omega^0(LH_{\mathbf{C}}/LH, \mathcal{L})$ of the Lie algebra $\left(\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)^{(1,0)}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \left(\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}\right)^{(0,1)}_{\mathbf{C}}$ with highest weights λ^* and $-\lambda - 2\rho$ respectively. It should be noted that these subspaces are not stable by the action of the group $\tilde{L\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{C}}}$. This can be seen in view of the transformation rule (A.2.3) of weights by the action of the affine Weyl group W_{aff} where the L_0-value is not bounded from below due to the negativity of the level.

We now look at the effect of the presence of non-flat gauge field on D_0. We take an element $e^{-i\mu\theta}w \in \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$. Let the field $\left|e^{\lambda+2\rho}(b(h))\right|^2$ be inserted into the disc (D_0, g_0) with the basic gauge field $A_{w^{-1}\mu}$. We observe the resulting state on S standing on the horizontal frame σ related to the original frame s_0 by $s_0 = \sigma e^{-i\mu\theta} n_w$. The observed state is the transform $\tilde{h}\Phi_{-\lambda-2\rho}$ of the state $\Phi_{-\lambda-2\rho} = |-\lambda - 2\rho\rangle$ by the spectral flow $\tilde{h}$ corresponding to $h(z) = z^{-\mu} n_w$ which is defined exactly in the same way as in Chapter 2. The state $\Phi_{-\lambda-2\rho}$ is given by

$$\Phi_{-\lambda-2\rho}(\gamma\gamma^*) = e^{k^{\mathbf{C}} I_{D_0}(bb^*)} \left|e^{\lambda+2\rho}(b(0))\right|^2 , \tag{3.3.41}$$

where γ is the boundary loop of a holomorphic function $b : D_0 \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ with $b(0) \in B_0^+$. A direct calculation shows that the new state $\tilde{h}\Phi_{-\lambda-2\rho}$ is given by $|-w(\lambda + 2\rho) - k^{\mathbf{C}\,\mathrm{tr}}\mu\rangle$. Since $\hat{\rho} = (0, \rho, h^\vee)$ is $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$-invariant, we have $w\rho + h^{\vee\,\mathrm{tr}}\mu = \rho$ which shows that the new state is the same as $|-(w\lambda + (k^{\mathbf{C}} - 2h^\vee)^{\mathrm{tr}}\mu) - 2\rho\rangle$. We note that the space $\mathcal{V}_{-\lambda-2\rho}$ is transformed by this spectral flow to $\mathcal{V}_{-(w\lambda+(k^{\mathbf{C}}-2h^\vee)^{\mathrm{tr}}\mu)-2\rho}$ which follows from the fact that the group $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ preserve the positive affine roots.

CHAPTER 4. FIELD IDENTIFICATION

The fundamental group $\pi_1(H)$ of a compact group H acts simply transitively on the set of isomorphism classes of topological H-bundles over each surface Σ ; $\pi_1(H) \ni \gamma : P \mapsto P\gamma$. We show that the same group $\pi_1(H)$ acts on the set of gauge invariant local fields of the theory ; $\pi_1(H) \ni \gamma : O \mapsto \gamma O$, in such a way that the following holds

$$Z_{\Sigma, P\gamma}(\, g; O_1 \cdots O_s O) = Z_{\Sigma,P}(\, g; O_1 \cdots O_s \gamma O)\,. \tag{4.0.1}$$

This may be referred to as the field identification phenomenon since correlators with O-insertion and with γO-insertion coincides with each other if we sum up over topologies. We cannot see such relation by a glance at the integral expressions (3.2.20) for the correlators because the moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}_P^\circ$ and $\mathcal{N}_{P\gamma}^\circ$ are not in general isomorphic and in certain cases even the dimensions of them are different. In the first half of this chapter, we shall find a new integral expression suited to treat the problem. The basic notions in the reformulation are the flag partner of each gauge invariant local field and the flag strucures on a principal holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle. Making use of the (conjectured) identification between moduli spaces of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles of topological types $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $(P\gamma)_{\mathbf{C}}$ with flag structures at the insertion point, we show the relation (4.0.1) which lead to the field identification.

4.1 The Flag Partner

As the first step to the required reformulation, we express the dressed local field hO as an integral over the flag manifold of H or of the fibre of the H-bundle over the insertion point. The integrand may be referred to as the *flag partner* of hO.

Flag Manifold and the Borel-Weil-Bott Theorem

We first recall some basics on the theory of representation of compact groups à la Borel, Weil and Bott which facilitates our description of the flag partner.

Let $Fl(H)$ be the ensemble of choices of maximal tori and chambres :

$$Fl(H) = \left\{ (T, \mathrm{C})\,; \begin{array}{c} T \text{ is a maximal torus of } H \\ \text{and C is a chambre in } i\mathrm{Lie}(T) \end{array} \right\}. \tag{4.1.1}$$

We choose and fix a pair $(T, \mathrm{C}) \in Fl(H)$. Then, the set $Fl(H)$ is identified with the set H/T of right cosets of T and becomes a manifold called the *(generalized) flag manifold* of H. Furthermore, it can be given a structure of homogeneous complex manifold since the embedding of H into the complexification $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ induces the isomorphism

$$H/T \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\mathbf{C}}/B \tag{4.1.2}$$

of smooth manifolds where B is the Borel subgroup of $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ determined by (T, C).

Let us take a linear form $\lambda \in \mathrm{V}^*$ on $\mathrm{V} = i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ that gives a character $e^{\lambda} : T \to U(1)$ by $e^{2\pi i v} \mapsto e^{2\pi i \lambda(v)}$. Then, it also gives a character $e^{\lambda} : B \to \mathbf{C}^*$ and we can define a homogeneous holomorphic line bundle

$$L_{-\lambda} = H_{\mathbf{C}} \times_B \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow Fl(H)\,, \tag{4.1.3}$$

by the equivalence relation $(hb, c) \sim (h, e^{-\lambda}(b)c)$ where $h \in H_{\mathbf{C}}$, $b \in B$ and $c \in \mathbf{C}$. We denote by $h \cdot c \in L_{-\lambda}$ the equivalence class represented by $(h, c) \in H_{\mathbf{C}} \times \mathbf{C}$. The theorem of Borel, Weil and Bott states that the space $H^0(Fl(H), L_{-\lambda})$ of holomorphic sections is an irreducible $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-module V_{λ^*} of highest weight $\lambda^* = -w_0\lambda$, which is non-zero if and only if λ takes non-negative values on C. See the ref. [5] and also [23].

Note that the line bundle $L_{-\lambda}$ is equipped with an H-invariant hermitian metric $(\ ,\ )_{-\lambda}$ such that an element h of H determines a unitary frame $h \cdot 1$; $(h \cdot c_1, h \cdot c_2)_{-\lambda} = \bar{c}_1 c_2$. Note also that there exists an H-invariant volume form Ω on $Fl(H) = H/T$ since T is compact. Then, we see that an H-invariant hermitian inner product $(\ ,\ )_{Fl(H)}$ on the space $V_{\lambda^*} = H^0(Fl(H), L_{-\lambda})$ of sections is defined by

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2)_{Fl(H)} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}Fl(H)} \int_{Fl(H)} (\psi_1, \psi_2)_{-\lambda}\Omega\,. \tag{4.1.4}$$

Hence, the representation $\rho_{\lambda^*} : H \to GL(V_{\lambda^*})$ becomes unitary.

Let $\{e_m \,;\, m \in \tilde{\mathrm{P}}_\lambda\}$ be an orthonormal base of the hermitian space V_λ consisting of weight vectors where $\tilde{\mathrm{P}}_\lambda$ is an indexing set. We always take the weight λ itself as the index for the highest weight vector. Denoting by $(h)^{m_1}_{m_2}$ the matrix element $(e_{m_1}, \rho_\lambda(h)e_{m_2})$ of $\rho_\lambda(h)$, we put

$$\psi^m(hB) = h \cdot (h)^m_\lambda\,, \tag{4.1.5}$$

for each $m \in \tilde{\mathrm{P}}_\lambda$. Then, $\{\,\psi^m \,;\, m \in \tilde{\mathrm{P}}_\lambda\}$ forms a base of the space $H^0(Fl(H), L_{-\lambda})$ of sections. It is also an orthogonal base with respect to the hermitian product $(\ ,\ )_{Fl(H)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi^{m_1}, \psi^{m_2})_{Fl(H)} &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}Fl(H)} \int_{Fl(H)} (\psi^{m_1}, \psi^{m_2})_{-\lambda}\Omega \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}H} \int_H (\psi^{m_1}(hB), \psi^{m_2}(hB))_{-\lambda}\, dh \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}H} \int_H \overline{(h)^{m_1}_\lambda} (h)^{m_2}_\lambda dh = \frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda} \delta^{m_1, m_2}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1.6}$$

where dh is the Haar measure of H and we have used the theorem of Peter and Weyl in the last step. This orthogonality formula (4.1.6) shall be used in the following argument.

Gauge Invariant Local Fields and the Dressed Fields

We specify the set of gauge invariant fields in the matter theory M. Recall that, in the theory M, there exists a one to one correspondence between local fields and states. The space $\mathcal{H}^M$ of states is decomposed into a direct sum

$$\mathcal{H}^M = \bigoplus_{\Lambda} L^M_\Lambda \otimes \overline{L^M_\Lambda}\,, \tag{4.1.7}$$

of irreducible components of the left and right representations of the symmetry group G^M of the theory. For example, if M is a free fermionic theory, $G^M = \tilde{GL}_{res}(\mathrm{H}^M)$ where H^M is the space of left moving one particle states and there is only one irreducible component. If M is the WZW model at level $k \in \mathbf{N}$ with simply-connected target group G, G^M is the loop group $\tilde{LG}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and the sum $\bigoplus_\Lambda$ is over dominant weights $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^{(k)}_+$. For the matter theory M we are considering, the Kac-Moody algebra $\tilde{Lh}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is contained in the Lie algebra of the symmetry group G^M. We can decompose the space L^M_Λ into irreducible representations of $\tilde{Lh}_{\mathbf{C}}$ à la Goddard, Kent and Olive [19]:

$$L^M_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\lambda} L_{\Lambda,\lambda} \otimes L^H_\lambda\,. \tag{4.1.8}$$

where the space $L_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ is the subspace of L^M_Λ consisting of highest weight states of weight $(\lambda, k^{\mathbf{c}} - 2h^\vee)$ with respect to $\tilde{Lh}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Each non-zero element of $L_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ generates the integrable representation L^H_λ whose lowest energy subspace is identified with the unitary representation V_λ of a covering group $\tilde{H}$ of H.

Let an element Φ_λ of $L_{\Lambda,\lambda} \otimes \overline{L_{\Lambda,\lambda}}$ correspond in the standard way to a field denoted by $(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda$. The $J(N_0^-) \times \bar{J}(N_0^-)$ descendants of $(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda$ form a $(\dim V_\lambda)^2$-dimensional representation $\{(O_\lambda)^{\bar{m}}_m\,;\, m, \bar{m} \in \tilde{\mathrm{P}}_\lambda\}$ of $\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{C}} \times \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$:

$$J(h_L)\bar{J}(h_R)(O_\lambda)^{\bar{m}}_m = (h^*_R)^{\bar{m}}_{\bar{m}'}(O_\lambda)^{\bar{m}'}_{m'}(h_L)^{m'}_m\,. \tag{4.1.9}$$

Note that $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ can act on this space by $h \mapsto J(\tilde{h})\bar{J}(\tilde{h})$, where $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$ represents $h \in H_{\mathbf{C}}$.

We now recall that the gauge invariance condition for the local field O is stated as (see the equations in (1.1.8))

$$\begin{aligned} \Big(J(v) + \bar{J}(v)\Big)\,O &= 0 \qquad \text{for } v \in \mathrm{Lie}(H)\,, && (4.1.10)\\ J(z^n v)O = \bar{J}(z^n v)O &= 0 \qquad \text{for } v \in \mathrm{Lie}(H) \text{ and } n \geq 1\,. && (4.1.11) \end{aligned}$$

By Schur's lemma, we can define a one to one correspondence between the gauge invariant local fields and the states in the subspace

$$\bigoplus_{\Lambda,\lambda} L_{\Lambda,\lambda} \otimes \overline{L_{\Lambda,\lambda}}\,, \tag{4.1.12}$$

of $\mathcal{H}^M$: The state Φ_λ chosen above corresponds to the gauge invariant field given by

$$\frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}\mathrm{tr}_\lambda O_\lambda = \frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}\sum_{m\in\tilde{\mathrm{P}}_\lambda}(O_\lambda)^m_m\,, \tag{4.1.13}$$

where tr_λ is the trace over the vector space V_λ. The dressed field is then given by

$$\frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}h\left(\mathrm{tr}_\lambda O_\lambda\right) = \frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}\mathrm{tr}_\lambda\left(O_\lambda hh^*\right)\,, \tag{4.1.14}$$

where we recall that $h\mathcal{O}$ is the field insertion defined by $h\mathcal{O}(g) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1}gh^{*-1})$ (see the explanation of the eq. (2.1.4)).

For example, if the matter M is the WZW model targetting G and if $H = G/Z_G$, then we have $L_{\Lambda,\lambda} = \delta_{\Lambda,\lambda}\mathbf{C}$. A generator of $L_{\lambda,\lambda}\otimes\overline{L_{\lambda,\lambda}}$ corresponds to $\mathrm{tr}_\lambda\left(g^{-1}\right)$ and the dressed field is given by $\mathrm{tr}_\lambda\left(g^{-1}hh^*\right)$.

Integral Expression of Gauge Invariant Fields

We now express the dressed field $\frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}\mathrm{tr}_\lambda\left(O_\lambda hh^*\right)$ as an integral over the flag manifold $Fl(H)$. We introduce a field valued differential form $\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)$ on $Fl(H)$ of top degree defined by the following statement : At the point $h_1B \in Fl(H)$ represented by $h_1 \in H$, it is related to the H-invariant volume form Ω by

$$\left(\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)\right)_{h_1B} = h_1(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda\left|e^{\lambda+2\rho}(b(h_1^{-1}h))\right|^2\Omega_{h_1B}\,, \tag{4.1.15}$$

where $b(h_1^{-1}h) \in B$ is the Borel-part of the Iwasawa decomposition $H_{\mathbf{C}} = B\cdot H$ of $h_1^{-1}h \in H_{\mathbf{C}}$. As shall be proved shortly, the following relation (R) holds true

$$\text{(R)}\qquad \mathcal{L}^*_{h^{-1}}\Omega_{h^{-1}h_1B} = \left|e^{2\rho}(b(h_1^{-1}h))\right|^2\Omega_{h_1B}\,, \tag{4.1.16}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{h^{-1}}$ denotes the left translation $\mathcal{L}_{h^{-1}} : Fl(H) \to Fl(H)$ defined by $\mathcal{L}_{h^{-1}}h_1B = h^{-1}h_1B$. Then, we have

$$\left(\mathcal{L}^*_h\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)\right)_{h^{-1}h_1B} = h_1(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda\left|e^{\lambda}(b(h_1^{-1}h))\right|^2\Omega_{h^{-1}h_1B}\,. \tag{4.1.17}$$

The Iwasawa decomposition $h^{-1}h_1 = Ub(h_1^{-1}h)^{-1}$ shows that we have a representative $U \in H$ of $h^{-1}h_1B$ such that $h^{-1}h_1(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda = U(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda\left|e^{-\lambda}(b(h_1^{-1}h))\right|^2$. It then follows that

$$\left(\mathcal{L}^*_h\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)\right)_{UB} = hU(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda\,\Omega_{UB} = h(O_\lambda)^{\bar{m}}_m(U^{-1})^\lambda_{\bar{m}}(U)^m_\lambda\,\Omega_{UB}\,, \tag{4.1.18}$$

which amounts to the following identity of top differential forms :

$$\mathcal{L}_h^*\Omega_\lambda(hh^*) = h(O_\lambda)_m^{\bar{m}}(\psi^{\bar{m}}, \psi^m)_{-\lambda}\Omega\,, \tag{4.1.19}$$

where ψ^m is a holomorphic section of the line bundle $L_{-\lambda}$ over the flag manifold $Fl(H)$ with the expression (4.1.5). Integrating this over $Fl(H)$, we finally have the main result of this section :

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}Fl(H)}\int_{Fl(H)}\Omega_\lambda(hh^*) = \frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}\mathrm{tr}_\lambda\left(O_\lambda hh^*\right)\,, \tag{4.1.20}$$

where we have used the orthogonality formula (4.1.6).

(Proof of the relation (R) *)* Using the Iwasawa decomposition of $h_1^{-1}h$, we see that to prove (R) is equivalent to prove the following relation for $b \in B$:

$$\mathcal{L}_b^*\Omega_B = \left|e^{-2\rho}(b)\right|^2\Omega_B\,. \tag{4.1.21}$$

Since the $(1,0)$-tangent space at the origin B of $Fl(H)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Lie}(H_{\mathbf{C}})/\mathrm{Lie}B$, we have only to show that $e^{-2\rho}(b)$ is the coefficient of $\bigwedge_{-\alpha\in\Delta_-} e_{-\alpha}$ in the weight vector decomposition of $\bigwedge_{-\alpha\in\Delta_-}\mathrm{ad}b(e_{-\alpha})$.[24] To show this, we introduce a total ordering $<$ in the set Δ_- of negative roots such that

$$\text{if }\ -\alpha < -\beta\ ,\ \ -\alpha \notin -\beta + \Delta_+\ . \tag{4.1.22}$$

It is easy to see that there exists such ordering. Then, the root vector decomposition of $\mathrm{ad}b(e_{-\beta})$ does not involve $e_{-\alpha}$ if $-\alpha < -\beta$. This completes the proof.

The Flag Partner

Suppose that the dressed gauge invariant field $\frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}\mathrm{tr}_\lambda(O_\lambda hh^*)$ is inserted into a correlator $Z^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\Sigma,P}(g, A\,;\cdots)$ of the combined system of the matter theory M, the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model and the adjoint ghost system. We assume that the background gauge field A is chosen to be flat on a disc D_0 around the insertion point $x \in \Sigma$. If we choose a horizontal frame s_0 on D_0, we can define the field-state correspondence and the inserted field may be expressed as an integral (4.1.20) over the flag manifold $Fl(H)$.

To get an intrinsic formula which does not refer to such choice of the frame, we introduce the flag manifold $P_x/T \cong P_{\mathbf{C}x}/B$ of the fibre P_x over $x \in \Sigma$ which is denoted by $Fl(P_x)$. Since the choice $s_0(x) \in P_x$ determines an isomorphism

$$Fl(H) \longrightarrow Fl(P_x)\,, \tag{4.1.23}$$

---

[24] We denote by e_α a root vector corresponding to $\alpha \in \Delta$.

by $hB \mapsto s_0(x)hB$, we find it more natural to think of $\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)$ a measure on $Fl(P_x)$ rather than a measure on $Fl(H)$. It is rewritten as

$$\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)_f = (O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda(f)\Big|e^{\lambda+2\rho}(b_f(h))\Big|^2\Omega_f\,, \tag{4.1.24}$$

where $f = sB \in Fl(P_x)$ is a flag over x represented by an H-frame $s \in P_x$. The field $(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda(f)$ correspond to the state $\Phi_\lambda \in L_{\Lambda,\lambda} \otimes \overline{L_{\Lambda,\lambda}}$ with respect to the horizontal frame that coincides with $s \in P_x$ at x. $b_f(h)$ is the Borel part of the Iwasawa decomposition of the coefficient h^s of $h(x) = sh^s$. As it should be, we can check that these fields $(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda(f)$ and $b_f(h)$ do not depend on the choice of the representative $s \in P_x$ of the flag f. The volume form Ω on $Fl(H)$ is sent by the map (4.1.23) to an $\mathrm{ad}_H P_x$-invariant volume form on $Fl(P_x)$ denoted again by Ω.

Making use of the ghost fields, we may further rewrite the measure $\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)$ in another form. Let us take an open subset U_F of $Fl(P_x)$ which is coordinatized by complex parameters $f^1, \cdots, f^{|\Delta_+|}$. We assume that there is a family $\{\sigma_f\}_{f\in U_F}$ of holomorphic sections of $(P_{\mathbf{C}}, \bar\partial_A)$ over the neighborhood D_0 of x such that $\sigma_f(x)B = f$. Then, the symbol $(\partial\sigma_f/\partial f^\alpha)\sigma_f^{-1}$ determines a holomorphic section $\nu_\alpha(f)$ of $(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}, \bar\partial_A)$ over D_0. Using the singular behavior (1.1.3) of the product $b(z)c(w)$ of ghosts as $z \to w$, we obtain the following expression [25] for $\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)$ on U_F :

$$\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)_f = \prod_{\beta=1}^{|\Delta_+|} d^2f^\beta \oint_x b\,\nu_\beta(f)\oint_x \bar b\,\bar\nu_\beta(f) O_\lambda(f)\,, \tag{4.1.25}$$

where
$$O_\lambda(f) = (O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda(f)\left|e^{\lambda+2\rho}(b_f(h))\right|^2 \prod_{-\alpha<0} c_s^{-\alpha}\bar c_s^{-\alpha}\,. \tag{4.1.26}$$

In the expression (4.1.26), $c_s^{-\alpha}$ is the coefficient of the ghost $c(x) = s \cdot e_a c_s^a$ with respect to an H-frame s such that $sB = f$. Note that the product $\prod_{-\alpha<0} c_s^{-\alpha}\bar c_s^{-\alpha}$ does not depend on the choice of the representative $s \in P_x$ of the flag f.

We call the field $O_\lambda(f)$ given in (4.1.26) the *flag partner* of the dressed invariant field $\frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}\mathrm{tr}_\lambda(O_\lambda hh^*)$. It is a local field in the combined system of the matter field theory M, the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model and the adjoint ghost system. It should be noted that it is defined only after a flag f over the insertion point x is specified.

Remark. Though it plays less important role in this paper, we introduce a fermionic current

$$Q = J^M c + J^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H} c + \frac{1}{2} :J^{\mathrm{gh}} c:\,, \tag{4.1.27}$$

---

[25] Here and henceforth, we denote the normalized contour integral $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint$ by $\oint$.

where J^M, $J^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}$ and J^{gh} are the H-currents of the matter field theory M, the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model and the adjoint ghost system respectively. The product $:J^{\mathrm{gh}}c:$ is defined by the point splitting regularization as in the equations (1.1.14), (2.1.14), though this time we do not need to subtract any singular term. It is possible to see that the current Q is meromorphic as well as gauge invariant. The product of $Q(z)$ with the dressed invariant field $\frac{1}{\dim V_\lambda}\mathrm{tr}_\lambda(O_\lambda hh^*)(w)$ or with the flag partner $O_\lambda(f)(w)$ is regular as $z \to w$.

In the literature [7], $\hat{t}$-relative $d = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint Q$-cohomology groups are calculated by choosing suitable $\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}$-modules for the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-part to construct the cochain complex. They include as a non-trivial element, the state of the form

$$|\lambda\rangle_M \otimes |-\lambda-2\rho\rangle_{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H} \otimes \prod_{-\alpha<0} c_0^{-\alpha}|0\rangle_{\mathrm{gh}}\,, \tag{4.1.28}$$

where $|\lambda\rangle_M$ is a state in the matter theory M which is highest with weight $(\lambda, k^{\mathbf{c}} - 2h^\vee)$ with respect to $\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}$, $|-\lambda-2\rho\rangle_{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H}$ is a highset weight state with weight $(-\lambda-2\rho, -k^{\mathbf{c}})$ in a suitably chosen $\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}$-module and $|0\rangle_{\mathrm{gh}}$ is the natural vacuum of the ghost sector. This state seems to correspond to the left moving part of our flag partner $O_\lambda(f)$ where the flag f is represented by a frame that determines the field-state correspondence. It should be emphasized however that, to calculate correlators using $O_\lambda(f)$, in general we must integrate over all flags with suitable b-ghost insertions.

4.2 A NEW INTEGRAL EXPRESSION

We combine the result of Chapter 3 and the result of the preceding section. The correlation function (3.0.1) is expressed as an integral over a certain space which is generically a flag bundle over the moduli space of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles.

Combination of the Results

Let O be a gauge invariant field of the matter field theory M. We consider the correlation function $Z_{\Sigma,P}(g;\mathcal{O}O(x))$ of O inserted at $x \in \Sigma$ and other gauge invariant fields $\mathcal{O} = O_1(x_1)\cdots O_s(x_s)$ inserted elsewhere. The result of Chapter 3 states that the correlator is given by an integral of a measure $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P}(g,\mathcal{O}O(x))$ over the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_P$ of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles of topological type $P_{\mathbf{C}}$. If we choose a coordinatized open subset U with a holomorphic family $\{A_u\}_{u\in U}$ of representing gauge fields, the measure on U is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O(x))_u \qquad\qquad (4.2.1)\\ &= \prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} d^2u^a\, Z^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,g, A_u\,;\delta^{(2d_S)}\Big(F_u(h)\Big)\prod_{i=1}^{d_S} F^i_{u,h}(c)\bar{F}^i_{u,h}(\bar{c})\prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}}\Big\langle b,\nu_a(u)\Big\rangle\Big\langle\bar{b},\bar{\nu}_a(u)\Big\rangle\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\,\tilde{O}(x)\,\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\tilde{O}$ are the dressed gauge invariant fields. We recall that $Z^{\rm tot}_{\Sigma,P}(g,A\,;\mathcal{O})$ is the correlation function for the *total system* — the combined system of the matter system M, the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model and the adjoint ghost system.

If we use the result of the section 4.1 which expresses the dressed field $\tilde{O}$ as an integral over the flag manifold $Fl(P_x)$, we see that the following measure on $U\times Fl(P_x)$ reproduces the above measure $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P}$ after the integration along each $Fl(P_x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Omega}^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O)_{(u,f)} = \prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}} d^2u^a \prod_{\alpha=1}^{|\Delta_+|} d^2f^\alpha\, Z^{\rm tot}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,g, A_u\,;\delta\Big(F_u(h)\Big)\prod_{i=1}^{d_S} F^i_{u,h}(c)\bar{F}^i_{u,h}(\bar{c}) \\ \times\prod_{a=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}}}\Big\langle b,\nu_a(u)\Big\rangle\Big\langle \bar{b},\bar{\nu}_a(u)\Big\rangle \prod_{\alpha=1}^{|\Delta_+|}\oint_x b\nu_\alpha(f)\oint_x \bar{b}\bar{\nu}_\alpha(f)\,\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\,O(f)\,\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.2}$$

where $O(f)$ denotes the flag partner of the dressed field $\tilde{O}(x)$.

At this stage, however, it is not obvious whether this form $\tilde{\Omega}^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ on $U\times Fl(P_x)$ extends to a well defined form on some flag bundle over the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_P$.

Transformation Properties of the Form $\tilde{\Omega}^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$

To find an answer to this question, let $\{A_{1u}\}_{u\in U}$ and $\{A_{2u}\}_{u\in U}$ be two families of representatives that are related by

$$A_{1u} = A_{2u}^{h_{21u}}\,, \tag{4.2.3}$$

through a family $\{h_{21u}\}_{u\in U}$ of chiral gauge transformations. The groups $S_{iu} = {\rm Aut}\bar{\partial}_{A_{iu}}$ of symmetries are then related by $S_{1u} = h_{21u}^{-1}S_{2u}h_{21u}$. Hence, if $\{F_{1u}\}$ is a family of residual gauge-fixing functions for $\{S_{1u}\}$, $F_{2u}(h) = F_{1u}(h_{21u}^{-1}h)$ determines a family $\{F_{2u}\}$ of residual gauge-fixing functions for the symmetries $\{S_{2u}\}$. Correlators of the total system with the backgrounds A_{1u} and A_{2u} are related by

$$\begin{aligned} & Z^{\rm tot}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,A_{1u}\,;\delta\Big(F_{1u}(h)\Big)\prod_{i=1}^{d_S} F^i_{1u,h}(c)\bar{F}^i_{1u,h}(\bar{c})\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\prod(b;\bar{b})O(f)\,\Big) \\ &=\, Z^{\rm tot}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(A_{2u}\,;\delta\Big(F_{2u}(h)\Big)\prod_{i=1}^{d_S} F^i_{2u,h}(c)\bar{F}^i_{2u,h}(\bar{c})\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\prod(h_{21u}^{-1}bh_{21u};\,h_{21u}^*\bar{b}h_{21u}^{*-1})h_{21u}O(f)\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.2.4}$$

where $\prod(b;\bar{b})$ is any functional of the fields b and $\bar{b}$. To derive this relation, we have used the fact that the chiral anomaly is absent in the total system : $kr^H_G + r^H_V - k^{\mathbf{c}} + 2h^\vee = 0$. (See the equation (3.3.3).)

Making use of the Iwasawa decomposition of $h_{21u}(x)\in {\rm ad}_{H_{\mathbf{C}}}P_{\mathbf{C}}$ with respect to the flag $f\in Fl(P_x)$, it is possible to see that

$$h_{21u}O(f) = O(h_{21u}f)\,, \tag{4.2.5}$$

where the action of $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ on the flag manifold $Fl(P_x) = (P_{\mathbf{C}})_x/B$ is induced by the action on $(P_{\mathbf{C}})_x$. Now, it is enough to note the relation

$$\delta A^{(0,1)}_{1u} = h^{-1}_{21u}\delta A^{(0,1)}_{2u} h_{21u} + \bar{\partial}_{A_{1u}}\Big(h^{-1}_{21u}\delta h_{21u}\Big)\ , \tag{4.2.6}$$

to see that the form $\tilde{\Omega}^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ on $\{1\}\times U\times Fl(P_x)$ with the representative $\{A_{1u}\}_{u\in U}$ coincides with one on $\{2\}\times U\times Fl(P_x)$ with the representative $\{A_{2u}\}_{u\in U}$, under the identification of the two spaces given by

$$(1,u,f) \longleftrightarrow (2,u,h_{21u}f)\,. \tag{4.2.7}$$

The Space $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{P,x}$

Let $\{U_i\}$ be an open covering of the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_P$ such that each U_i is endowed with a holomorphic family $\{A_{iu}\}_{u\in U_i}$ of representing gauge fields. If U_i and U_j intersect with each other, we can choose a family $\{h_{iju}\}_{u\in U_i\cap U_j}$ of chiral gauge transformations such that $A_{ju} = A_{iu}^{h_{iju}}$.

If the symmetry group $S_{iu} = \mathrm{Aut}\bar{\partial}_{A_{iu}}$ is trivial everywhere, the families $\bigcup\limits_{i,j}\{h_{iju}\}$ necessarily satisfy the triangle identities :

$$h_{iju}h_{jku} = h_{iju}\,, \qquad \text{for} \quad u\in U_i\cap U_j\cap U_k\,. \tag{4.2.8}$$

Hence we can define the flag bundle $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{P,x}\xrightarrow{Fl(P_x)}\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_P$ by identifying $(i,u,f)\in\{i\}\times U_i\times Fl(P_x)$ and $(j,u,h_{jiu}f)\in\{j\}\times U_j\times Fl(P_x)$ if $u\in U_i\cap U_j$. Then, $\tilde{\Omega}^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ extends to a measure $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ on the flag bundle $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{P,x}$ and we have

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}(g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O(x)) = \int_{\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{P,x}}\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O)\,. \tag{4.2.9}$$

If the symmetry groups S_{iu} are non-trivial and act non-trivially on the flag manifold $Fl(P_x)$, the situation is subtle. It may be possible that any choice of families $\cup_{i,j}\{h_{iju}\}$ does not satisfy the triangle identities and even if there exists a good choice, it is highly non-canonical.

To avoid such subtlety, we shall perform the integration along each S_u-orbit, expecting to obtain a well-defined measure on the space of S_u-orbits. However, the group S_{iu} is generically non-compact and each quotient $S_u\backslash Fl(P_x)$ is not even Hausdorff. We now argue that we can select out certain orbits with good quotients. An orbit through the flag f is the homogeneous space $S_u/S_{u,f}$ where $S_{u,f}$ is the group of symmetries of A_u that fix the flag f. Since the upper semi-continuity theorem for $\dim S_{u,f}$ is expected to hold, it may be possible to find an open dense subset $S^{\max}_u F_x$ of $Fl(P_x)$ consisting of

S_u-orbits of maximum dimensions. In the integration along S_u-orbits in $Fl(P_x)$, we have only to take into account the contribution of the subset $S_u^{\max}F_x$, unless the form $\tilde{\Omega}^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ has distributional supports in the complement $Fl(P_x) - S_u^{\max}F_x$. Expecting the quotient $S_u \backslash S_u^{\max}F_x$ or the family $\bigcup\limits_{u\in U} S_u\backslash S_u^{\max}F_x$ of quotients to be a good space (such as manifold or orbifold), we introduce the space

$$\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x} = \Big(\bigcup_i \bigcup_{u\in U_i} \{i\}\times U_i \times S_{iu}\backslash S^{\max}_{iu}F_x\Big)\Big/\sim\,, \tag{4.2.10}$$

where the equivalence relation is given by $(i,u,[f]) \sim (j,u,[h_{jiu}f])$ for $u \in U_i \cap U_j$. Then, we expect that the integration of $\tilde{\Omega}^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ along each S_u orbit becomes a well-defined measure $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ on the space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$. This shall be established shortly.

Note that the space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ can be considered as a subset of $\mathcal{A}_P \times_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl(P_x)$, where the group $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ acts holomorphically on the product space $\mathcal{A}_P \times Fl(P_x)$ by $((A,f),h) \mapsto (A^h, h^{-1}f)$. As we shall see in the next section, this space can be identified with a moduli space of certain holomorphic objects — holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with flag structure at one point x.

Assumption of the Existence of Holomorphic Family

To pave the way to find a new expression for the integral (3.0.1), we study local properties of the space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$.

First, we introduce notations. Recall that $d_{\mathcal{N}}$ and d_S denote the dimensions of the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_P$ and the symmetry group S_u for $u \in \mathcal{N}^\circ_P$ respectively. We denote by d_{S_f} the dimension of the symmetry group $S_{u,f}$ where the pair (A_u, f) represents an element of $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$. Then, the expected dimension $d_{\mathcal{N}_f}$ of the space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ is given by $d_{\mathcal{N}_f} = d_{\mathcal{N}} + |\Delta_+| - d_S + d_{S_f}$.

We assume without proof that the following holds : For a generic point $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$, we can find a coordinatized neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of v_0 in $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ in such a way that there is a family $\{\,(A_v, f_v) \in \mathcal{A}_P \times Fl(P_x)\,\}_{v\in\mathcal{V}}$ of representatives depending holomorphically on the coordinates $v^1, \cdots, v^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}}$. We take a family of holomorphic trivializations $\sigma_0(v)$ over a neighborhood U_0 of x such that $\sigma_0(v,x)B = f_v$. We also take a family $\sigma_\infty(v)$ of holomorphic trivializations over the open subset $U_\infty = \Sigma - \{x\}$. We take such families $\sigma_0(v)$ and $\sigma_\infty(v)$ of trivializations so that the transition function $h_{\infty 0}(v)$ defined by $\sigma_0(v) = \sigma_\infty(v)h_{\infty 0}(v)$ depends holomorphically on the coordinates v^{A}.

We choose a coordinate system $v^1, \cdots, v^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ in such a way that the last $(d_{\mathcal{N}_f} - d_{\mathcal{N}})$-tuples of coordinates $v^{d_{\mathcal{N}}+\alpha} = v^{d_{\mathcal{N}}+1}, \cdots, v^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}}$ deform only flags, that is, $\partial\sigma_\infty(v)/\partial v^{d_{\mathcal{N}}+\alpha} = 0$. Then, we see that the section $\nu_\alpha(v) = \big(\partial\sigma_0(v)/\partial v^{d_{\mathcal{N}}+\alpha}\big)\sigma_0(v)^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}$ defined on U_0

is expressed as

$$\nu_\alpha(v) = \sigma_0(v) \cdot h_{\infty 0}(v)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{d_{\mathcal{N}}+\alpha}} h_{\infty 0}(v)\,. \tag{4.2.11}$$

Let us choose trivializations s_0 and s_∞ of $P|_{U_0}$ and $P|_{U_\infty}$ respectively that do not depend on v. We introduce the functions $h_0(v)$ and $h_\infty(v)$ that relate the sections $\sigma_0(v)$, s_0 of $P_{\mathbf{C}}|_{U_0}$ by $\sigma_0(v) = s_0 h_0(v)^{-1}$ and the sections σ_∞, s_∞ of $P_{\mathbf{C}}|_{U_\infty}$ by $\sigma_\infty(v) = s_\infty h_\infty(v)^{-1}$ respectively. Since the connection A_v is represented by $\bar\partial_{A_v} s_0 = s_0 \cdot h_0(v)^{-1} \bar\partial h_0(v)$ on U_0 and by $\bar\partial_{A_v} s_\infty = s_\infty \cdot h_\infty(v)^{-1} \bar\partial h_\infty(v)$ on U_∞, the deformation of A_v for the variation of v is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_v^{(0,1)} &= \bar\partial_{A_v}\Big(s_0 \cdot h_0(v)^{-1} \delta h_0(v)\Big) \qquad \text{on } U_0 & (4.2.12)\\ &= \bar\partial_{A_v}\Big(s_\infty \cdot h_\infty(v)^{-1} \delta h_\infty(v)\Big) \qquad \text{on } U_\infty\,. & (4.2.13) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if b is a section of $K \otimes \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}$ which is holomorphic with respect to $\bar\partial_{A_v}$ on the supports of $s_0 \cdot h_0(v)^{-1}\delta h_0(v)$ and $s_\infty \cdot h_\infty(v)^{-1}\delta h_\infty(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Sigma b\, \delta A_v^{(0,1)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_x b\Big(s_0 \cdot h_0(v)^{-1}\delta h_0(v) - s_\infty \cdot h_\infty(v)^{-1}\delta h_\infty(v)\Big) & (4.2.14)\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_x b\, \sigma_0(v) \cdot h_{\infty 0}(v)^{-1} \delta h_{\infty 0}(v)\,, & (4.2.15) \end{aligned}$$

where the contour encircles the point x.

The New Integral Expression

We shall obtain a measure $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(g; \mathcal{O}\, O)$ by integrating the form $\tilde\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ along each S_u-orbit in $Fl(P_x)$ that corresponds to a point in $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$.

We start with the coordinatization. We take a holomorphic family $\{\,(A_v, f_v)\,\}_{v\in\mathcal{V}}$ on an open set $\mathcal{V}$ in $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ which is coordinatized as in the above argument. We denote by $\underline{v}$ the first $d_{\mathcal{N}}$-tuples of v : $\underline{v} = (v^1, \cdots, v^{d_{\mathcal{N}}})$. We may think that the connection A_v depends only on $\underline{v}$ and hence we write the symmetry groups and the residual gauge-fixing functions by $S_{\underline{v}} = \mathrm{Aut}\bar\partial_{A_v}$ and $F^i_{\underline{v}}$. We may as well assume that we can find a submanifold $\mathcal{T}_{\underline{v}}$ of $S_{\underline{v}}$ that is projected diffeomerphically onto $S_{\underline{v}}/S_{\underline{v},f_v}$ for every $v \in \mathcal{V}$. (If it does not exist, we take some open covering $\{V_i\}$ of $\cup_v S_{\underline{v}}/S_{\underline{v},f_v}$ and argue in the same way on each V_i.) We choose a local coordinate $t^1, \cdots, t^{d_S - d_{S_f}}$ on an open set in $\mathcal{T}_{\underline{v}}$.

The measure $\tilde\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}$ is then expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\,g\,; \mathcal{O}\, O)_{(v,h_t f_v)} = &\prod_{\mathrm{A}=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}} d^2 v^{\mathrm{A}} \prod_{i=1}^{d_S - d_{S_f}} d^2 t^i\, Z^{\mathrm{tot}}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,g, A_v\,; \delta\Big(F_{\underline{v}}(h)\Big) \prod_{i=1}^{d_S} F^i_{\underline{v},h}(c) \bar F^i_{\underline{v},h}(\bar c) \\ &\times \prod_{\mathrm{A}=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}} \oint_x b\, \nu_{\mathrm{A}}(v) \oint_x \bar b\, \bar\nu_{\mathrm{A}}(v) \prod_{i=1}^{d_S - d_{S_f}} \oint_x b\, \nu_i(v,t) \oint_x \bar b\, \bar\nu_i(v,t)\, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\, O(h_t f_v)\,\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.16}$$

where $\nu_{\rm A}(v)$ and $\nu_i(v,t)$ are the holomorphic sections of $({\rm ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}, \bar\partial_{A_v})$ on the subset $U_0 \cap U_\infty$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\rm A}(v) &= \sigma_0(v) \cdot h_{\infty 0}(v)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\rm A}} h_{\infty 0}(v)\,, && (4.2.17) \\ \nu_i(v,t) &= \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^i} h_t\Big) h_t^{-1}. && (4.2.18) \end{aligned}$$

The absence of chiral anomaly shows that the above measure $\tilde\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O)$ at $(A_v, h_t f_v)$ is expressed as

$$\prod_{\rm A=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}} d^2 v^{\rm A} \prod_{i=1}^{d_S - d_{S_f}} d^2 t^i \, Z^{\rm tot}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\, g, A_v\,; \delta\Big(F_{\underline{v}}(h_t h)\Big) \prod_{i=1}^{d_S} F^i_{\underline{v},h_t h}(h_t c h_t^{-1}) \bar F^i_{\underline{v},h_t h}(h_t^{*-1} \bar c h_t^*) \qquad (4.2.19)$$

$$\times \prod_{\rm A=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}} \oint_x b\, \nu_{\rm A}(v) \oint_x \bar b\, \bar\nu_{\rm A}(v) \prod_{i=1}^{d_S - d_{S_f}} \oint_x h_t b h_t^{-1} \nu_i(v,t) \oint_x h_t^{*-1} \bar b h_t^*\, \bar\nu_i(v,t)\, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\, O(f_v)\,\Big).$$

At this stage, we change the order of the integrations : We consider the field $h \in \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ to be fixed and first integrate over $\mathcal{T}_{\underline{v}}$. For each $h \in \mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$, let $h_{\underline{v}}(h)$ be the unique element of $S_{\underline{v}}$ such that $F_{\underline{v}}(h_{\underline{v}}(h) h) = 0$. We denote by $h_0(h)$ the unique element of $\mathcal{T}_{\underline{v}}$ which lies in $h_{\underline{v}}(h) S_{\underline{v},f_v}$. We choose a neighborhood $U_{\mathcal{T}}$ of $h_0(h)$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\underline{v}}$ and reparametrize the image $\mathbf{C}^{d_S}$ of the function $F_{\underline{v}}$ in such a way that the followings hold :

(i) If $h' \in h_0(h) S_{\underline{v},f_v}$ satisfies $F^1_{\underline{v}}(h'h) = \cdots = F^{d_{S_f}}_{\underline{v}}(h'h) = 0$, then $h' = h_{\underline{v}}(h)$.

(ii) For each $h'' \in U_{\mathcal{T}}$, $F^{d_{S_f}+1}_{\underline{v}}, \cdots, F^{d_S}_{\underline{v}}$ are constant along $h'' S_{\underline{v},f_v} h$.

Roughly speaking, $F^i_{\underline{v}}$ for $1 \le i \le d_{S_f}$ varies in the direction of $S_{\underline{v},f_v}$-orbit and $F^j_{\underline{v}}$ for $d_{S_f} < j \le d_S$ varies in the direction of $\mathcal{T}_{\underline{v}} \cong S_{\underline{v}}/S_{\underline{v},f_v}$. The first condition (i) shows that the functions $F^i_v(h) = F^i_{\underline{v}}(h_0(h)h)$ for $1 \le i \le d_{S_f}$ play the role of residual gauge-fixing functions for the symmetry groups $S_{\underline{v},f_v}$.

If we integrate over $U_{\mathcal{T}}$, we see that the delta function serves the factor

$$\left| \det\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^j} F^{d_{S_f}+i}_{\underline{v}}(h_t h) \Big) \right|^{-2} \delta^{(2 d_{S_f})}\Big(F_v(h)\Big)\,. \qquad (4.2.20)$$

At the same time, we deform the contours of the integrals $\oint_x b\, h_t^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^i} h_t$, then, the field b meets the c-insertions $F^j_{\underline{v},h_t h}(h_t c h_t^{-1})$. The contour integrals around these c-insertions serve a determinant factor that cancels with the determinant in the formula (4.2.20).

Thus we have reached to the following measure on $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O)_v \qquad (4.2.21)$$

$$= \prod_{\rm A=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}} d^2 v^{\rm A}\, Z^{\rm tot}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\, g, A_v\,;\delta\Big(F_v(h)\Big) \prod_{i=1}^{d_{S_f}} F^i_{v,h}(c) \bar F^i_{v,h}(\bar c) \prod_{\rm A=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}} \oint_x b\, \nu_{\rm A}(v) \oint_x \bar b\, \bar\nu_{\rm A}(v)\, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}\, O(f_v)\,\Big),$$

We can check that this expression is independent on the choice of the holomorphic family $\{\,(A_v, f_v)\,\}_{v\in\mathcal{V}}$. This shows that the form $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O)$ extends to a well-defined measure on the space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$. We have thus obtained the new integral expression for the correlation function :

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O(x)) = \int_{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}} \Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,O)\,. \tag{4.2.22}$$

4.3 The Moduli Space Of Holomorphic Principal Bundles With Flag Structure — Examples

As has been noticed in the preceding section, the space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ introduced in the course of finding the expression (4.2.22) is a subset of the set $\mathcal{A}_P\times_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl(P_x)$ of $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbits in the product space $\mathcal{A}_P\times Fl(P_x)$. This set $\mathcal{A}_P\times_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl(P_x)$ can naturally be identified with the set of isomorphism classes of certain holomorphic objects — holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with quasi-flag structure at one point. Using this fact, we give an explicit description of the space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ for some simple cases.

Holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-Bundles with Quasi-Flag Structure

We fix a maximal torus T of H and a chambre C and we denote by B the corresponding Borel subgroup of $H_{\mathbf{C}}$. For a holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ over Σ, a choice of flag $f \in \mathcal{P}_x/B$ at $x \in \Sigma$ is called a *quasi-flag structure* of $\mathcal{P}$ at x.[26] Two holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with quasi-flag structure at x say $(\mathcal{P}_1, f_1)$ and $(\mathcal{P}_2, f_2)$ are said to be *isomorphic* when there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles which sends the flag f_1 to f_2. Notice that the set of isomorphism classes of quasi-flag structures of a holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ at x is given by the set $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{P}_x/B$ where $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}$ is the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}$. In the rest of the paper, we shall abbreviate the term 'quasi-'.

As in the case without flags, for a principal H-bundle P, the set $\mathcal{A}_P\times_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl(P_x)$ of $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$-orbits in the space $\mathcal{A}_P\times Fl(P_x)$ can naturally be identified with the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles of topological type $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ with quasi-flag structure at x.

A method is given to characterize a holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle with flag structure that represents a class identified with an element of $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$. For a holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ with flag structure f at x, we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, f)$ the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}$ that preserve the flag f. Then, $(\mathcal{P}, f)$ represents a class that is identified with an element of $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}$ represents a class identified with an element of $\mathcal{N}^\circ_P$ and $\dim\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, f) \le \dim\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, f')$ for other choices f' of flags.

On the Sphere

We classify the holomorphic principal bundles over the complex projective line $\mathbf{P}^1$ with quasi-flag structure at one point. We follow the notation of section 3.1.

We start with the example in which $H = SU(n)/\mathbf{Z}_n$ or $H_{\mathbf{C}} = PSL(n, \mathbf{C})$. We choose the Borel subgroup $B = B_0^+$ that is represented by the set of upper triangular matrices. Recall that the holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles on $\mathbf{P}^1$ are classified using the Birkhoff factorization theorem. A detailed statement of the same theorem also classifies the holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with flag structure at, say, $z = 0$. Let $(\mathcal{P}, f)$ be a holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle with a flag f at $z = 0$. Let σ_0 be a frame on the z-plane U_0 such that $\sigma_0(0)B = f$, and let σ_∞ be a frame on the w-plane U_∞. These are related by the transition rule $\sigma_0 = \sigma_\infty h_{\infty 0}$ where $h_{\infty 0}$ is represented by a multivalued holomorphic map from $U_0 \cap U_\infty$ to $SL(n, \mathbf{C})$. The theorem states [31] that there is a unique element $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ such that

$$h_{\infty 0}(z) = b_-(z) z^{-a} b_+(z)^{-1}\,, \tag{4.3.1}$$

where b_- extends to a map from U_∞ and b_+ extends to a map from U_0 such that $b_+(0) \in B$. Thus, the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with quasi-flag structure at $z = 0$ is identified with the discrete set $\mathrm{P}^\vee$. For each $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_a$ the pair $(\mathcal{P}_{[a]}, f_a)$ of an $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ with the transition rule $\sigma_0^{(a)} = \sigma_\infty^{(a)} z^{-a}$ and a flag $f_a = \sigma_0^{(a)}(0)B$ at $z = 0$.

Now let us calculate the dimension of the symmetry group $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_a \subset \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$. Recall that an element h of $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ is represented with respect to the frame $\sigma_0^{(a)}$ by an $SL(n, \mathbf{C})$-valued function of z whose i-j-th entry $(h_0)^i_j(z)$ is a span of $1, z, \cdots, z^{a_i - a_j}$ if $a_i \geq a_j$ and zero if $a_i < a_j$. This element h belongs to $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_a$ if $h_0(0) \in B$, that is, if $(h_0)^i_j(0) = 0$ for $i > j$. Thus, we see that the dimension is given by

$$\dim \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_a = \dim \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_{[a]} - \sum_{\substack{i>j \\ a_i \geq a_j}} 1 = n - 1 + \sum_{i<j} \Big(|a_i - a_j| + \theta_{a_i, a_j} \Big)\,, \tag{4.3.2}$$

where $\theta_{x,y} = 0$ if $x < y$ and $\theta_{x,y} = 1$ if $x \geq y$. An element $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ minimizes this value in its permutation class if and only if the entries satisfy $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$. That is, $\dim \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_a \leq \dim \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_{wa}$ for any $w \in W = S_n \iff a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee \cap (-\overline{\mathrm{C}})$.

Remember that there is a smooth $SU(n)/\mathbf{Z}_n$-bundle $P^{(j)}$ for each $j \in \mathcal{J}_0$ such that $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P^{(j)}}$ is one point $\{\mathcal{P}_{[\mu_j]}\}$. By the above statement of the Birkhoff theorem, the set of distinct flag structures on $\mathcal{P}_{[\mu_j]}$ is identified with the Weyl orbit $W\mu_j$. Let $n_{w_j w_0}$ denote the matrix given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_j \\ \mathbf{1}_{n-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{\frac{j(n-j)}{n}}\,, \tag{4.3.3}$$

which represents the element w_jw_0 of W. In the above expression, $\mathbf{1}_j$ denotes the $j \times j$ identity matrix. Since $a = \mathrm{ad}n_{w_jw_0}^{-1}\mu_j = (w_jw_0)^{-1}\mu_j$ satisfies $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$, it is the unique element in the orbit $W\mu_j$ that minimizes the dimension of the symmetry group $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_a$. Hence $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{P^{(j)},x}$ consists of one point which is represented by $\mathcal{P}_j = (\mathcal{P}_{[\mu_j]}, f_j)$ where $\mathcal{P}_{[\mu_j]}$ is an $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle with the transition rule

$$\sigma_0(z) = \sigma_\infty(z) z^{-\mu_j} n_{w_jw_0} \, , \tag{4.3.4}$$

and f_j is the flag $\sigma_0(0)B$.

For general centerless simple group H, the story is essentially the same. We choose a maximal torus T and a chambre C (see Appendix 2 for notations). The corresponding Borel subgroup is denoted by B. Isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with flag structure at $z = 0$ are indexed by the lattice $\mathrm{P}^\vee$: Each $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ indexes an isomorphism class represented by $\mathcal{P}_a = (\mathcal{P}_{[a]}, f_a)$ where $\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ is an $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle with the transition rule $\sigma_0^{(a)} = \sigma_\infty^{(a)} z^{-a}$ and f_a is the flag $\sigma_0^{(a)}(0)B$ at $z = 0$. The dimension of the group $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_a$ of automorphisms is given by

$$\dim \mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_a = l + \sum_{\alpha > 0} \Big(|\alpha(a)| + \theta_{\alpha(a),0} \Big) \, , \tag{4.3.5}$$

which is minimized, within each Weyl orbit, by a unique element in $-\overline{\mathrm{C}}$. For each $j \in \mathcal{J}_0$, $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{P^{(j)},x}$ consists of one point which is represented by $\mathcal{P}_j = (\mathcal{P}_{[\mu_j]}, f_j)$ where $\mathcal{P}_{[\mu_j]}$ is an $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle with the transition rule

$$\sigma_0(z) = \sigma_\infty(z) z^{-\mu_j} n_{w_jw_0} \, , \tag{4.3.6}$$

and f_j is the flag $\sigma_0(0)B$. In the above, $n_{w_iw_0}$ is an element of N_T that represents the element $w_jw_0 \in W$.

On Torus with $H = SO(3)$

We next consider the case in which Σ is the torus and the gauge group H is $SO(3)$. This time, we realize the torus Σ_τ by $\mathbf{C}^*/q^{\mathbf{Z}}$ where $q^{\mathbf{Z}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathbf{C}^*$ generated by $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. This is obtained by the previous realization $\mathbf{C}/(\mathbf{Z}+\tau\mathbf{Z})$ through the exponential map $\zeta \mapsto z = e^{-2\pi i \zeta}$.

First, we describe several holomorphic $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundles over the torus Σ_τ.

We recall that a flat $SO(3)$ connection on the trivial bundle is represented by the holonomies $\tilde{a} = e^{2\pi i \phi \sigma_3}$ and $\tilde{b} = e^{2\pi i \psi \sigma_3}$ where σ_3 is one of the Pauli's matrices. The corresponding holomorphic $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundle is obtained by identifying the points in $\mathbf{C} \times PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ in the following way : $(\zeta, g) \equiv (\zeta + 1, \tilde{a}^{-1}g) \equiv (\zeta + \tau, \tilde{b}^{-1}g)$. If we introduce a frame $\sigma_u^{(0)}(z) = (\zeta, e^{-2\pi i \phi \zeta \sigma_3})$ which is single valued along the closed loop $\zeta \to \zeta + 1$, this bundle denoted by $\mathcal{P}_u^{(0)}$ is described by the transition rule :

$$\sigma_u^{(0)}(zq) = \sigma_u^{(0)}(z) e^{-2\pi i u \sigma_3}\,, \qquad u = \psi - \tau\phi\,. \tag{4.3.7}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{P}_u^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{u'}^{(0)}$ are isomorphic if and only if $u' \equiv \pm u$ modulo $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} + \frac{\tau}{2}\mathbf{Z}$.

In the similar way, the holomorphic $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundle corresponding to the unique flat $SO(3)$-bundle of non-trivial topology is described by the transition rule

$$\sigma_F^{(1)}(zq) = \sigma_F^{(1)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{4}} z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ -q^{\frac{1}{4}} z^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{4.3.8}$$

and is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_F^{(1)}$.

There is a topologically trivial semi-stable $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundle $\mathcal{P}_{00}^{(0)}$ which does not come from a flat $SO(3)$-connection. It is described by the transition rule

$$\sigma_{00}^{(0)}(zq) = \sigma_{00}^{(0)}(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{4.3.9}$$

This is not isomorphic but is equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_0^{(0)}$ ($\mathcal{P}_{00}^{(0)} \sim \mathcal{P}_0^{(0)}$; see the footnote in the section 3.1). In fact, $\{\mathcal{P}_u^{(0)}\}_u \cup \{\mathcal{P}_{00}^{(0)}\}$ is the set of all semi-stable $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundles with trivial topology.

Finally, there is a parametrized family $\{\mathcal{P}_u^{(1)}\}$ of topologically non-trivial holomorphic $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundles. The bundle $\mathcal{P}_u^{(1)}$ is described by the transition rule

$$\sigma_u^{(1)}(zq) = \sigma_u^{(1)}(z) e^{2\pi i (u + \frac{1}{4})\sigma_3} z^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}\,. \tag{4.3.10}$$

It is not even semi-stable. $\mathcal{P}_u^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{u'}^{(1)}$ are isomorphic if and only if $u' \equiv u$ modulo $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} + \frac{\tau}{2}\mathbf{Z}$. Of course there are many holomorphic bundles of other *types*, though we do not list them up.

For every holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ described by the transition rule $\sigma(zq) = \sigma(z) h_q(z)$, an automorphism of $\mathcal{P}$ is given by a holomorphic map $h : \mathbf{C}^* \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that $\sigma(zq)h(zq) = \sigma(z)h(z)h_q(z)$ or $h(zq) = h_q(z)^{-1} h(z) h_q(z)$. We list below the group $\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_\star^{(\epsilon)}$ of automorphisms of the bundle $\mathcal{P}_\star^{(\epsilon)}$ given above. The typical elements of the automorphism groups are represented with respect to the frames $\sigma_\star^{(\epsilon)}$:

$$\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}_u^{(0)} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}^* \quad \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \ c \in \mathbf{C}^*, & \text{if } u \not\equiv 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{1+\tau}{4} \\ PSL(2,\mathbf{C}) \quad h \in PSL(2,\mathbf{C}), & \text{if } u \equiv 0 \\ \mathbf{C}^* \tilde{\times} \mathbf{Z}_2 \quad \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ -c^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ c \in \mathbf{C}^*, & \text{if } u \equiv \frac{1}{4} \\ \mathbf{C}^* \tilde{\times} \mathbf{Z}_2 \quad \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c z^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ -c^{-1} z^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ c \in \mathbf{C}^*, & \text{if } u \equiv \frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{1+\tau}{4} \end{cases} \tag{4.3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{00} &\cong \mathbf{C} && \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ x\in\mathbf{C}, && (4.3.12)\\
\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{F} &\cong \mathbf{Z}_2\times\mathbf{Z}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, &&&& (4.3.13)\\
\mathrm{Aut}\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{u} &\cong B_0^- && \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ x\vartheta_{\tau,u}(z) & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix},\ c\in\mathbf{C}^*,\ x\in\mathbf{C}\,, && (4.3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta_{\tau,u}$ is the theta function given by $\vartheta_{\tau,u}(z)=\vartheta(\tau,\zeta+2u+\frac{1+\tau}{2})$.[26] Note that $\vartheta_{\tau,u}(1)=0$ if and only if $u\equiv 0$.

We next consider the flag structures on these bundles $\mathcal{P}^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}$ at the point $z=1$. A flag at $z=1$ is identified with a ray in the vector space $\mathbf{C}^2$ by the following map :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{P}^1 = \left(\mathbf{C}^2-\{0\}\right)\big/\mathbf{C}^* \longmapsto \sigma^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}(1)\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} B \in \left(\mathcal{P}^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}\right)_{z=1}\big/B\,, \qquad (4.3.15)$$

where the matrix in the right hand side is made unimodular by choosing suitable numbers x_3 and x_4. It should be recalled that $\left(\mathcal{P}^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}, \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{P}^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}, \left[h(1)\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}\right]\right)$ if $h(z)$ represents an automorphism $\sigma^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}(z)\mapsto\sigma^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}(z)h(z)$ of $\mathcal{P}^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}$. Having these in mind we obtain the following list of the flag structures at $z=1$ on the $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ bundles $\mathcal{P}^{(\epsilon)}_{\star}$:

On topologically trivial semi-stable bundles we have

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\text{if } u\not\equiv 0,\frac14,\frac{\tau}{4},\frac{\tau+1}{4}, & \text{if } u\equiv\frac14,\frac{\tau}{4},\frac{1+\tau}{4}, & \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{u},\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=\{1\},\\
\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{u},\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=\mathbf{C}^*,\\
\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{u},\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=\mathbf{C}^*,
\end{array}\right.
&
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{u},\begin{bmatrix}1\\1\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=\mathbf{Z}_2,\\
\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{u},\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=\mathbf{C}^*,
\end{array}\right.
&
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{00},\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=\{1\},\\
\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{00},\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=\mathbf{C},
\end{array}\right.\\
& & \left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{0},\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=B_0^+.
\end{array}$$

(4.3.16)

On the topologically non-trivial semi-stable bundle coming from the flat $SO(3)$-bundle, we have

$$\left(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{F},\begin{bmatrix}1\\y\end{bmatrix}\right),\ \mathrm{Aut}=\begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } y\not\equiv 0,1,i,\\ \mathbf{Z}_2 & \text{if } y\equiv 0,1,i, \end{cases} \qquad (4.3.17)$$

where the flag structures $\begin{bmatrix}1\\y\end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix}1\\y'\end{bmatrix}$ are isomorphic to each other if and only if $y'\equiv y$, that is, y' coincides with one of $y,-y,y^{-1}$ or $-y^{-1}$.

---

[26] $\vartheta(\tau,\zeta)$ is the Riemann's theta function defined by $\vartheta(\tau,\zeta)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}q^{\frac12 n^2}z^{-n}$ where $q=e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $z=e^{-2\pi i\zeta}$.

On the one parameter family of topologically non-trivial non semi-stable bundles, we have

$$\text{if } u \not\equiv 0, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(1)}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \ \mathrm{Aut} = \mathbf{C}^*, \\ \left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(1)}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right), \ \mathrm{Aut} = B_0^-, \end{array} \right. \quad \text{and if } u \equiv 0, \ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\mathcal{P}_0^{(1)}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right), \ \mathrm{Aut} = \mathbf{C}, \\ \left(\mathcal{P}_0^{(1)}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \ \mathrm{Aut} = B_0^-, \\ \left(\mathcal{P}_0^{(1)}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right), \ \mathrm{Aut} = B_0^-. \end{array} \right. \qquad) \tag{4.3.18}$$

In the above expressions, each "Aut" denotes the automorphism group of the corresonding holomorphic bundle with flag structure.

Recall that the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv}}$ for the topologically trivial bundle is represented by the family $\{\mathcal{P}_u^{(0)}\}_{u \not\equiv\, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{1+\tau}{4}}$ of $PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$-bundles and the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{non-triv}}$ for the topologically non-trivial bundle is one point $\{\mathcal{P}_F^{(1)}\}$. By looking at the dimensions of the symmetry group of the flag structures listed above, we see that

$$\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv},x} \;=\; \left\{ \left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(0)}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \right\}_{u \not\equiv 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{\tau+1}{4}} \cong \mathbf{C} \Big/ \Big(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} + \tfrac{\tau}{2}\mathbf{Z} \Big) \tilde{\times} \mathbf{Z}_2 - \{\text{4-points}\} \tag{4.3.19}$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{non-triv},x} \;=\; \left\{ \left(\mathcal{P}_F^{(1)}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) \right\}_{y \in \mathbf{C}} \cong (\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2) \Big\backslash \mathbf{P}^1 . \tag{4.3.20}$$

If $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv},x}$ is compactified by attaching the points $\left(\mathcal{P}_u^{(0)}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$, $u = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{\tau+1}{4}$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_{00}^{(0)}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$, then we see that the compactified moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv},x}}$ coinsides topologically with the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{non-triv},x} \cong S^2$. Moreover, it seems that the families of automorphism groups coincide with each other : Generically there is no non-trivial symmetry, but there are three points with Aut $\cong \mathbf{Z}_2$. In the next section, we shall see that this is not an accident by constructing a natural bijection between $\overline{\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv},x}}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{non-triv},x}$. In fact, this is an essential step to observe the field identifications.

4.4 The Hecke Correspondence

Let us remind ourselves of the action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(H)$ on the set of isomorphism classes of topological H-bundles over a surface Σ.

Let P be a principal H-bundle over Σ. We take a trivialization s_0 of P on a disc D_0 in Σ and denote by s the trivialization restricted to the boundary $S = \partial D_0$. Let us take a closed loop $\gamma : S \to H$. Deleting from P the restriction $P|_{D_0^\circ}$ over the interior D_0° of the disc D_0, we attach the trivial bundle $D_0 \times H$ to the rest $P - P|_{D_0^\circ}$ by the identification

$$s_0'(z) \longleftrightarrow s(z)\gamma(z) \qquad z \in S, \tag{4.4.1}$$

where s'_0 is a trivialization of $D_0 \times H$. The topological type of the resulting principal H-bundle $P\gamma$ depends only on the topological type of P and the homotopy type of γ. This operation determines the action of $\pi_1(H)$, since the set of homotopy types of maps $S \to H$ is identified with the group $\pi_1(H)$ under an arbitrary orientation preserving parametrization $[0,1] \to S$. In view of the fact that $P - P|_{D_0^\circ}$ is trivializable, it is easy to see that this action is free and transitive.

As a last step to derive the field identification, we show that this action lifts to an action on the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with quasi-flag structure at one point. Namely, for topologically distinct H-bundles P and P', we find a way to identify the sets $\mathcal{A}_P \times_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl(P_x)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{P'} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{P'_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl(P'_x)$. We also argue that, under this identification, the moduli spaces $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ and $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P',x}$ have a chance to correspond essentially to each other. This is presened as a conjecture and is verified in several examples.

The Lift in the Abelian Case

We choose and fix a neighborhood U_0 of a point x in Σ provided with a complex coordinate z such that $z(x) = 0$.

First, we recall the situation in the abelian case $H = U(1)$, $\pi_1 H = \mathbf{Z}$. In this case, we can find a lift of the action of $\pi_1(H)$ to an action on the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundles without reference to any additional structure such as *flag*. For each $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, 'tensoring by $\mathcal{O}(x)^a$' induces an isomorphism of $\mathrm{Pic}_{c_1}\Sigma$ onto $\mathrm{Pic}_{c_1+a}\Sigma$, where $\mathrm{Pic}_{c_1}\Sigma$ is the moduli space of holomorphic $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundles over Σ of winding number $c_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$. It is given in the following way.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a holomorphic $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundle of winding number c_1. We take a (holomorphic) trivialization σ_0 of $\mathcal{P}|_{U_0}$ and denote by σ the restriction of σ_0 to the open set $U_0 - \{x\}$. By a surgery of $\mathcal{P}$, we construct another $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundle $\mathcal{P}'$ of winding nimber $c_1 + a$. We delete from $\mathcal{P}$ the fibre $\mathcal{P}_x$ over x and attach the trivial bundle $U_0 \times \mathbf{C}^*$ to the rest $\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_x$ by the following identification :

$$\sigma'_0(z) \longleftrightarrow \sigma(z) z^{-a} \qquad z \in U_0 - \{x\}, \tag{4.4.2}$$

where σ'_0 is a trivialization of $U_0 \times \mathbf{C}^*$. Then, the resulting space $\mathcal{P}'$ can be given a structure of holomorphic $\mathbf{C}^*$-bundle. The isomorphism class of the bundle $\mathcal{P}'$ does not depend on the choice of the trivialization σ_0 used in the construction nor on the choice of the coordinate $z : U_0 \to \mathbf{C}$. Moreover, two isomorphic bundles $\mathcal{P}_1 \cong \mathcal{P}_2$ are mapped to two isomorphic bundles $\mathcal{P}'_1 \cong \mathcal{P}'_2$. Since any holomorphic family $\{\mathcal{P}_t\}$ is obviously mapped to another holomorphic family $\{\mathcal{P}'_t\}$, we have obtained an isomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}_{c_1}\Sigma \to \mathrm{Pic}_{c_1+a}\Sigma$ giving rise to the action of $\pi_1 U(1) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ on $\mathrm{Pic}\Sigma$.

The Lift for Simple Groups

Now we consider the case in which H is simple and centerless. We use the notations introduced in the Appendix 2.

We choose and fix a maximal torus T of H and a chambre C. Recall that the fundamental group $\pi_1(H)$ is isomorphic to the center $\mathrm{P}^\vee/\mathrm{Q}^\vee$ of the universal covering group $\tilde{H}$. The group $\mathrm{P}^\vee/\mathrm{Q}^\vee$ is in turn isomorphic to the subgroup $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ of the affine Weyl group W'_{aff} of LH consisting of elements that preserve the alcôve $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$. If an element γ of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ is represented by a loop $e^{i\theta} \mapsto \gamma(e^{i\theta})$, the holomorphic extention $h_\gamma : U_0 - \{x\} \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ satisfies the following : If $b : U_0 \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a holomorphic map such that $b(x) \in B = B_0^+$, the map $h_\gamma b h_\gamma^{-1} : U_0 - \{x\} \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ extends to a holomorphic map from U_0 to $H_{\mathbf{C}}$ whose value at x belongs to B. It may be recalled that h_γ is expressed as $h_\gamma(z) = z^{-\mu_j} n_{w_j w_0}$ for some $j \in \mathcal{J}_0$ where $n_{w_j w_0} \in N_T$ represents the element $w_j w_0 \in W$.

For a holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ over Σ with flag structure f at x, a trivialization σ_0 of $\mathcal{P}$ over a neighborhood of x is said to be *admissible with resect to* f or simply *admissible* when the value $\sigma_0(x)$ at x represents the flag f, that is, when $f = \sigma_0(x)B$.

Let γ be an element of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ and let $h_\gamma(z)$ be the holomorphic extention of a representative loop of γ. A choice of admissible trivialization σ_0 of $(\mathcal{P}, f)$ over the coordinate neighborhood U_0 determines the following surgery of $\mathcal{P}$ which gives another holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle over Σ with flag structure at x. We delete the fibre $\mathcal{P}_x$ over x from $\mathcal{P}$ and attach the trivial bundle $U_0 \times H_{\mathbf{C}}$ to the rest $\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_x$ by the identification

$$\sigma'_0(z) \longleftrightarrow \sigma(z) h_\gamma(z) \qquad z \in U_0 - \{x\}\,, \tag{4.4.3}$$

where σ'_0 is a trivialization of $U_0 \times H_{\mathbf{C}}$ and σ denotes the restriction of σ_0 to the open set $U_0 - \{x\}$. Then, the resulting space can be given a structure of a holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle denoted by $\mathcal{P}'$. We can also give $\mathcal{P}'$ a flag structure f' at x by saying that the trivialization σ'_0 is admissible. We call this operation the *γ-surgery with respect to* σ_0 or simply *γ-surgery.* Another choice of admissible trivialization $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ determines another γ-surgery of $\mathcal{P}$ which gives a bundle $(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}', \tilde{f}')$ isomorphic to $(\mathcal{P}', f')$: If $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is related to σ_0 by $\tilde{\sigma}_0 = \sigma_0 b$ through a holomorphic map $b : U_0 \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ with $b(x) \in B$, an isomorphism $(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}', \tilde{f}') \to (\mathcal{P}', f')$ is defined by $\tilde{\sigma}'_0 \to \sigma'_0 h_\gamma^{-1} b h_\gamma$ over U_0 and $id : \mathcal{P}|_{\Sigma - \{x\}} \to \mathcal{P}|_{\Sigma - \{x\}}$ over $\Sigma - \{x\}$. Moreover, γ-surgeries of isomorphic bundles with isomorphic flag srtucture $(\mathcal{P}_1, f_1) \cong (\mathcal{P}_2, f_2)$ lead also to isomorphic bundles with isomorphic flag structure $(\mathcal{P}'_1, f'_1) \cong (\mathcal{P}_2,' f'_2)$: If an isomorphism $h_{21} : (\mathcal{P}_1, f_1) \to (\mathcal{P}_2, f_2)$ is represented over U_0 by $\sigma_{10} \to \sigma_{20}(h_{21})_0$ with respect to admissible trivializations, $(h_{21})_0 : U_0 \to H_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a holomorphic map with $(h_{21})_0(x) \in B$. Therefore, an isomorphism $h'_{21} : (\mathcal{P}'_1, f'_1) \to (\mathcal{P}'_2, f'_2)$ is defined by the map $\sigma'_{10} \to \sigma'_{20} h_\gamma^{-1}(h_{21})_0 h_\gamma$ over U_0 and by $h_{21}|_{\Sigma-\{x\}}$ over $\Sigma - \{x\}$. Thus, we see that the γ-surgery induces the transformation $\{(\mathcal{P}, f)\} \longrightarrow \{(\mathcal{P}', f')\} = \{(\mathcal{P}, f)\}\gamma_x$ of the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with flag structure at x. It is easy to see that this transformation is uniquely determined by γ and x.

Since these transformations $\{\gamma_x\,;\,\gamma \in \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}\}$ apparently preserve the composition law of the group $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$, they determine an action of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ on the set of holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles with flag structure at x. As is obvious by the construction, this is a lift of the action of $\pi_1(H) \cong \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ on the set of isomorphism classes of topological H-bundles. In other words, γ_x maps the set $\mathcal{A}_P \times_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl(P_x)$ bijectively onto the set $\mathcal{A}_{P\gamma} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{P\gamma_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl((P\gamma)_x)$.

The Conjecture

One important property of the map γ_x is that it preserves the automorphism groups. Namely, if the bundle $(\mathcal{P}', f')$ is obtained by the γ-surgery of the bundle $(\mathcal{P}, f)$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, f)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}', f')$. This can be seen by putting $(\mathcal{P}_1, f_1) = (\mathcal{P}_2, f_2)$ in the preceding argument on the isomorphisms.

Recall that an element of the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ is identified with an isomorphism class represented by a holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ with flag structure f at x that satisfies the following conditions : $\mathcal{P}$ represents a class identified with an element of $\mathcal{N}^\circ_P$ and $\dim \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, f) \leq \dim \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}, \tilde{f})$ for every flag $\tilde{f}$ at x.

Having these in mind, we make the following conjecture : *There is a method to compactify the moduli space* $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ *by attaching suitable points of* $\mathcal{A}_P \times_{\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}} Fl(P_x)$ *in such a way that for each* $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$, *the compactified moduli space* $\overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}}$ *is mapped isomorphically onto another space* $\overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P\gamma,x}}$ *by* γ_x. If this holds true, we have the following double fibration

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P\gamma,x}} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
\overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_P} & & \overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P\gamma}}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.4.4}$$

where the projections correspond to 'forgetting the flags'. This seems to be what mathematicians call the *Hecke correspondence.* [29]

Examples on the Sphere

We consider the case in which H is simple. Recall that the set of isomorphism classes of the holomorphic $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles over complex projective line $\mathbf{P}^1$ with flag structure at $z = 0$ is indexed by the lattice $\mathrm{P}^\vee$. The bundle with flag structure $\mathcal{P}_a$ indexed by $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ is described by the transition rule $\sigma_0^{(a)}(z) = \sigma_\infty^{(a)}(z) z^{-a}$ where $\sigma_0^{(a)}$ is an admissible trivialization over the z-plane. The bundle $\mathcal{P}'_a$ obtained by the γ_j-surgery[27] of $\mathcal{P}_a$ with respect to $\sigma_0^{(a)}$ is then described by the transition relation $\sigma_0^{(a)\prime} = \sigma_\infty^{(a)\prime} z^{-a} z^{-\mu_j} n_{w_j w_0}$ of an admissible trivialization $\sigma_0^{(a)\prime}$ and a trivialization $\sigma_\infty^{(a)\prime}$ over $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0\}$. This shows that the action of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ on the set of isomorphism classes is represented by the action

$$(a, \gamma_j) \mapsto (w_j w_0)^{-1}(a + \mu_j)\,, \tag{4.4.5}$$

on the indexing set $\mathrm{P}^\vee$.

As we have seen in the preceding section, the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P^{(j)},x}$ is one point. The unique element is represented by the bundle $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_{(w_j w_0)^{-1}\mu_j}$ that is described by the transition relation $\sigma_0(z) = \sigma_\infty(z) z^{-\mu_j} n_{w_j w_0}$ of an admissible section σ_0 on the z-plane and a section σ_∞ on the w-plane. Hence we see that the γ_j-surgery induces the following map :

$$\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P^{(i)},x} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{j,x}} \mathcal{N}^\circ_{P^{(i')},x}\,, \tag{4.4.6}$$

where $\gamma_{i'} = \gamma_i \gamma_j$. Thus, the conjecture holds on the sphere.

Example with $\Sigma =$ Torus and $H = SO(3)$

For $H = SO(3)$, we know that there is only one non-trivial element γ_1 in $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}} \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$ which is represented by a path

$$\gamma_1(\theta) = e^{-i\theta\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} n \qquad ; \;\; n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.4.7}$$

in $SU(2)$. We apply the γ_1-surgery to the topologically trivial semi-stable bundles with flag structure at $z = 1$ that are listed in (4.3.16) and we argue whether the conjecture holds true.

A $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundle $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ in the list (4.3.16) is described by the transition rule of the form $\sigma(zq) = \sigma(z) h_q(z)$, and a flag is realized by a ray $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ in $\mathbf{C}^2$. If we choose a matrix $h_f \in SL(2,\mathbf{C})$ such that $(h_f)^1_1 = x_1$ and $(h_f)^2_1 = x_2$, then, $\sigma_0(z) = \sigma(z) h_f$ is an admissible trivialization on a neighborhood U_0 of $z = 1$. Hence, the γ_1-surgery of $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ with respect to σ_0 leads to a bundle $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ with an admissible trivialization σ'_0 on U_0 and a trivialization σ on $\mathbf{C}^* - q^{\mathbf{Z}}$ that are related by

$$\sigma'_0(z) \;=\; \sigma(z) h_f (z-1)^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3} n\,, \qquad z \in U_0 - \{z = 1\}\,, \tag{4.4.8}$$

$$\sigma(zq) \;=\; \sigma(z) h_q(z)\,, \qquad z \notin q^{\mathbf{Z}}\,. \tag{4.4.9}$$

---

[27] We denote by γ_j the element of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ represented by the loop $\gamma_j(\theta) = e^{-i\mu_j\theta} n_{w_j w_0}$.

If we could find $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-valued functions $\tilde{\chi}$ on $\mathbf{C}^* - q^{\mathbf{Z}}$ and h'_q on $\mathbf{C}^*$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\chi}(zq) = h_q(z)^{-1}\tilde{\chi}(z)h'_q(z) \\ \chi(z) = n^{-1}(z-1)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}h_f^{-1}\tilde{\chi}(z) \quad \text{is regular as } z \to 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.4.10}$$

then, σ' given by $\sigma'(z) = \sigma(z)\tilde{\chi}(z)$ determines a multivalued section of $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$ satisfying $\sigma'(zq) = \sigma'(z)h'_q(z)$. The flag at $z = 1$ is given by $f' = \sigma'(1)\chi(1)^{-1}B$.

The conservation $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}, f) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}, f')$ of symmetry groups under γ_1-surgery enables us to guess the form of $h'_q(z)$ by looking at the lists (4.3.17) and (4.3.18) of topologically non-trivial holomorphic bundles with flag structure at $z = 1$. After a calculation, we find the solution listed below. Each arrow → signifies the γ_1-surgery and $\tilde{\chi}$ indicates how the regular multivalued section σ' is related to the old singular (multivalued) section σ :

If $u \not\equiv 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_u, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad \to \quad \left(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_F, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ y_u \end{bmatrix}\right) \qquad y_u = iq^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{2\pi i u}\frac{\vartheta(2\tau, 2u+\tau)}{\vartheta(2\tau, 2u)}\,, \\ &\qquad \tilde{\chi}(z) \quad = \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}_u(1)R_u(z) & ie^{-2\pi i u}q^{-\frac{1}{4}}\tilde{R}_{-u}(1)R_{u-\frac{\tau}{2}}(z) \\ -\tilde{R}_u(1)R_{-u}(z) & -ie^{-2\pi i u}q^{-\frac{1}{4}}\tilde{R}_u(1)R_{-u-\frac{\tau}{2}}(z) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\qquad\qquad ; \quad R_u(z) = \frac{\vartheta(2\tau, \zeta+2u+\tau)}{\left(\vartheta(\tau, \zeta+\frac{\tau+1}{2})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \qquad \tilde{R}_u(z) = (z-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}R_u(z)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{00}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad \to \quad \left(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_F, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &\qquad \tilde{\chi}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} R_0(z)F(z) & iq^{-\frac{1}{4}}R_{-\frac{\tau}{2}}(z)G(z) \\ R_0(z) & iq^{-\frac{1}{4}}R_{-\frac{\tau}{2}}(z) \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} F(z) &= 2z\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z}\log\vartheta(2\tau, \zeta+\tau) - 1 \\ G(z) &= 2z\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z}\log\vartheta(2\tau, \zeta). \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_u, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad \to \quad \left(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_u, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \qquad \tilde{\chi}(z) = n\left(\vartheta(\tau, \zeta+\tfrac{\tau+1}{2})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}\,. \\ &\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_u, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad \to \quad \left(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_{-u}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \qquad \tilde{\chi}(z) = \left(\vartheta(\tau, \zeta+\tfrac{\tau+1}{2})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3}\,. \\ &\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{00}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad \to \quad \left(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_0, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &\qquad \tilde{\chi}(z) \quad = \quad \begin{pmatrix} c(z)H(z) & -c(z)^{-1} \\ c(z) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} c(z) &= \left(\vartheta(\tau, \zeta+\tfrac{\tau+1}{2})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ H(z) &= z\tfrac{\partial}{\partial z}\log\vartheta(\tau, \zeta+\tfrac{\tau+1}{2}). \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4.13}$$

The most important point to notice is that the compactified moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv},x}}$ whose elements are represented by $\left\{\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_u, \begin{bmatrix}1\\1\end{bmatrix}\right)\right\}_{u\not\equiv 0}$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{00}, \begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right)$ is mapped bijectively to the (compact) moduli space $\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{non-triv},x}$ whose elements are represented by the flag structures $\left\{\begin{bmatrix}1\\y\end{bmatrix}\right\}_y$ on the semi-stable bundle $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_F$:

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv},x}} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{1,x}} \mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{non-triv},x}\,. \tag{4.4.14}$$

In terms of the coordinates u and y, this map is given by $u \mapsto y_u$ where y_u is given in (4.4.11) and satisfies $y_{-u} = y_u$, $y_{u+\frac{1}{2}} = -y_u$ and $y_{u+\frac{\tau}{2}} = -y_u^{-1}$. Note that the orbifold points $u \equiv \frac{1}{4}, \frac{\tau}{4}, \frac{\tau+1}{4}$ are mapped to the orbifold points $y_{\frac{1}{4}} = 0$, $y_{\frac{\tau}{4}} = i$ and $y_{\frac{\tau+1}{4}} = 1$. It should be noted also that the compactification divisor of $\overline{\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv},x}}$ represented by $\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{00}, \begin{bmatrix}0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right)$ is mapped to the smooth point y_0. If we decide to take u^2 as the complex coordinate around that point, the above bijection becomes an isomorphism and the conjecture holds also in this case.

It may be remarked that the points $\left\{\left(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_u, \begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right)\right\}$ which do not lie in $\overline{\mathcal{N}^{\circ}_{\mathrm{triv},x}}$ are mapped to the points $\left\{\left(\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_u, \begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right)\right\}$ that are not projected to the semi-stable point by the 'flag forgetful map' though the former are projected to the semi-stable points $\left\{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_u\right\}$.

4.5 FIELD IDENTIFICATION

We are now in a position to combine all the results obtained in the previous arguments. We first construct an action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(H)$ on the set of gauge invariant fields and then, under some assumptions, observe the relation (4.0.1) which leads to the field identification.

The Spectral Flow for the Total System and the Transformation of the Flag Partners

The space of states for the total system is the tensor product of the three spaces — the space $\mathcal{H}^M$ of states for the matter field theory M, the space $\Omega^0(LH_{\mathbf{C}}/LH, \mathcal{L})$ for the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model and the space $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{gh}} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{gh}}$ for the adjoint ghost system. On each of these spaces there is a representation of the central extension $\widetilde{L\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{C}}}$ of the loop group $L\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$, $\tilde{\gamma} \mapsto \mathcal{J}(\tilde{\gamma}) = J(\tilde{\gamma})\bar{J}(\tilde{\gamma})$, where $\tilde{H}$ is a certain covering group of H. Since the sum $(kr^H_G + r^H_V) + (-k^{\mathbf{c}}) + 2h^{\vee}$ of the levels vanishes, the representation on the total space $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{H}^M \otimes \Omega^0(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{gh}} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{gh}}$ descends to a representation of the loop group $L\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$ or of $LH_{\mathbf{C}}$.

We now recall the results on the spectral flow obtained in the Chapters 1, 2 and 3 and consider the spectral flow on the total space $\mathcal{H}^{\text{tot}}$. Let the loop $\gamma(\theta) = e^{-ia\theta}n$ in H represent an element of the affine Weyl group W'_{aff} of LH, where $a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ and $n \in N_T$. We denote by A_γ the basic gauge field $A_{n^{-1}an}$ of the H-bundle P_0 over the unit disc D_0 with a trivialization $s_0 : D_0 \to P_0$. Then, we can find a horizontal frame s defined on a neighborhood of the boundary circle $S = \partial D_0$ such that $s_0(\theta) = s(\theta)\gamma(\theta)$ on S. As we have seen in the previous chapters, the screening by A_γ of a local field O inserted at $z = 0$ corresponds to the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ on the space $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{I}}$ of states :

$$Z^{\mathbf{I}(s)}_{D_0}(A_\gamma\,;\,O(0)) = \tilde{h}_\gamma Z^{\mathbf{I}(s_0)}_{D_0}(0\,;\,O(0))\,, \tag{4.5.1}$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ denotes one of the three sectors — matter M, $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model or ghost system. If σ_0 is a holomorphic trivialization of $(P_{0\mathbf{C}}, \bar{\partial}_{A_\gamma})$ such that $\sigma_0(0) = s_0(0)$, it is related to the horizontal frame s by a holomorphic trivialization h_γ, $\sigma_0(z) = s(z)h_\gamma(z)$. This h_γ is a holomorphic extension of the loop γ up to multiplication by elements of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$. Then, the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ is determined by this function h_γ up to a constant factor depending on the behavior of A_γ on the interior of D_0. If $\mathbf{I}$ denotes the total system however, since the level is zero, or since the chiral anomally is absent, $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ is uniquely determined by the transition function h_γ. In fact, it can be considered to be the action $\mathcal{J}(h_\gamma|_S)$ of the loop $h_\gamma|_S \in LH_{\mathbf{C}}$. Hence we may denote just by h_γ the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ on the total space $\mathcal{H}^{\text{tot}}$.

Next, we see that a certain kind of spectral flow induces a transformation of the subspace of $\mathcal{H}^{\text{tot}}$ consisting of the states corresponding to the flag partners of dressed gauge invariant fields. For simplicity, we take H to be simple. Let the loop $\gamma(\theta) = e^{-i\mu_j\theta}n_{w_jw_0}$ represent an element of the subgroup $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ of the affine Weyl group W'_{aff}. The spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ acting on each sector $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbf{I}}$ satisfies the following.

Since $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ is the subgroup of W'_{aff} consisting of elements that preserve the alcôve $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$, the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ acting on $\mathcal{H}^M$ preserves the subspace $\bigoplus_{\Lambda,\lambda} L_{\Lambda,\lambda} \otimes \overline{L_{\Lambda,\lambda}}$ consisting of highest weight vectors with respect to the loop algebra $\tilde{L}h_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \tilde{L}h_{\mathbf{C}}$. Due to the same reason, $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ acting on the ghost Fock space preserves the ray generated by $|\Omega\rangle = \prod_{-\alpha<0} c_0^{-\alpha}\bar{c}_0^{-\alpha}|0\rangle_{\text{gh}}$ which is characterized by $b_n(v)|\Omega\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, $v \in \mathrm{Lie}(H)$ and $b_0(v)|\Omega\rangle = 0$ for $v \in \mathrm{Lie}(B)$. And finally, as we have seen in Chapter 3, the state $|-\lambda-2\rho\rangle$ corresponding to the field $\left|e^{\lambda+2\rho}(b(h))\right|^2$ is mapped by $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ to another state $|-\lambda'-2\rho\rangle$ with $\lambda' = w_jw_0\lambda + (k^{\mathbf{c}} - 2h^\vee)\,{}^{\text{tr}}\mu_j$.

The above three properties show that the spectral flow h_γ on $\mathcal{H}^{\text{tot}}$ maps the state $\Phi_\lambda \otimes |-\lambda-2\rho\rangle \otimes |\Omega\rangle$ corresponding to the flag partner $O_\lambda(s_0(0)B)$ to another state

$\Phi'_{\lambda'} \otimes | - \lambda' - 2\rho\rangle \otimes |\Omega\rangle$ which also corresponds to another flag partner. We should note that the ambiguity in determining the function h_γ is just the ambiguity of constant multiplication $h_\gamma \to h_\gamma c^a_\varrho$ by elements of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$. Since the state corresponding to a flag partner has weight zero, the resulting state is uniquely determined by the class $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$. The new flag partner can now be denoted by $(\gamma O_\lambda)(s_0(0)B)$.

This map $\gamma : O_\lambda \mapsto \gamma O_\lambda$ describes the action of $\pi_1(H) \cong \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ on the set of flag partners and hence on the set of gauge invariant local fields.

The Field Identification

We have defined the action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(H)$ on the set of gauge invariant local fields and on the set of isomorphism classes of principal H-bundles. Now we can ask whether the relation (4.0.1) holds true.

To start with, we fix the notations. Let P be a principal H-bundle over Σ. We choose a neighborhood U_0 of the insertion point $x \in \Sigma$, a complex coordinate z with $z(x) = 0$, and a section s_0 of $P|_{U_0}$. We assume that U_0 includes the unit disc $D_0 = \{z\,;\,|z| \leq 1\}$. We denote by the same letter γ the element of $\pi_1(H) \cong \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ and a representative loop $S = \partial D_0 \to H$ where the coordinate z determines the parametrization of S. We realize the H-bundle $P\gamma$ by one obtained from P by cutting and gluing method as in the introductory part of the section 4.4. That is, denoting the restriction $s_0|_S$ by s, $P\gamma$ is obtained by attaching $D_0 \times H$ to $P - P|_{D_0^\circ}$ under the identification $s'_0(\theta) = s(\theta)\gamma(\theta)$, where s'_0 is a trivialization of $D_0 \times H$.

We compare the left and right hand sides of (4.0.1) in their integral expressions (4.2.22). Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an open coordinatized subset in $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}$ with a holomorphic family $\{(A_v, f_v)\}_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$ of representatives. We may take A_v to be flat on U_0 in such a way that s_0 is horizontal $d_{A_v} s_0 = 0$, and also we may take the flag f_v in such a way that s_0 is admissible $f_v = s_0(x)B$. We choose a family of holomorphic trivialisations $\sigma_\infty(v)$ on $\Sigma - D_0^\circ$ so that the family of transition functions $h_{\infty 0}(v)$ relating s_0 and $\sigma_\infty(v)$ depends holomorphically on v, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^{\mathrm{A}}} h_{\infty 0}(v) = 0$.

For each such family, we construct another family $\{(A'_v, f'_v)\}$ of connections and flags at x for the H-bundle $P\gamma$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A'_v|_{D_0} & = & A_\gamma\,, \\ A'_v|_{\Sigma - D_0^\circ} & = & A_v|_{\Sigma - D_0^\circ}\,, \end{array} \quad \text{and} \ \ f'_v = s'_0(x)B. \tag{4.5.2}$$

The middle equation should be understood under the identification $P\gamma|_{\Sigma - D_0^\circ} = P|_{\Sigma - D_0^\circ}$. A_γ in the first equation is the basic gauge field corresponding to γ with respect to the frame s'_0. Since the frame s on S is related to s'_0 by $s'_0 = s\gamma$, it extends to a section denoted also by s on a neighborhood of S which is horizontal with repect to the connection $A'_v = A_\gamma$. Hence, we see that A'_v determines a smooth connection of $P\gamma$. If we choose a holomorphic secton σ'_0 of $(P\gamma_{\mathbf{C}}, \bar\partial_{A'_v})|_{U_0}$ such that $\sigma'_0(x) = s'_0(x)$, then, it is admissible and satisfies $\sigma'_0(z) = s(z)h_\gamma(z)$ where h_γ is a holomorphic extension of γ up to multiplication by an element of $T_{\mathbf{C}}$. This shows that the holomorphic bundle $(P\gamma_{\mathbf{C}}, \bar\partial_{A'_v})$ with flag structure f'_v is obtained by the γ-surgery of $(\bar\partial_{A_v}, f_v)$. If we assume that the conjecture made in section 4.4 holds true, $(\bar\partial_{A'_v}, f'_v)$ represents a class in $\overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P\gamma,x}}$ and we may consider the coordinates $v^1, \cdots, v^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ as coordinates of the open subset $\mathcal{V}\gamma_x$ of $\overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P\gamma,x}}$.

Note that the holomorphic section $\sigma_\infty(v)$ determines a holomorphic section $\sigma'_\infty(v)$ of $(P\gamma_{\mathbf{C}}, \bar\partial_{A'_v})|_{\Sigma - D_0^\circ}$ by $\sigma'_\infty(v) = \sigma_\infty(v)$ under the identification $P\gamma|_{\Sigma-D_0^\circ} = P|_{\Sigma-D_0^\circ}$. Then, it is related to σ'_0 by the transition relation

$$\sigma'_0 = \sigma'_\infty(v)h'_{\infty 0}(v) \qquad ; \quad h'_{\infty 0}(v,z) = h_{\infty 0}(v,z)h_\gamma(z)\,, \tag{4.5.3}$$

where the transition function $h'_{\infty 0}(v)$ also depends holomorphically on v^{A}.

The form $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(g;\, \mathcal{O}\,\gamma O)$ for the integral expression of the right hand side of (4.0.1) is given by (4.2.21) on $\mathcal{V}$ where O should be replaced by γO and $\nu_{\text{A}}(v)$ is given in (4.2.17) with $\sigma_0(v) = s_0$. We may as well assume here that the residual gauge-fixing function $F_v(h)$ is independent of the behavior of $h|_{D_0}$, that is, $F_v(h_1) = F_v(h_2)$ if $h_1 = h_2$ on $\Sigma - D_0$. Also we may assume that the contour integrals of the b and $\bar b$-fields are placed in $U_0 - D_0$ so that there are no field insertion in D_0 other than $\gamma O(f_v)$.

Recalling the definition of the action of $\pi_1(H)$ on flag partners, we have the following relation of the wave functions :

$$Z^{\text{tot}(s_0)}_{D_0}(A_v|_{D_0};\, \gamma O(f_v)) = Z^{\text{tot}(s)}_{D_0}(A_\gamma\,;\, O(f'_v))\,. \tag{4.5.4}$$

Introducing a family $\{F'_v\}$ of residual gauge-fixing functions for the family of symmetry groups $\text{Aut}(\bar\partial_{A'_v}, f'_v)$ by $F'_v(h') = F_v(h)$ if $h'|_{\Sigma-D_0^\circ} = h|_{\Sigma-D_0^\circ}$, we see from the above relation (4.5.4) that the measure $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\mathcal{O}\,\gamma O)$ is expressed on $\mathcal{V}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\,g\,;\mathcal{O}\,\gamma O)_v \\ &\quad = \prod_{\text{A}=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}} d^2v^{\text{A}}\, Z^{\text{tot}}_{\Sigma,P\gamma}\Big(\,g,\, A'_v\,;\,\delta\Big(F'_v(h)\Big)\prod_{i=1}^{d_{S_f}} F'^{\,i}_{v,h}(c)\bar F'^{\,i}_{v,h}(\bar c)\,\prod_{\text{A}=1}^{d_{\mathcal{N}_f}}\oint_x b\,\nu'_{\text{A}}(v)\oint_x \bar b\,\bar\nu'_{\text{A}}(v)\,\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\,O(f'_v)\,\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5.5}$$

The section $\nu'_{\text{A}}(v)$ in this expression is given by

$$\nu'_{\text{A}}(v) = s\cdot h_{\infty 0}(v)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\text{A}}}h_{\infty 0}(v) = \sigma'_0\cdot h'_{\infty 0}(v)^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\text{A}}}h'_{\infty 0}(v)\,. \tag{4.5.6}$$

Hence we see that the form $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(\mathcal{O}\,\gamma O)$ on $\mathcal{V}$ is identical to the form $\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P\gamma,x}(\mathcal{O}\,O)$ on $\mathcal{V}\gamma_x$ under the (conjectured) identification $\overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}} \xrightarrow{\gamma_x} \overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P\gamma,x}}$. Repeating the same thing on other open subsets, we see that the forms on $\overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}}$ and on $\overline{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P\gamma,x}}$ are identical to each other under the same identification. Hence we have the following relation leading to the field identification :

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P,x}} \Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(g;\,\mathcal{O}\,\gamma O) = \int_{\mathcal{N}^\circ_{P\gamma,x}} \Omega^M_{\Sigma,P\gamma,x}(g;\,\mathcal{O}\,O)\,. \tag{4.5.7}$$

CHAPTER 5. SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

In the final chapter, we calculate the partition functions of the gauged WZW models on the torus for several choices of target and gauge groups. Calculations for the topologically trivial bundles are essentially done in the ref. [16]. Here, we take good care of the overall normalization which has not explicitly been done in that reference. The resulting partition function is physically satisfactory in view of the field identification if there is no pair of weights fixed by the spectral flows (no field identification fixed point), and is problematical if there are. Aiming at the resolution of the latter problem, a topologically non-trivial bundle is shown to give possibly non-zero contribution if there are identification fixed points. As the simplest nontrivial example, we attempt to calculate the full torus partition function of the level $(k_1, 2)$ WZW model with the target group $G = SU(2) \times SU(2)$ coupled to the $SO(3)$ gauge fields where the gauge group $SO(3)$ acts on the target by $h : (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (hg_1h^{-1}, hg_2h^{-1})$. When the level k_1 is an even integer, the topologically non-trivial bundle contributes as a constant term which may be interpreted as a 'half' of the Ramond ground state.

5.1 Differential Equations for Partition and Correlation Functions of WZW Model on Torus

The Sugawara construction of the energy momentum tensor of the group G WZW model leads with the aid of Ward identities to a system of differential equations for the correlation functions with respect to the modular parameters of the Riemann surfaces and the holomorphic $G_{\mathbf{C}}/Z_G$-bundles. We derive some of them for the partition and one point functions on the torus $\Sigma = \{\, (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2\}/\mathbf{Z}^2$. We denote by g_τ the flat metric on Σ given by $g_\tau = d\zeta d\bar{\zeta} + d\bar{\zeta} d\zeta$ where $\zeta = x + \tau y$ is a complex coordinate of the Riemann surface $\Sigma_\tau = \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} + \tau\mathbf{Z}$.

Ward Identities — Topologically Trivial Case

We first take closer look at the Ward identities (2.1.5) and (2.1.6) for P a topologically trivial G/Z_G-bundle $\Sigma \times G/Z_G$ over the torus where G is a compact connected simply connected Lie group and Z_G denote its center. Since semi-stable orbits are relevant in the consideration of gauge theories, we take as the background gauge fields the following kind of flat connections

$$A_u = \frac{\pi}{\tau_2} u d\bar{\zeta} - \frac{\pi}{\tau_2} \bar{u} d\zeta \,, \tag{5.1.1}$$

parametrized by u belonging to the complexified Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(T)_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the maximal torus. We shall rewrite the identities (2.1.5) and (2.1.6) in the forms that do not involve the integration over the surface Σ. To avoid the doubling of the description, we only look at the left movers, that is, we write the Ward identities for insertions of the left current $J = J_\zeta d\zeta$.

The basic tool in the reformulation is the Green function for the Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}_{A_u}$ acting on sections of the adjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}} = \Sigma_\tau \times \mathrm{Lie}(G)_{\mathbf{C}}$. For the description, we introduce the multi-valued section σ of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ given by $\sigma(z) = (\zeta, e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau_2} u(\zeta - \bar{\zeta})})$ where $z = e^{-2\pi i \zeta}$. It is holomorphic with respect to the connection A_u and satisfies

$$\sigma(zq) = \sigma(z) g \qquad ; \;\; g = e^{-2\pi i u} \,. \tag{5.1.2}$$

Now, we put

$$\begin{aligned} G_w(z) &= \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(w) \otimes dz \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(w-1) q^n \mathrm{ad} g^n}{(z - q^n)(z - q^n w)} \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(z)^{-1} & (5.1.3) \\ G_\bullet^{z'}(z) &= \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(z') \otimes dz \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{(q^{n-1} - q^n) \mathrm{ad} g^n}{(z - q^n)(z - q^{n-1})} \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(z)^{-1} & (5.1.4) \end{aligned}$$

where σ_{ad} (resp. $\sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}^{-1}$) is the multi-valued holomorphic frame of the adjoint bundle $\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}$ (resp. coadjoint bundle $(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})^*$) determined by the multi-valued section σ of $P_{\mathbf{C}}$. The maps $G_w : z \mapsto G_w(z)$ and $G_\bullet^{z'} : z \mapsto G_\bullet^{z'}(z)$ are meromorphic single-valued sections of $(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})_w \otimes K \otimes (\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})^*$ and of $(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})_{z'} \otimes K \otimes (\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})^*$ with the following singularities :

$$\begin{aligned} G_w(z) &\sim \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(w) \otimes \frac{dz}{z - w} \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(z)^{-1} \qquad \text{as } z \to w \,, & (5.1.5) \\ &\sim \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(w) \otimes \frac{-dz}{z - 1} \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(z)^{-1} \qquad \text{as } z \to 1 \,, & (5.1.6) \\ G_\bullet^{z'}(z) &\sim \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(z') \otimes \frac{dz}{z - 1} (\mathrm{ad} g - 1) \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(z)^{-1} \qquad \text{as } z \to 1 \,. & (5.1.7) \end{aligned}$$

The Ward identities (2.1.5) and (2.1.6) with the s-point insertions $\mathcal{O} = \prod_{l=1}^{s} O(x_l)$ are then equivalent to the following equations

$$Z(J\epsilon(z)\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{l=1}^{s} Z(J_l(G_{x_l}\epsilon(z))\mathcal{O}) - Z(\oint_{C'} J G_\bullet^{z'} \epsilon(z) \mathcal{O}) \,, \tag{5.1.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(J\epsilon_1(z)J\epsilon_2(w)\mathcal{O}) &= k\Big\{\mathrm{tr}_{_P}\Big(\epsilon_2(w)\partial^{(w)}_{A_u}G_w\epsilon_1(z)\Big) - \oint_{C'}\mathrm{tr}_{_P}\Big(\partial^{(z')}_{A_u}G_{z'}\epsilon_2(w)G^{z'}_{\bullet}\epsilon_1(z)\Big)\Big\}Z(\mathcal{O}) \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{s} Z\Big(J_l(G_{x_l}[G_w\epsilon_1(z),\epsilon_2(w)] - \oint_{C'}G_{x_l}[G_{z'}\epsilon_2(w),G^{z'}_{\bullet}\epsilon_1(z)])\mathcal{O}\Big) \\
&+ \sum_{l,l'=1}^{s} Z\Big(J_l(G_{x_l}\epsilon_1(z))J_{l'}(G_{x_{l'}}\epsilon_2(w))\mathcal{O}\Big) \\
&+ Z\Big(\oint_{C'}J\Big\{-G^{z'}_{\bullet}[G_w\epsilon_1(z),\epsilon_2(w)] + \oint_{C''}G^{z'}_{\bullet}[G_{z''}\epsilon_2(w),G^{z''}_{\bullet}\epsilon_1(z)]\Big\}\mathcal{O}\;) \\
&- \sum_{l=1}^{s} Z\Big(\oint_{C'}JG^{z'}_{\bullet}\epsilon_1(z)J_l(G_{x_l}\epsilon_2(w))\mathcal{O} + \oint_{C'}JG^{z'}_{\bullet}\epsilon_2(w)J_l(G_{x_l}\epsilon_1(z))\mathcal{O}\Big) \\
&- Z\Big(\oint_{C'}JG^{z'}_{\bullet}\epsilon_1(z)\oint_{C''}JG^{z''}_{\bullet}\epsilon_2(w)\mathcal{O}\Big)\,, \qquad (5.1.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where ϵ, ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are local holomorphic sections of the adjoint bundle $(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}},\bar{\partial}_{A_u})$ and $J_l(\epsilon(x_l))\mathcal{O}$ denotes $O(x_1)\cdot\cdot J(\epsilon)O(x_l)\cdot\cdot O(x_s)$ (read the explanation below the eq. (2.1.6) for the definition of $J(\epsilon)\mathcal{O}$). The contour C' or C'' in the above expressions is given by $t\in[0,1]\mapsto C'(t)=re^{it}\in\mathbf{C}^*$ where we assume the inequality $1<r<|q^{-1}|$. In the last term of the right hand side of (5.1.9), C'' is slightly 'smaller' than C', that is, $|z''|<|z'|$.

The Differential Equations — Topologically Trivial Case

Making use of the above Ward identities (5.1.8) and (5.1.9), we derive from the Sugawara construction (2.1.16) (see also (2.1.15)) the differential equations in terms of the modular parameters τ and u satisfied by the partition and one point functions. This is essentially a review of the work of D. Bernard [3].

We denote by $Z(O)$ the one point function $Z^{G,k}_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv.}}(g_\tau,A_u\,;\,O(x))$ under consideration. If we put $O=1$, $Z(1)$ is the partition function. We first note the identification of the derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}Z$, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^i\partial u^j}Z$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}Z$ with the contour integrals of the current and the energy momentum tensor along the cycle C given above :

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(\oint_C J\sigma\delta uO) &= \delta_u Z(O)\,, && (5.1.10)\\
Z(\oint_C J\sigma\delta_1 u\oint_{C'}J\sigma\delta_2 uO) &= \delta_{1u}\delta_{2u}Z(O) - \frac{\pi}{\tau_2}k\,\mathrm{tr}(\delta_1 u\delta_2 u)Z(O)\,, && (5.1.11)\\
Z(\oint_C dzzT_{zz}O) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} - \phi^i\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}\right)Z(O)\,, && (5.1.12)
\end{aligned}$$

which are the consequence of the definition (1.1.4) of J and T with the aid of the Ward identities. ϕ^i in the equation (5.1.12) is one of the 'real coordinates' ψ and ϕ belonging to $i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ related to u by $u=\psi-\tau\phi$. For each root α of G, we also have from the Ward identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(\oint_C J\sigma_\alpha O) &= \frac{1}{1-e^{-2\pi i\alpha(u)}} Z(J(\sigma_\alpha)O)\,, && (5.1.13)\\
Z(\oint_{C'} J\sigma_\alpha \oint_{C''} J\sigma_{-\alpha} O) &= \frac{1}{1-e^{-2\pi i\alpha(u)}} Z\Big(\alpha(\phi)k\mathrm{tr}_{P}(\sigma_\alpha\sigma_{-\alpha})(x)O + \frac{1}{2\pi i}J([\sigma_\alpha,\sigma_{-\alpha}])O \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{1-e^{2\pi i\alpha(u)}}J(\sigma_\alpha)J(\sigma_{-\alpha})O\Big)\,, && (5.1.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

where σ_α denotes the multivalued section $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}\cdot e_\alpha$ of $\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}$ in which $e_\alpha \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ is a root vector.

With the aid of the Ward identities (5.1.8) and (5.1.9) and of the relations (5.1.10) $\sim$ (5.1.14), the Sugawara construction (2.1.16) of the energy momentum tensor leads to a differential equations for $Z(O)$. To write it in a simple looking form, we introduce the normalized function $\tilde{Z}(\tau, u|O)$ given by

$$
Z(g_\tau, A_u\,;\, O(x)) = e^{\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}k\mathrm{tr}(u-\bar{u})^2}\frac{\tilde{Z}(\tau,u|O)}{|\Pi(\tau,u)|^2}\,, \tag{5.1.15}
$$

where $\Pi(\tau, u)$ is the Weyl-Kac denominator defined by

$$
\Pi(\tau,u) = q^{\frac{\dim G}{24}}\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}(e^{\pi i\alpha(u)} - e^{-\pi i\alpha(u)})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\Big\{(1-q^n)^l\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta}(1-q^n e^{-2\pi i\alpha(u)})\Big\}. \tag{5.1.16}
$$

We also introduce the symbols $\delta_\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}$, $D_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}$ and $t_\alpha = e^{-2\pi i\alpha(u)}$. Then, the differential equation is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\delta_\tau - \frac{\eta^{ij}}{2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)}D_iD_j\right\}\tilde{Z}(\tau,u|O) && (5.1.17)\\
&\quad = \frac{-1}{2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta}\left(\frac{t_\alpha}{(1-t_\alpha)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{nq^n}{1-q^n}(t_\alpha^n + t_{-\alpha}^n)\right)\tilde{Z}(\tau,u|J(\sigma_\alpha)J(\sigma_{-\alpha})O)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the Weyl-Kac denominator $\Pi(\tau,u)$ satisfies the similar differential equation

$$
\left\{\delta_\tau - \frac{\eta^{ij}}{2\mathrm{g}^\vee}D_iD_j\right\}\Pi(\tau,u) = 0. \tag{5.1.18}
$$

The Differential Equations — Topologically Non-Trivial Case

We next consider the partition function of the WZW model corresponding to topologically non-trivial configurations. For simplicity and concreteness, we restrict our attention to the $SU(2)$ WZW model coupled to external $SO(3)$ gauge field of the non-trivial $SO(3)$-bundle. Since the semi-stable orbit is relevant in the consideration of gauge theories, we assume that the background gauge field is the flat connection A_F with the holonomies represented by the matrices $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ given in (3.1.19).

We first rewrite the Ward identities (2.1.5) and (2.1.6) in the forms that do not involve the integration over the surface Σ. The basic tool is the Green function of the Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}_{A_F} : \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}) \to \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, \mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})$. Using the multi-valued holomorphic section $\sigma(z) = \sigma_F^{(1)}(z)$ which satisfies the relation (4.3.8), the Green function is expressed as $G_w(z) = \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(w) \otimes dz g(w,z) \sigma_{\mathrm{ad}}(z)^{-1}$ where $g(w,z)$ is the End(g)-valued function having the following representation matrix with respect to the base σ_+, σ_0 and σ_- :

$$g(w,z) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum \frac{q^n}{z-q^{2n}w} & 0 & -\sum \frac{z^{-1}q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{z-q^{2n-1}w} \\ 0 & f(w,z) & 0 \\ -\sum \frac{wq^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{z-q^{2n-1}w} & 0 & \sum \frac{wz^{-1}q^n}{z-q^{2n}w} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (5.1.19)$$

where the sums $\sum_n$ in the four entries are over all integers and $f(w,z)$ is given by

$$f(w,z) = \frac{1}{z-w} \frac{z+w}{2z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{1+q^n \frac{w}{z}}{1+q^n} \right) \left(\frac{1+q^n \frac{z}{w}}{1+q^n} \right) \left(\frac{1-q^n \frac{w}{z}}{1-q^n} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1-q^n \frac{z}{w}}{1-q^n} \right)^{-1} \right\}. \qquad (5.1.20)$$

The Ward identities (2.1.5) and (2.1.6) are equivalent to the following equations

$$Z(J\epsilon(z)\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{l=1}^{s} Z(J_l(G_{x_l}\epsilon(z))\mathcal{O}), \qquad (5.1.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(J\epsilon_1(z)J\epsilon_2(w)\mathcal{O}) &= k\mathrm{tr}_P\Big(\partial_{A_F}^{(w)} G_w \epsilon_1(z)\epsilon_2(w)\Big) Z(\mathcal{O}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{s} Z(J_l(G_{x_l}[G_w\epsilon_1(z), \epsilon_2(w)])\mathcal{O}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l,l'=1}^{s} Z(J_l(G_{x_l}\epsilon_1(z))J_{l'}(G_{x_{l'}}\epsilon_2(w))\mathcal{O}), \qquad (5.1.22) \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ, ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are local holomorphic sections of $\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}$.

With the aid of these identities, we have the following consequence of the Sugawara construction (2.1.16) of the energy momentum tensor :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} Z_{\Sigma,P}(\mathrm{g}_\tau, A_F) = 0. \qquad (5.1.23)$$

That is, the partition function $Z_{\Sigma,P}(\mathrm{g}_\tau, A_F)$ for the non-trivial $SO(3)$ bundle P is a constant (independent of the modular parameter τ).

5.2 Torus Partition Function for the Trivial Principal Bundle

We calculate the torus partition function of the gauged WZW model with the compact connected simply connected target group G and the the gauge group H which is a connected subgroup of the adjoint group G/Z_G of G. In this section, we only consider topologically trivial configurations. This is essentially a review of the work of Gawędzki and Kupiainen [16] which deals with the case in which the gauge group $\bar{H}$ is a subgroup of the target group G. However, if the center Z_G has non-trivial intersection with $\bar{H}$, the gauge transformation group for $H = \bar{H}/(\bar{H} \cap Z_G)$ is different from that for $\bar{H}$ and there arises a difference in the normalization of the partition function.

For simplicity, we assume that H is also semi-simple. Since two different groups G and H are considered at the same time, we shall put indices 'G' and 'H' on suitable places — $\mathrm{P}^\vee_G$, tr_H, $\Delta_+(H)$, etc. We choose the maximal tori T_G and T_H of G and H in such a way that T_H is a subgroup of T_G/Z_G. In this section, we denote by $\mathrm{P}^\vee_H$ the kernel of the exponential map $i\mathrm{Lie}(T_H) \to T_H$ given by $v \mapsto e^{2\pi i v}$ though it may be possible that $\mathrm{P}^\vee_H$ is not the dual lattice of the root lattice Q_H. For each level k of the G-WZW model which is a multiple of integers $(k_1, \cdots, k_M)$ (k_j is the level for the j-th simple factor G_j of G), we denote by $\tilde{k} = (\tilde{k}_1, \cdots, \tilde{k}_N)$ the corresponding level for H defined by $\tilde{k}\mathrm{tr}_H(v_1 v_2) = k\mathrm{tr}_G(\imath v_1 \imath v_2)$ for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathrm{Lie}(H)$ where $\imath$ is the embedding map of $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ to $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ (see eq. (3.3.4)).[28]

The Partition Function of the WZW Model with Target G

Let us denote simply by $Z^{G,k}(\tau, u)$ the partition function $Z^{G,k}_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv}}(g_\tau, A_u)$ of the level $k \in \mathbf{N}$ target G WZW model for the trivial G/Z_G-bundle on the torus where g_τ is the metric introduced in the preceding section and A_u is the flat gauge field (5.1.1) parametrized by $u \in \mathrm{Lie}(T_G)_{\mathbf{C}}$. It satisfies the following conditions :

$$\text{(gauge invariance)} \quad Z^{G,k}(\tau, wu + n + \tau m) = Z^{G,k}(\tau, u), \quad w \in W_G, \;\; n, m \in \mathrm{P}^\vee_G, \tag{5.2.1}$$

$$\text{(modular invariance)} \quad Z^{G,k}\!\left(\frac{-b + a\tau}{d - c\tau}, \frac{u}{d - c\tau}\right) = Z^{G,k}(\tau, u), \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbf{Z}), \tag{5.2.2}$$

$$\text{(heat equation)} \quad \left\{ \delta_\tau - \frac{\eta^{ij}}{2(k + \mathrm{g}^\vee)} D_i D_j \right\} \tilde{Z}^{G,k}(\tau, u) = 0, \tag{5.2.3}$$

$$\text{(reality)} \qquad Z^{G,k}(\tau, u)^* = Z^{G,k}(\tau, u)\,. \tag{5.2.4}$$

---

[28] The symbol $k\mathrm{tr}_G$ should be understood as the normalized trace such that $k\mathrm{tr}_G(XY) = k_j \mathrm{tr}_{G_j}(XY)$ if $X, Y \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_j)$ and $k\mathrm{tr}_G(XY) = 0$ if X and Y belong to different simple factors.

where $\tilde{Z}^{G,k}(\tau,u)$ is given by $e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}k\mathrm{tr}_G(u-\bar{u})^2}Z^{G,k}(\tau,u)|\Pi^G(\tau,u)|^2$. The first is the consequence of the invariance under the gauge transformation by $h = n_w^{-1}e^{2\pi i(mx-ny)}$. The second is the consequence of the diffeomorphism invariance. The third comes from the Sugawara construction as we have seen in the preceding section. The final is required because of the identity $I(A,g)^* = I(A,g^{-1})$ satisfied by the classical action and the Haar property of the measure for the functional integration.

The solution of the heat equation together with the requirement of the invariance under $(\mathrm{Q}_G^\vee + \tau\mathrm{Q}_G^\vee)\tilde{\times}W_G$ is given by [3]

$$Z^{G,k}(\tau,u) = e^{\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}k\mathrm{tr}_G(u-\bar{u})^2} \sum_{\Lambda,\bar{\Lambda}\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G)} N_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}\chi^G_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,u)\overline{\chi^G_{(\bar{\Lambda},k)}(\tau,u)}\,, \tag{5.2.5}$$

where $\chi^G_{(\Lambda,k)}$ is the character of the irreducible highest representation $L_{(\Lambda,k)}$ of the loop group $\tilde{LG}$:

$$\chi^G_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,u) = \mathrm{tr}_{L_{(\Lambda,k)}}(q^{L_0}e^{2\pi iJ_0(u)})\,. \tag{5.2.6}$$

In the above expression, L_0 corresponds to the zero mode (with respect to z) of the energy momentum tensor derived by the variation of the metric g_τ.[29] Since we have $\chi^G_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,u+n) = e^{2\pi i\Lambda(n)}\chi^G_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,u)$ for $n\in\mathrm{P}_G^\vee$, $N_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda} = 0$ unless $\bar{\Lambda}-\Lambda\in\mathrm{Q}_G$. Recall that for each $\gamma = e^{-i\mu\theta}w\in\Gamma^G_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$, the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ transforms the space $L_{(\Lambda,k)}\otimes\overline{L_{(\Lambda,k)}}$ to the space $L_{(\gamma\Lambda,k)}\otimes\overline{L_{(\gamma\Lambda,k)}}$ where $\gamma\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G)$ is given by $\gamma\Lambda = w\Lambda + k\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mu$. With the aid of the transformation rule (2.4.12) and (2.4.13), this shows the following relation :

$$\chi^G_{(\gamma\Lambda,k)}(\tau,u) = q^{\frac{k}{2}\mathrm{tr}_G\mu^2}e^{2\pi ik\mathrm{tr}_G(\mu u)}\chi^G_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,w^{-1}u+\tau w^{-1}\mu)\,. \tag{5.2.7}$$

Then, the gauge invariance requires $N_{\gamma\bar{\Lambda},\gamma\Lambda} = N_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}$. Finally, the modular invariance requires $\sum_{\bar{\Lambda}',\Lambda'}\overline{S^G_{\bar{\Lambda}',\bar{\Lambda}}}N_{\bar{\Lambda}',\Lambda'}S^G_{\Lambda',\Lambda} = N_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}$ where $\left(S^G_{\Lambda',\Lambda}\right)$ is the matrix representing the transformation of characters under $(\tau,u)\mapsto(-\frac{1}{\tau},\frac{u}{\tau})$. It is given by [22]

$$S^G_{\Lambda',\Lambda} = i^{|\Delta_+(G)|}\left|\mathrm{P}_G/(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mathrm{Q}_G^\vee\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{w\in W_G}(-1)^{l(w)}e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{k+\mathrm{g}^\vee}\left(\Lambda'+\rho_G,w(\Lambda+\rho_G)\right)}. \tag{5.2.8}$$

Among several solutions, it seems natural to take the following as the solution in our case of simply connected target group G :

$$N_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda} = \delta_{\bar{\Lambda},\Lambda}\,. \tag{5.2.9}$$

---

[29] In the conventional notation, this one should be denoted by $L_0 - \frac{c_{G,k}}{24}$ so that the highest weight vector has the L_0-eigenvalue $\Delta_\Lambda = \frac{(\Lambda,\Lambda+2\rho)}{2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)}$.

The Partition Function of the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW Model

As we shall see, to calculate the partition function of the gauged WZW model, we need to know the following partition function of the level $-k^{\mathbf{C}} = -\tilde{k} - 2h^\vee$ WZW model with the target $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$ for generic value of $u \in \mathrm{Lie}(T_H)_{\mathbf{C}}$:

$$Z^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau, u) = Z^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H,-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv.}}\Big(g_\tau, A_u\,;\,\frac{\delta^{(l)}(\varphi(x_0))}{\mathrm{vol}T_H}\Big)\,. \tag{5.2.10}$$

where x_0 is any base point and l is the rank of H. φ in the above expression is a field valued in $i\mathrm{Lie}(T_H)$ induced by the Iwasawa decomposition $h = n_+ e^{\frac{\varphi}{2}} U$ of the field h where n_+ is valued in $N_0^+(H)$, φ is valued in $i\mathrm{Lie}(T_H)$ and U is valued in H.

The integration over the fields n_+ with the weight $e^{k^{\mathbf{C}} I^{\tilde{H}}(A_u, hh^*)}$ where hh^* is expressed as $n_+ e^{\varphi} n_+^*$ is known [16] to serve the following factor

$$e^{-\frac{i}{4\pi}(\tilde{k}+h^\vee)\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_H\,\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi} \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+(H)} \det\Big(\bar{\partial}^\dagger_{\alpha(u)}\bar{\partial}_{\alpha(u)}\Big)^{-1}\,, \tag{5.2.11}$$

where $\bar{\partial}_{\alpha(u)}$ is the Cauchy-Riemann operator $d\bar{\zeta}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\zeta}} + \frac{\pi}{\tau_2}\alpha(u)\Big) : \Omega^0(\Sigma_\tau) \to \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma_\tau)$. The determinants in the above expression can be defined with the use of the zeta function regularization.[30] Now we are left with the following path-integral :

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau, u) &= \prod_{\alpha>0}\det\Big(\bar{\partial}^\dagger_{\alpha(u)}\bar{\partial}_{\alpha(u)}\Big)^{-1}\int \mathcal{D}\varphi\, e^{-\frac{i}{4\pi}(\tilde{k}+h^\vee)\int \mathrm{tr}_H\,\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi}\frac{\delta^{(l)}(\varphi(x_0))}{\mathrm{vol}T_H} &(5.2.12)\\ &= \frac{(2(\tilde{k}+h^\vee))^{\frac{l}{2}}}{(2\pi)^l\mathrm{vol}T_H}\tau_2^{\frac{l}{2}}\left(\det{}'\bar{\partial}^\dagger\bar{\partial}\right)^{-\frac{l}{2}}\prod_{\alpha>0}\det\Big(\bar{\partial}^\dagger_{\alpha(u)}\bar{\partial}_{\alpha(u)}\Big)^{-1}\,, &(5.2.13)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\det{}'(e^t D^\dagger D) = e^{\zeta_D(0)t}\det{}'(D^\dagger D)$ for a Laplace operator $D^\dagger D$ and that $\zeta_D(0) = -1$ for $D = \bar{\partial}$ and $\zeta_D(0) = 0$ for $D = \bar{\partial}_{\alpha(u)}$ with $\alpha(u) \not\equiv 0$ (see [4]). The determinants in the expression above have been calculated by Ray and Singer [34]. Using their result, we have

$$Z^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau, u) = \frac{(2(\tilde{k}+h^\vee))^{\frac{l}{2}}}{(4\pi)^l\mathrm{vol}T_H}\tau_2^{-\frac{l}{2}}\, e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}h^\vee\mathrm{tr}_H(u-\bar{u})^2}|\Pi^H(\tau, u)|^{-2}\,. \tag{5.2.14}$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{Z}^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau, u) = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}(-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee)\mathrm{tr}_H(u-\bar{u})^2} Z^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau, u)|\Pi^H(\tau, u)|^2$ satisfies the heat equation

$$\left\{\delta_\tau - \frac{\eta^{ij}}{2(-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee+h^\vee)}D_iD_j\right\}\tilde{Z}^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau, u) = 0\,. \tag{5.2.15}$$

---

[30] For a certain Laplace operator $D^\dagger D$ on a compact space, the corresponding zeta function ζ_D is defined by $\zeta_D(s) = \sum_{\lambda>0} m_\lambda \lambda^{-s}$ where the sum runs over the (discrete) set of positive eigenvalues of $D^\dagger D$ and m_λ denotes the dimension of $\mathrm{Ker}(D^\dagger D - \lambda)$. The determinant of $D^\dagger D$ is defined by $\det{}'(D^\dagger D) = e^{-\zeta_D'(0)}$.

This is not surprising because, to derive the equation (5.1.17), we have used only the Ward identities and the Sugawara construction which we expect to hold also for the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-WZW model (see (3.3.13) and (3.3.17)). We can also see that $Z^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau,u)$ is invariant under the gauge transformations $(\mathrm{P}_H^\vee+\tau\mathrm{P}_H^\vee)\tilde{\times}W_H$.

The Partition Function of the Gauged WZW Model

Now let us calculate the partition function of the gauged WZW model with the target group G and the gauge group $H\subset G/Z_G$. We use the method developed in Chapter 3. The symmetry group $S_u=\mathrm{Aut}\bar{\partial}_{A_u}$ is the cylinder $(T_H)_{\mathbf{C}}$ for any u which satisfies $\alpha(u)\not\equiv 0$ for any root α of H. Since $T_H\subset(T_H)_{\mathbf{C}}$ is compact, half of the symmetry S_u serves the non-divergent factor $\mathrm{vol}T_H$. Hence, as the residual gauge-fixing term $\delta^{(2l)}\left(F_u(h)\right)$, we may take the following

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}T_H}\delta^{(l)}(\varphi(x_0))\,, \tag{5.2.16}$$

which we have considered in advance. Consequently, we have the following expression for the partition function $Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau)=Z_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv}}(g_\tau)$:

$$Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau)=\int_{\mathcal{N}_H^\circ}\prod_{j=1}^{l}d^2u^j\,Z^{G,k}(\tau,\imath u)\,Z^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau,u)\,Z^{\mathrm{gh}}(\tau,u)\,, \tag{5.2.17}$$

where the contribution of the adjoint ghost system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\mathrm{gh}}(\tau,u) &= Z^{\mathrm{gh}}\Big(\,g_\tau,A_u\,;\prod_{i=1}^{l}c^i(x_0)\bar{c}^i(x_0)\prod_{j=1}^{l}\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma b\frac{\partial A_u''}{\partial u^j}\,\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma\bar{b}\frac{\partial A_u'}{\partial\bar{u}^j}\,\Big) && (5.2.18)\\ &= \left(\frac{\pi}{\tau_2}\right)^{2l}\mathrm{det}'_{\Omega^0(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})}\Big(\bar{\partial}_{A_u}^\dagger\bar{\partial}_{A_u}\Big)=(2\pi)^{2l}e^{\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}2h^\vee\mathrm{tr}_H(u-\bar{u})^2}|\Pi^H(\tau,u)|^4 && (5.2.19)\end{aligned}$$

According to the branching rule (4.1.8) of the representation $L_{(\Lambda,k)}$ of $\tilde{Lg_{\mathbf{C}}}$ with respect to the subalgebra $\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}$, we have the following expansion of the character $\chi^G_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,\imath u)$ for the integrable weight $\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G)$ in terms of the characters of the irreducible representations of $\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}}$:

$$\chi^G_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,\imath u)=\sum_{\lambda\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)}b_{\Lambda,\lambda}(\tau)\,\chi^H_{(\lambda,\tilde{k})}(\tau,u)\,. \tag{5.2.20}$$

$b_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ in the above expression is called the *branching function.* If L_n^G denote the operators on $L_{(\Lambda,k)}$ corresponding to the mode expansion of the energy momentum tensor, they are expressed essentially as bilinear forms of the generators of $J(\tilde{Lg_{\mathbf{C}}})$ (see eq. (2.3.8)). If we apply such algebraic construction to the sub-generators $J(\tilde{Lh_{\mathbf{C}}})$ and denote the result by

L_n^H, the differences $L_n^G - L_n^H$ denoted by $L_n^{G:H}$ generate a Virasoro algebra [19] which acts on the subspace $L_{\Lambda,\lambda}$. The branching function is then expressed as the character

$$b_{\Lambda,\lambda}(\tau) = \mathrm{tr}_{L_{\Lambda,\lambda}}\Big(q^{L_0^{G:H}} \Big) \,. \tag{5.2.21}$$

The generators $L_n^{G:H}$ are invariant under the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ representing an element γ of the affine Weyl group $W_{\mathrm{aff}}(H)$ of LH (see eq. (2.4.12)). If γ is an element of the isotropy subgroup $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}(H)$ at the alcôve $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}_H$, the spectral flow $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ maps the subspace $L_{\Lambda,\lambda} \otimes \overline{L_{\Lambda,\lambda}}$ to another subspace $L_{\gamma_G\Lambda,\gamma\lambda} \otimes \overline{L_{\gamma_G\Lambda,\gamma\lambda}}$ where $\gamma_G\Lambda$ and $\gamma\lambda$ are given in (2.4.9). Here, γ_G denotes an element of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}(G)$ that is equivalent to γ modulo $W_{\mathrm{aff}}(G)$ where γ is considered as an element of $W_{\mathrm{aff}}(G/Z_G)$. The commutativity of $L_0^{G:H}$ and $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ shows that

$$b_{\gamma_G\Lambda,\gamma\lambda}(\tau) = b_{\Lambda,\lambda}(\tau) \,. \tag{5.2.22}$$

Combining the equations (5.2.5), (5.2.9), (5.2.20), (5.2.14) and (5.2.19), we can rewrite the expression (5.2.17) as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau) \;\; &= \;\; \left(\frac{2\pi^2(\tilde{k}+h^\vee)}{\tau_2} \right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}T_H} \int_{\mathcal{N}_H^\circ} \prod_{j=1}^{l} d^2u^j \, e^{\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}(\tilde{k}+h^\vee)\mathrm{tr}_H(u-\bar{u})^2} \qquad (5.2.23) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G) \\ \lambda,\bar{\lambda}\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)}} b_{\Lambda,\lambda}(\tau)\overline{b_{\Lambda,\bar{\lambda}}(\tau)}\chi^H_{(\lambda,\tilde{k})}(\tau,u)\overline{\chi^H_{(\bar{\lambda},\tilde{k})}(\tau,u)}|\Pi^H(\tau,u)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equation (5.2.22) together with the identity (5.2.7) applied to the characters $\chi^H_{(\lambda,\tilde{k})}$ shows that the integrand of (5.2.23) is indeed a well-defined measure on the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_H = \mathrm{Lie}(T_H)_{\mathbf{C}}\big/(\mathrm{P}_H^\vee + \tau\mathrm{P}_H^\vee)\tilde{\times}W_H$ of semi-stable topologically trivial $H_{\mathbf{C}}$-bundles. Since the moduli space is the quotient of $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{H}} = \mathrm{Lie}(T_H)_{\mathbf{C}}\big/(\mathrm{Q}_H^\vee + \tau\mathrm{Q}_H^\vee)\tilde{\times}W_H$ by $(\mathrm{P}_H^\vee/\mathrm{Q}_H^\vee)^2$, the integral in the expression (5.2.23) may be replaced by

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{P}_H^\vee/\mathrm{Q}_H^\vee|^2} \int_{\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{H}}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} d^2u^j \, \cdots \tag{5.2.24}$$

where $\tilde{H}$ is the simply connected covering group of H. If we use now the orthogonality relation of the characters which is proved in Appendix 3, we have the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau) \;\; &= \;\; \frac{(2\pi)^l \mathrm{vol}(i\mathrm{Lie}(T_H)/\mathrm{Q}_H^\vee)}{|\mathrm{P}_H^\vee/\mathrm{Q}_H^\vee|^2 \mathrm{vol}T_H} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G) \\ \lambda\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)}} |\, b_{\Lambda,\lambda}(\tau)|^2 \qquad (5.2.25) \\ &= \;\; \frac{1}{|\pi_1(H)|} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G) \\ \lambda\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)}} |\, b_{\Lambda,\lambda}(\tau)|^2 \,, \qquad (5.2.26) \end{aligned}$$

where, in proceeding to the right hand side, we have used the relations $\mathrm{P}_H^\vee/\mathrm{Q}_H^\vee \cong \pi_1(H)$ and $\mathrm{vol}T_H = (2\pi)^l \mathrm{vol}(i\mathrm{Lie}(T_H)/\mathrm{P}_H^\vee)$.

In view of the equation (5.2.22), $Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau)$ can be seen to be written as

$$Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau) = \sum_{\Lambda,\lambda}^{\sim} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda.\lambda}|} \,|\, b_{\Lambda,\lambda}(\tau)|^2 \ , \tag{5.2.27}$$

where the sum $\sum_{\Lambda,\lambda}^{\sim}$ is over the quotient $\left(\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G) \times \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)\right)/\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}(H)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ is the isotropy subgroup of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}(H)$ at (Λ, λ).

In particular, if the action of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}(H)$ on the set $\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G) \times \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)$ is free, the partition function for the trivial H-bundle is given by

$$Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathcal{H}/\sim}\Big(q^{L_0^{G:H}} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0^{G:H}} \Big) \ , \tag{5.2.28}$$

where $\mathcal{H}/\sim$ is the space of states corresponding to the gauge invariant fields in which only one state is contained among the states related by the spectral flows $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}(H)$. As we shall see in the following section, in such free case, there is no contribution from the topologically non-trivial H-bundles and hence, the above $Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau)$ is the full partition function.

If the action of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}(H)$ is not free, the coefficients of some terms in the $q\bar{q}$ expansion of $Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau)$ may be fractional and the physical interpretation such as (5.2.28) is impossible. In the following section, by choosing simplest examples, we argue that such problem may be resolved by taking into accout the contribution of topologically non-trivial H-bundles. This seems to provide a geometric method for the 'fixed point resolution' in coset conformal field theories [25], [35].

5.3 Torus Partition Functions for Non-Trivial Principal Bundles

In this section, we consider the torus partition functions of the gauged WZW models for topologically non-trivial configurations. First, using the field identification and the fusion rule, we give a criterion for the non-vanishing of such partition functions. A simplest non-trivial example is seen to be provided by the level (k_1, k_2) $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ WZW model ($k_1, k_2 \in 2\mathbf{N}$) coupled to $SO(3)$ gauge fields. The calculation of the partition function for the non-trivial $SO(3)$ bundle is attempted in three different methods — (i) With the use of the differential equation for the partition functions of the constituent field theories for the non-trivial $SO(3)$-bundle, (ii) With the use of the differential equations for the one point functions for the trivial $SO(3)$-bundle, (iii) Direct evaluation of the $H_{\mathbf{C}}/H$-sector integration of the one point function for the trivial $SO(3)$-bundle. The latter two methods use the field identification.

A Criterion for the Non-Vanishing of the Partition Function
—— Field Identification Fixed Points

Let G be a compact connected and simply connected Lie group and let H be a closed subgroup of the adjoint group. We give a criterion for the non-vanishing of the torus partition function of the group G WZW model coupled to the gauge field of the non-trivial H-bundles. For simplicity, we only consider the case in which H is semi-simple, though the result also applies to general compact Lie groups including such groups that contain $U(1)$-factors.

By the field identification (4.0.1), for each $\gamma \in \pi_1(H)$, the partition function for the H-bundle $P_\gamma = P_{\mathrm{triv}}\gamma$ coincides with the one point function for the trivial H-bundle P_{triv} with the insertion of $\gamma(1)$ at any point in Σ. Since the identity field 1 corresponds to the state belonging to the subspace $L_{0,0} \otimes \overline{L_{0,0}}$ labeled by the pair of weights $(0,0) \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G) \times \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)$, the gauge invariant field $\gamma(1)$ corresponds to the state $\Phi_{\gamma(1)}$ belonging to the subspace $L_{\gamma_G 0,\gamma 0} \otimes \overline{L_{\gamma_G 0,\gamma 0}}$ labeled by the pair $(\gamma_G 0, \gamma 0) \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G) \times \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)$. Let $V_{\gamma 0}$ denote the finite dimensional irreducible $\tilde{H}$-module with the highest weight $\gamma 0$.

We denote by $(O^G_{\gamma(1)})$ the $\mathrm{End}(V_{\gamma 0})$-valued field of the group G WZW model corresponding to the vacuum representation of the space of the $J(\tilde{Lh}_{\mathbf{C}}) \times \bar{J}(\tilde{Lh}_{\mathbf{C}})$-descendants of $\Phi_{\gamma(1)}$. Then, for the non-vanishing of the partition function for the H-bundle P_γ, the $\mathrm{End}(V_{\gamma 0})$-valued one point function

$$Z^{G,k}_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv}}(\, g_\tau, A_H\, ;\, (O^G_{\gamma(1)})) \tag{5.3.1}$$

of the level k group G WZW model must be non-vanishing for generic value of the H-gauge field A_H. Since the entries $(O^G_{\gamma(1)})^{\bar{m}}_{m}$ are the G-current descendants of the $\mathrm{End}(V_{\gamma_G 0})$-valued field $(O_{\gamma_G 0})$ corresponding to the vacuum subspace of $L_{(\gamma_G 0,k)} \otimes \overline{L_{(\gamma_G 0,k)}}$, the one point function

$$Z^{G,k}_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv}}(\, g_\tau, A_G\, ;\, (O_{\gamma_G 0})) \tag{5.3.2}$$

must also be non-vanishing for generic value of the G-gauge field A_G.

Let us introduce the normalized one point function $\left(Z^G_{\gamma(1)}\right)$ by

$$Z^{G,k}_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv}}(\, g_\tau, A\, ;\, (O^G_{\gamma(1)})) = e^{\frac{i\tilde{k}}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}_H A'A''} \left(Z^G_{\gamma(1)}(A', A'')\right)\,, \tag{5.3.3}$$

where $A' = A_z dz$ and $A'' = A_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z}$ are the $(1,0)$ and the $(0,1)$ components of the H-gauge field A. Recall that $h^{-1}A''h + h^{-1}\bar{\partial}h$ and $h^* A' h^{*-1} + h^*\partial h^{*-1}$ are denoted by $A^{h''}$ and

$A^{h'}$ respectively. The important thing to notice is that the normalized one point function satisfies

$$\Big(Z^G_{\gamma(1)}(A^{h_R}{}', A^{h_L}{}'')\Big) = e^{\tilde{k}I(A'',h_L)+\tilde{k}I(A',h_R)}\rho(h^*_R(x))\Big(Z^G_{\gamma(1)}(A', A'')\Big)\rho(h_L(x)) \,, \qquad (5.3.4)$$

where x is the insertion point and the representation of $\tilde{H}$ on the space $V_{\gamma 0}$ is denoted by $\rho : \tilde{H} \to GL(V_{\gamma 0})$. The following space of $V^*_{\gamma 0}$ valued holomorphic functions of A''

$$\Big\{\Psi : \Omega^{0,1}(\Sigma, h_{\mathbf{C}}) \to V^*_{\gamma 0}\,;\, \Psi(A^{h}{}'') = e^{\tilde{k}I(A'',h)}\rho(h(x))^t\Psi(A'')\Big\} \,, \qquad (5.3.5)$$

is called the conformal block [14], [41], [10] and is known to be of dimension $\sum_{\lambda\in \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)} N^{\lambda}_{\gamma 0\lambda}$ where $N^{\lambda_3}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ for λ_1, λ_2, $\lambda_3 \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)$ is the fusion coefficient given by [38]

$$N^{\lambda_3}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} = \sum_{\lambda\in \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)} \frac{S_{\lambda_1,\lambda}S_{\lambda_2,\lambda}S^*_{\lambda_3,\lambda}}{S_{0,\lambda}} \,, \qquad (5.3.6)$$

in which $S_{\lambda',\lambda} = S^H_{\lambda',\lambda}$ is the matrix representing the transfomation under $(\tau, u) \mapsto (\frac{-1}{\tau}, \frac{u}{\tau})$ of the characters of integrable representations of $\widetilde{Lh}_{\mathbf{C}}$ at level $\tilde{k}$. Hence, for the non-vanishing of the one point function given in (5.3.1), the weight $\gamma 0$ must satisfy

$$\sum_{\lambda\in \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)} N^{\lambda}_{\gamma 0\,\lambda} \neq 0 \,. \qquad (5.3.7)$$

By exactly the same argument, for the non-vanishing of (5.3.2), $\gamma_G 0$ must satisfy

$$\sum_{\Lambda\in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G)} N^{\Lambda}_{\gamma_G 0\,\Lambda} \neq 0 \,, \qquad (5.3.8)$$

where $N^{\Lambda_3}_{\Lambda_1\Lambda_2}$ is the fusion coefficient for the group G WZW model at level k.

We shall prove that the *conditions (5.3.7), (5.3.8) for the non-vanishing of the partition function* $Z_{\Sigma,P\gamma}(g_\tau)$ *are equivalent to the existence of the fixed points of the action of* γ *on the set* $\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G)\times \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)$ *given by* $\gamma : (\Lambda, \lambda) \mapsto (\gamma_G\Lambda, \gamma\lambda)$. Note that $\hat{\rho}_H = (0, \rho_H, h^\vee)$ is invariant under the action of $\Gamma_{\widehat{C}}(H)$, which follows from the statement that an affine root $\hat{\alpha}$ of H is positive if and only if $(\hat{\rho}_H, \hat{\alpha}) > 0$ with respect to the scalar product (A.2.4). Using this fact, we can see from the expression (5.2.8) that $S_{\gamma\lambda',\lambda} = (-1)^{l(w)}e^{-2\pi i(\lambda+\rho_H)(\mu)}S_{\lambda',\lambda}$ if γ is represented by a loop $\gamma(\theta) = e^{-i\theta\mu}n_w$.[31] Putting such identity into the expression (5.3.6), we obtain the relation

$$N^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\lambda_3}_{\gamma_1\lambda_1\gamma_2\lambda_2} = N^{\lambda_3}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} \,. \qquad (5.3.9)$$

---

[31] This was pointed out by D. Gepner [17].

Hence, the dimension of the conformal block is given by

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)} N_{0\,\lambda}^{\gamma^{-1}\lambda} = \sharp\Big\{ \lambda \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}(H)\,;\, \gamma\lambda = \lambda \Big\}\,, \tag{5.3.10}$$

where we have used the obvious identity $N_{0\,\lambda}^{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda}^{\lambda'}$. Doing the same thing for $\gamma_G 0 \in \mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}(G)$, we see that the above assertion holds.

Example —— $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ mod. $SO(3)$ Gauged WZW Model

As the simplest non-trivial example with field identification fixed points, we consider the following system with the gauge group $SO(3)$. The gauged WZW model with the target $G = SU(2) \times SU(2)$ on which the gauge group $H = SO(3)$ acts by $h : (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (hg_1h^{-1}, hg_2h^{-1})$. If the level k of the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ WZW model is given by $k = (k_1, k_2)$, the corresponding number $\tilde{k}$ for H is $\tilde{k} = k_1 + k_2$. The action of the group $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}(H) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ of spectral flows on the set $\mathrm{P}_+^{(k_1)} \times \mathrm{P}_+^{(k_2)} \times \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})}$ is generated by $(j_1, j_2, j_3) \mapsto (\frac{k_1}{2} - j_1, \frac{k_2}{2} - j_2, \frac{\tilde{k}}{2} - j_3)$. Hence, if both k_1 and k_2 are even integers, there is a unique fixed point $(\frac{k_1}{4}, \frac{k_2}{4}, \frac{\tilde{k}}{4})$. In this section, we shall attempt to calculate the torus partition function of the model with even k_1, k_2 for the topologically non-trivial $SO(3)$-bundle P :

$$Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau) = Z_{\Sigma,P}(g_\tau)\,. \tag{5.3.11}$$

We do it in three different ways.

(i) Using the Differential Equations

Since there is only one semi-stable bundle $\mathcal{P}_F^{(1)}$ whose automorphism group is the finite group $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ (see (4.3.13)) of order 4, we have the following expression for the partition function

$$Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau) = \prod_{i=1}^{2} Z_{\Sigma,P}^{SU(2),k_i}(\,g_\tau, A_F)\, Z_{\Sigma,P}^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H,-\tilde{k}-4}\Big(\,g_\tau, A_F\,;\frac{1}{4}\Big)\, Z_{\Sigma,P}^{\mathrm{gh}}(\,g_\tau, A_F)\,. \tag{5.3.12}$$

For the partition function of the $SU(2)$ WZW model, the differential equation (5.1.23) says that

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}^{SU(2),k_i}(\,g_\tau, A_F) = \text{a constant}, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \tag{5.3.13}$$

Since the proof uses only the Ward identities and the Sugawara construction of the energy momentum tensor which we expect to hold also for the $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})/PU(2)$ WZW model, we also have

$$Z_{\Sigma,P}^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H,-\tilde{k}-4}(\,g_\tau, A_F) = \text{a constant}. \tag{5.3.14}$$

In the course of the derivation of such differential equations, we have actually obtained and used the following property of the Green function $G_w(z)$ given in (5.1.19) :

$$\lim_{w\to z}\left\{\mathrm{tr}_P\Big(\partial^{(w)}_{A_F}G_w\sigma_a(z)\eta^{ab}\sigma_b(w)\Big)-\frac{3(dz)^2}{(z-w)^2}\right\}=\frac{(dz)^2}{2z^2}\,. \tag{5.3.15}$$

Since we have $Z^{\mathrm{gh}}(\,g_\tau,A_F\,;\,c(w)b(z))=G_w(z)Z^{\mathrm{gh}}(\,g_\tau,A_F)$, we see from the above equation that

$$Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\Sigma,P}\Big(\,g_\tau,A_F\,;\,:\!b^\sigma_z(z)(\partial_z+A^\sigma_z)c^\sigma(z)\!:\Big)=\frac{1}{2z^2}Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\Sigma,P}(\,g_\tau,A_F)\,. \tag{5.3.16}$$

Then, putting this together with $\lambda=1$, $V=sl(2,\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathrm{tr}((A^\sigma_z)^2)=\frac{1}{8z^2}$ into the expression (1.4.6) of the energy momentum tensor, we have

$$Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\Sigma,P}(\,g_\tau,A_F\,;\,T_{zz})=0\,. \tag{5.3.17}$$

Hence, we see that $Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau)$ is independent of τ, that is, it is a constant.

(ii) Using the Differential Equations via the Field Identification

The second and the third methods use the field identification. The dressed gauge invariant field for $\gamma_1(1)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{k}+1}\mathrm{tr}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\Big((hh^*)^{-1}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\Big[(g_1)_{\frac{k_1}{2}}\otimes(g_2)_{\frac{k_2}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\Big)\,, \tag{5.3.18}$$

where tr_j is the trace in the spin j representation V_j of $SL(2,\mathbf{C})$, $(g)_j$ denotes the representation of g on V_j and $[A_{j_1}\otimes A_{j_2}]_{j_3}$ denotes the endomorphism of the spin j_3 component with respect to the decomposition $V_{j_1}\otimes V_{j_2}=\oplus_j V_j$ into irreducible representations. Using these expressions, the relation (4.0.1) shows that

$$Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau)=\int_{\mathcal{N}_H}d^2\upsilon\prod_{i=1}^{2}Z^{k_i}_{\frac{k_i}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon)\;Z^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon)\,Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\upsilon\bar{\upsilon}}(\tau,\upsilon)\,, \tag{5.3.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Z^{k_i}_{\frac{k_i}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) &= Z^{SU(2),k_i}_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv}}\Big(\,g_\tau,A_u\,;\,\Big((g)_{\frac{k_i}{2}}\Big)^0_0\Big)\,, && (5.3.20)\\
Z^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) &= Z^{H_{\mathbf{C}}/H,-\tilde{k}-4}_{\Sigma,\mathrm{triv}}\Big(\,g_\tau,A_u\,;\frac{\delta(\varphi(x_0))}{\mathrm{vol}T_H}\Big((hh^*)^{-1}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\Big)^0_0\Big)\frac{1}{\tilde{k}+1}\,, && (5.3.21)\\
Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\upsilon\bar{\upsilon}}(\tau,\upsilon) &= \frac{\pi^2}{2\tau_2^2}\mathrm{det}'_{\Omega^0_{\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}}}\Big(\,\bar{\partial}^\dagger_{A_u}\bar{\partial}_{A_u}\Big)\,, && (5.3.22)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$A''_u=\frac{\pi}{\tau_2}ud\bar{\zeta}\quad;\qquad u=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\upsilon}{2} & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{\upsilon}{2}\end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{5.3.23}$$

Note that the weight zero components of the matrices $(g_i)_{\frac{k_i}{2}}$ and $(hh^*)^{-1}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}$ are selected out due to the symmetry $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the background gauge fields A_u.

The ghost contribution $Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\upsilon\bar{\upsilon}}$ is already calculated (see (5.2.19)) :

$$Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\upsilon\bar{\upsilon}}(\tau,\upsilon) = 2\pi^2 (q\bar{q})^{4\phi^2} |\Pi(\tau,\upsilon)|^4 \,, \tag{5.3.24}$$

where we introduce the real coordinates ϕ and ψ by $\frac{\upsilon}{2} = \psi - \tau\phi$ and we denote the Weyl-Kac denominator $\Pi(\tau,u)$ by $\Pi(\tau,\upsilon)$.

If we introduce the normalized one point functions $\tilde{Z}^{k_i}_{\frac{k_i}{2}}$ and $\tilde{Z}^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{k_i}_{\frac{k_i}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) &= (q\bar{q})^{k_i\phi^2} \frac{\tilde{Z}^{k_i}_{k_i/2}(\tau,\upsilon)}{|\Pi(\tau,\upsilon)|^2} \,, & (5.3.25) \\ Z^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) &= (q\bar{q})^{-(\tilde{k}+4)\phi^2} \frac{\tilde{Z}^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\tilde{k}/2}(\tau,\upsilon)}{|\Pi(\tau,\upsilon)|^2} \,, & (5.3.26) \end{aligned}$$

we have the following differential equations (see (5.1.17) :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \delta_\tau - \frac{1}{k_i+2} D^2 - \frac{k_i}{4} D(\Pi^{-1} D \Pi) \right\} \tilde{Z}^{k_i}_{\frac{k_i}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) = 0 \,, & \quad (5.3.27) \\ \left\{ \delta_\tau + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}+2} D^2 + \frac{\tilde{k}}{4} D(\Pi^{-1} D \Pi) \right\} \tilde{Z}^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) = 0 \,, & \quad (5.3.28) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}$ and $D = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\upsilon}$. Since there is only one fixed point $(\frac{k_1}{4}, \frac{k_2}{4}, \frac{\tilde{k}}{4})$, the dimension of the conformal block is one which implies that $\tilde{Z}^{k_i}_{k_i/2}(\tau,\upsilon)$ is a product of holomorphic and anti-holomorphic functions of τ and υ. The solution satisfying the equation (5.3.27) is given by $\tilde{Z}^{k_i}_{k_i/2}(\tau,\upsilon) = \left| \Pi(\tau,\upsilon)^{\frac{k_i}{2}+1} \right|^2$. Hence, we have

$$Z^{k_i}_{\frac{k_i}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) = C_{k_i} (q\bar{q})^{k_i\phi^2} \left| \Pi(\tau,\upsilon)^{\frac{k_i}{2}} \right|^2 \,, \tag{5.3.29}$$

where C_{k_i} is a numerical constant. Therefore, we see that

$$Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau) = C \int_{\mathcal{N}_H} d^2\upsilon \left| \Pi(\tau,\upsilon)^{\frac{\tilde{k}+2}{2}} \right|^2 \tilde{Z}^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) \,, \tag{5.3.30}$$

where the constant C is given by $2\pi^2 C_{k_1} C_{k_2}$. Noting that the real coordinates ψ and ϕ of the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_H$ of flat connections are independent of the complex structure τ of the surface, we see that the differentiation of the expression (5.3.30) by τ gives

$$\delta_\tau Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau) = C \int_{\mathcal{N}_H} d^2\upsilon \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\tau_2} - 2\phi D + \delta_\tau \right) \left| \Pi^{\frac{\tilde{k}+2}{2}} \right|^2 \tilde{Z}^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}} \,. \tag{5.3.31}$$

It is a straightforward calculation to check that the integrand is a total derivative

$$\left(-\frac{1}{4\pi\tau_2} - 2\phi D + \delta_\tau \right) \left| \Pi^{\frac{\tilde{k}+2}{2}} \right|^2 \tilde{Z}^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{k}+2} D F(\tau,\upsilon) \,, \tag{5.3.32}$$

where $F(\tau,\upsilon)$ is a single-valued function on $\mathcal{N}_H$ given by

$$F(\tau,\upsilon)=C'\left\{DZ^{\tilde{k}}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}Z^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}-Z^{\tilde{k}}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}DZ^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\right\}Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\upsilon\bar{\upsilon}}(\tau,\upsilon)\,, \tag{5.3.33}$$

where C' is a numerical constant. Hence we again see that $Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau)$ is a constant.

(iii) Direct Evaluation of the Path-Integral via the Field Identification

Instead of using the differential equations, we may calculate the path-integral $Z^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\tilde{k}/2}(\tau,\upsilon)$ directly as in the case of the calculation of the partition function for the topologically trivial bundle.

We choose the following parametrization of the field hh^* :

$$hh^*=\begin{pmatrix} e^{\varphi}(1+|w|^2) & w \\ \bar{w} & e^{-\varphi}\end{pmatrix}\,. \tag{5.3.34}$$

Then, the field $\left((hh^*)^{-1}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\right)^0_0$ is expressed as

$$\left((hh^*)^{-1}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\right)^0_0=\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{k}\\ \frac{\tilde{k}}{2}\end{pmatrix}^2(1+|w|^2)^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}-l}|w|^{2l}=\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{k}\\ \frac{\tilde{k}}{2}\end{pmatrix}|w|^{\tilde{k}}+\cdots\,, \tag{5.3.35}$$

where $+\cdots$ denotes a power series of $|w|^2$ of order lower than $\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}$. Using the same parameters, the classical action is expressed as

$$(-\tilde{k}-4)I(A_u,hh^*)=\frac{i}{2\pi}(\tilde{k}+4)\int_\Sigma\left\{\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi+\partial_{\upsilon,\varphi}\bar{w}\bar{\partial}_{\upsilon,\varphi}w\right\}\,, \tag{5.3.36}$$

where $\bar{\partial}_{\upsilon,\varphi}$ is the Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial}_{\upsilon,\varphi}=\bar{\partial}+\frac{\pi}{\tau_2}\upsilon d\bar{\zeta}+\bar{\partial}\varphi$ acting on complex functions on Σ_τ.

Then, the path-integral is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) &= \frac{\binom{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}/2}}{\tilde{k}+1}\int\mathcal{D}\varphi\frac{\delta(\varphi(x_0))}{\mathrm{vol}T_H}\mathcal{D}^2w\,e^{-\frac{i(\tilde{k}+4)}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma(\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi+\partial_{\upsilon,\varphi}\bar{w}\bar{\partial}_{\upsilon,\varphi}w)}\left\{|w(x)|^{\tilde{k}}+\cdots\right\} \\ &= \frac{\binom{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}/2}}{\tilde{k}+1}\det\left(\bar{\partial}^\dagger_\upsilon\bar{\partial}_\upsilon\right)^{-1}\int\mathcal{D}\varphi\frac{\delta(\varphi(x_0))}{\mathrm{vol}T_H}e^{-\frac{i(\tilde{k}+2)}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi}\left\{G_{\upsilon,\varphi}(x,x)^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}+\cdots\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $G_{\upsilon,\varphi}(x_1,x_2)$ is the Green function for the operator $\bar{\partial}_{\upsilon,\varphi}$ such that

$$G_{\upsilon,\varphi}(x,x)=e^{-2\phi(x)}\int_\Sigma e^{2\phi(\zeta)}\frac{d^2\zeta}{2\pi(\tilde{k}+4)}\Big|\,G_\upsilon(\zeta,\zeta(x))\,\Big|^2\,, \tag{5.3.37}$$

in which $G_\upsilon(\zeta,\xi)$ is the Green function for the operator $\bar{\partial}_\upsilon=\bar{\partial}_{\upsilon,0}$ that has the following expression in terms of the function $E(\zeta)=\vartheta(\tau,\zeta+\frac{\tau+1}{2})$:

$$G_\upsilon(\zeta,\xi)=e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau_2}\upsilon(\bar{\zeta}-\bar{\xi}-\zeta+\xi)}\frac{E(\zeta-\xi-\upsilon)}{E(\zeta-\xi)}\frac{E'(0)}{E(-\upsilon)}\,. \tag{5.3.38}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}^{-\tilde{k}-4}(\tau,\upsilon) &= \frac{\binom{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}/2}}{\tilde{k}+1}\det\Big(\bar{\partial}_\upsilon^\dagger\bar{\partial}_\upsilon\Big)^{-1}\int_{\Sigma^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}}\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\frac{d^2\zeta_j}{2\pi(\tilde{k}+4)}\Big|\,G_\upsilon(\zeta_j,\zeta(x))\,\Big|^2 \\ &\quad\times\int\mathcal{D}\varphi\frac{\delta(\varphi(x_0))}{\mathrm{vol}T_H}e^{-\frac{i(\tilde{k}+2)}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma\partial\varphi\bar{\partial}\varphi-\tilde{k}\varphi(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}2\varphi(\zeta_j)}+\cdots \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.39}$$

To perform this Gaussian integral, we have to take care of the divergence coming from the singularity $\sim\log|\zeta-\xi|^2$ as $\zeta\to\xi$ of the Green function for the scalar laplacian given by

$$G(\zeta,\xi)=\log|\zeta-\xi|^2+\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}\Big(\zeta-\xi-\bar{\zeta}+\bar{\xi}+\frac{\tau-\bar{\tau}}{2}\Big)^2+c\,, \tag{5.3.40}$$

where c is a constant. We define the path-integral by first applying the point splitting regularization $G(\zeta,\zeta)\to G(\zeta+\Delta\zeta,\zeta)$ together with the renormalization by multiplying the factor

$$e^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{4}\log|\Delta\zeta|^2}\,, \tag{5.3.41}$$

and then by taking the limit $\Delta\zeta\to 0$. This enables us to neglect the lower order terms $+\cdots$ and we have the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}^{-\tilde{k}-4}(\tau,\upsilon) &= \frac{(2(\tilde{k}+2))^{\frac{1}{2}}\binom{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}/2}}{2\pi(\tilde{k}+1)\mathrm{vol}T_H}\tau_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\det{}'\bar{\partial}^\dagger\bar{\partial}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\det\Big(\bar{\partial}_\upsilon^\dagger\bar{\partial}_\upsilon\Big)^{-1} \\ &\quad\times\int_{\Sigma^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}}\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\frac{d^2\zeta_j}{2\pi(\tilde{k}+4)}\Big|\,G_\upsilon(\zeta_j,\zeta(x))\,\Big|^2 e^{-\frac{\tilde{k}}{4}G_{\mathrm{reg}}-\frac{2}{\tilde{k}+2}\sum_{i<j}G(\zeta_i,\zeta_j)+\frac{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}+2}\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}G(\zeta_j,\zeta(x))}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.42}$$

where G_{reg} is the regularized value of the Green function at the coincident point :

$$G_{\mathrm{reg}}=\lim_{\zeta\to\xi}\Big(G(\zeta,\xi)-\log|\zeta-\xi|^2\Big)=\log\Big|\,2\pi\eta(\tau)^3\Big|^2+c\,, \tag{5.3.43}$$

in which $\eta(\tau)$ is the Dedekind η function. It is easy to see that the expression (5.3.42) is independent of the choice of the constant c.

We now recall the Jacobi's triple product identity

$$E(\zeta)=(1-e^{-2\pi i\zeta})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)(1-q^ne^{2\pi i\zeta})(1-q^ne^{-2\pi i\zeta})=e^{-\pi i\zeta}q^{-\frac{1}{8}}\Pi(\tau,\zeta)\,, \tag{5.3.44}$$

which shows that $E'(0)=q^{-\frac{1}{8}}2\pi i\eta(\tau)^3$. We also recall the identity

$$\tau_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\det{}'\bar{\partial}^\dagger\bar{\partial}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\det\Big(\bar{\partial}_\upsilon^\dagger\bar{\partial}_\upsilon\Big)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\tau_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}(\upsilon-\bar{\upsilon})^2}|\Pi(\tau,\upsilon)|^{-2}\,. \tag{5.3.45}$$

Then, denoting υ by $-\zeta_0$ and putting $\zeta(x)=\zeta_0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{-\tilde{k}-4}_{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}(\tau,\upsilon) \;&=\; \frac{(2(\tilde{k}+2))^{\frac{1}{2}}\binom{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}/2}}{4\pi(\tilde{k}+1)\mathrm{vol}T_H}\tau_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left|\frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\tilde{k}+4}\right|^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}} p(\tau,\upsilon)^{-\frac{\tilde{k}+2}{2}}\int_{\Sigma^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}} d^2\zeta_j p(\tau,\zeta_j)}{\prod_{0\leq i<j\leq\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}} p(\tau,\zeta_i-\zeta_j)^{\frac{2}{\tilde{k}+2}}} \\
&;\quad p(\tau,\zeta)=e^{\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}(\zeta-\bar{\zeta})^2}|\Pi(\tau,\zeta)|^2\,. \qquad (5.3.46)
\end{aligned}
$$

The moduli space $\mathcal{N}_H$ is the $\upsilon=-\zeta_0$-plane with the identification $\zeta_0\equiv\pm\zeta_0+n+\tau m$ where $n,m\in\mathbf{Z}$. Since we have $Z^{k_i}_{k_i/2}(\tau\upsilon)=C_{k_i}p(\tau,\upsilon)^{\frac{k_i}{2}}$ and $Z^{\mathrm{gh}}_{\upsilon\bar{\upsilon}}(\tau,\upsilon)=2\pi^2p(\tau,\upsilon)^2$, we obtain the following expression for the partition function

$$
Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau)=\frac{(\tilde{k}+2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\binom{\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}/2}}{4(\tilde{k}+1)}C_{k_1}C_{k_2}\tau_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left|\frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\tilde{k}+4}\right|^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}}\int_{\Sigma^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}+1}}\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}} d^2\zeta_j p(\tau,\zeta_j)}{\prod_{0\leq i<j\leq\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}} p(\tau,\zeta_i-\zeta_j)^{\frac{2}{\tilde{k}+2}}}. \qquad (5.3.47)
$$

The result of numerical calculations imply that this is independent of the modular parameter τ though we do not know the proof yet.

The Full Partition Function

Let us consider the full partition function of the model with even levels k_1, k_2. It is given as the sum

$$
Z(\tau)=Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau)+Z_{\mathrm{non-triv}}(\tau)\,, \qquad (5.3.48)
$$

of contributions from the trivial and the non-trivial $SO(3)$-bundles.

As we have seen in the preceding section, the partition function for the topologically trivial configuration is given by

$$
Z_{\mathrm{triv}}(\tau)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{j_1\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(k_1)},j_2\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(k_2)}\\ j_3\in\mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})},j_3-j_1-j_2\in\mathbf{Z}}}\left|\,b_{(j_1,j_2),j_3}(\tau)\right|^2, \qquad (5.3.49)
$$

where we consider $\mathrm{P}_+^{(k)}$ as the set $\{0,\frac{1}{2},1,\cdots,\frac{k}{2}\}$ of spins integrable at level k. Due to the invariance under the replacement $((j_1,j_2),j_3)\to((\frac{k_1}{2}-j_1,\frac{k_2}{2}-j_2),\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}-j_3)$, the sum can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{(j_1,j_2,j_3)\in F^\circ}\left|\,b_{(j_1,j_2),j_3}(\tau)\right|^2+\frac{1}{2}\left|\,b_{(\frac{k_1}{4},\frac{k_2}{4}),\frac{\tilde{k}}{4}}(\tau)\right|^2. \qquad (5.3.50)
$$

where F° is a fundamental domain of the action of $\mathbf{Z}_2$ on $\mathrm{P}_+^{(k_1)} \times \mathrm{P}_+^{(k_2)} \times \mathrm{P}_+^{(\tilde{k})} - \{(\frac{k_1}{4}, \frac{k_2}{4}, \frac{\tilde{k}}{4})\}$. As we have noted, the term $\frac{1}{2}\Big| b_{(\frac{k_1}{4},\frac{k_2}{4}),\frac{\tilde{k}}{4}}(\tau)\Big|^2$ is physically unsatisfactory since it may occur that the coefficients of some terms in the $q\bar{q}$ expansion are not integers.

It may be possible that the addition of $Z_{\text{non-triv}}(\tau)$ (a constant) makes the full partition function $Z(\tau)$ physically acceptable. In the following, we shall see that this is the case for the model with $k_2 = 2$.

The models with $k_2 = 2$ are known as the unitary $N = 1$ supersymmetric minimal models. The existence of the super current G_0 satisfying $G_0^2 = L_0$ in the Ramond sector implies that the positive eigenspaces of L_0 are degenerate with even multiplicities.[32] Indeed, the branching function $b_{(\frac{k_1}{4},\frac{1}{2}),\frac{\tilde{k}}{4}}(\tau)$ is given by [19]

$$b_{(\frac{k_1}{4},\frac{1}{2}),\frac{\tilde{k}}{4}}(\tau) = \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^2}\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\Big(q^{4Nn^2} - q^{4N(n+\frac{1}{2})^2}\Big) \tag{5.3.51}$$

$$= \frac{1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n q^{Nn^2}}{1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n q^{n^2}}\,, \tag{5.3.52}$$

where N is an integer defined by $N = \frac{\tilde{k}}{2}(\frac{\tilde{k}}{2} + 1)/2$. Hence, if we could show that $Z_{\text{non-triv}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}$, the coefficients of all terms in the $q\bar{q}$ expansion of the full partition function would be integers, and the model may be interpreted as a supersymmetric model with unique Ramond ground state.

In the literature [8], such a constant term is interpreted as the contribution of the periodic boundary condition of the corresponding statistical model. The above full partition function is the (A,A) modular invariant in the list in that reference.

---

[32] As before, this operator L_0 should be expressed as $L_0 - \frac{c}{24}$ in the conventional notations where c is the central charge of the theory.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

We summarize what is done in this paper. We realized with certain geometrical meaning the spectral flows in such field theories that appear in quantization of the gauged WZW models or of models of free fermions coupled to gauge fields. We have expressed a correlation function of the model as an integral over the moduli space of holomorphic principal bundles with flag structure at one point. The geometrical interpretation of the spectral flow is conjectured to induce an identification of the moduli spaces corresponding to different topological types. The conjecture is checked on the sphere with general simple structure group and on the torus with the structure group $PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$. Under the assumption that the conjecture holds true, we have obtained the relation between correlators that lead to the field identification. As an application, the condition of the non-vanishing of the torus partition function for topologically non-trivial configurations is determined in terms of the spectral flow.

It is clear that we need general proof of the conjecture : The map induced by the spectral flow transforms a moduli space relevant in the path integral to another relevant moduli space. For the proof, it seems that we need a new characterization of the holomorphic principal bundles with flag structure that represent points in the 'moduli space relevant in the path integral'. It may be possible that a certain parabolic stability [26] will play the role.

In recent years, 'mirror symmetry' has attracted interests of many physicists and mathematicians. It was first suggested[25] by the existence of an automorphism of $N = 2$ super conformal algebra which is also called the spectral flow. It implies a natural map (called the mirror map) between moduli space of Kähler structures of one complex manifold and the moduli space of complex structures of another smooth manifold. Though it seems very speculative, the present work may shed some light on the construction of such mirror map, or conversely the study of mirror symmetry may give a deeper understanding of the field identification phenomena.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Prof. Yoichi Kazama for continuous encouragement. I thank Kenji Mohri for discussion in the early stage of the work. I feel grateful for sharing similar point of view with Toshio Nakatsu and Yuji Sugahara. I thank Prof. Hiraku Nakajima for explaining me on the Hecke correspondence. I would like to express my thank to all the members of Institute of Physics, Komaba, for thier kind support. Finally, I have to and I wish to appreciate the continuous influence of Prof. Graeme Segal and Prof. Krzysztof Gawędzki.

Appendix 1. Lifting the Adjoint Action

Let G be a compact simple simply connected Lie group with center Z_G and H be the quotient group $H = G/Z_G$. In this appendix, we prove that the adjoint acion of LH on $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ lifts to an action on the semigroup $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ introduced in Chapter 2.

We start with the requirement that the weight $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g)}$ for a surface Σ_0 with boundary $\partial\Sigma_0 \cong S^1$ is gauge covariant. (See (2.2.6) in Chapter 2 and the remark following that formula.) Assuming that there exists such a transformation $\mathrm{ad}\gamma^{-1} : \mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}} \to \mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ for $\gamma \in LH$, we can show that it is an automorphism of $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A^h,h^{-1}g_1h)}e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A^h,h^{-1}g_2h)} &= e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A^h,h^{-1}g_1g_2h)+k\Gamma_{\Sigma_0}(A^h,h^{-1}g_1h,h^{-1}g_2h)} \\ &= \gamma^{-1}e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g_1g_2)}\gamma\, e^{k\Gamma_{\Sigma_0}(A,g_1,g_2)} \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\left(e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g_1)}e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g_2)}\right)\gamma\,, \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.1}$$

where we have used the reation $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g_1)}e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g_2)} = e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A,g_1g_2)+k\Gamma_{\Sigma_0}(A,g_1,g_2)}$.

The requirement of gauge covariance is equivalent to the following :

$$\gamma^{-1}\{(\check{g},1)\}\gamma = \{(\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}\check{h}, e^{-kI_{\hat{\Sigma}_0}\left(0\star A^h,(\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}\check{h})\star(h^{-1}gh)\right)+kI_{\hat{\Sigma}_0}(0\star A,\check{g}\star g)})\}\ , \tag{A.1.2}$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}_0$ is a closed Riemann surface obtained by capping Σ_0 by the disc D_∞. Maps $g : \Sigma_0 \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\check{g} : D_\infty \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$ are related by $\check{g}|_S = g|_S$ and the values are different from 1 only on a neighborhood U of S in $\hat{\Sigma}_0$. Maps $h : \Sigma_0 \cap U \to H$ and $\check{h} : D_\infty \cap U \to H$ are related by $\check{h}|_S = h|_S = \gamma \in LH$. By a calculation we see that $I_{\bar{\Sigma}_0}\left(0\star A^h, (\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}\check{h})\star(h^{-1}gh)\right) - I_{\bar{\Sigma}_0}(0\star A, \check{g}\star g) = c(\check{h},\check{g})$ is independent of (h_0, A, g_0) :

$$c(\check{h},\check{g}) = K_{D_\infty}(\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}\check{h}) - K_{D_\infty}(\check{g}) - \frac{i}{4\pi}\int_{D_\infty}\mathrm{tr}\left\{(d\check{g}\check{g}^{-1} + \check{g}^{-1}d\check{g})\check{h}d\check{h}^{-1} + \check{h}d\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}\check{h}d\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}^{-1}\right\}, \tag{A.1.3}$$

where $K_{D_\infty}(\check{g}) = \frac{i}{4\pi}\int_{D_\infty}\mathrm{tr}(\partial\check{g}^{-1}\bar{\partial}\check{g})$. This shows that *the adjoint action of the loop group LH on $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ lifts to an automorphic action on the semigroup $\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ by the rule*

$$\gamma^{-1}\{(\check{g},u)\}\gamma = \{(\check{h}^{-1}\check{g}\check{h}, ue^{-kc(\check{h},\check{g})})\}. \tag{A.1.4}$$

Remark. As is shown in [31], the lift is unique. In fact, the above transformation law can be derived also by transporting the transformation law given in that reference through the isomorphism of $\widetilde{LG}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZ}})^\times$ given by

$$[(\gamma,p,c)] \mapsto \{(g(p), ce^{\int_p\beta - K_{D_\infty}(g(p))})\}\ ,$$

where $\gamma \in LG_{\mathbf{C}}$, p is a path in $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ from 1 to γ, $g(p) : D_\infty \to G_{\mathbf{C}}$ is given by $g(p)(re^{i\theta}) = p(r^{-1})(e^{-i\theta})$ and β is a one form on $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ given by

$$\beta_\gamma(\delta\gamma) = \frac{i}{4\pi}\int_{S^1}\mathrm{tr}(\gamma^{-1}d\gamma\,\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma)\ .$$

Appendix 2. Root Systems and Weyl Groups

We describe here the basic facts on root systems and Weyl groups.[6] The notation here is the same as in the Appendix 1. We choose and fix the maximal tori $T_G \subset G$, $T_H = T_G/Z_G \subset H$. We identify their Lie algebras $\mathrm{Lie}(T) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_G) = \mathrm{Lie}(T_H)$ and denote by $\mathrm{V} = i\mathrm{Lie}(T)$ the purely imaginary part of its complexification. We introduce four lattices in V^* and in V :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{V}^* \supset \mathrm{P} & \cdots\cdots & \mathrm{Q}^\vee \\ \cup & & \cap \\ \mathrm{Q} & \cdots\cdots & \mathrm{P}^\vee \subset \mathrm{V} \end{array} \tag{A.2.1}$$

where $A \cdots\cdots B$ means that A is the dual lattice of B. The lattices $\mathrm{Q}^\vee$ and $\mathrm{P}^\vee$ are determined in such a way that the exponential mappings induce isomorphisms $\mathrm{Lie}(T)/2\pi i\mathrm{Q}^\vee \overset{\simeq}{\to} T_G$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(T)/2\pi i\mathrm{P}^\vee \overset{\simeq}{\to} T_H$. P is the *weight lattice* of T_G. Q is called the *root lattice* since it is generated by the set Δ of *roots* of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$, that is, the set of weights of adjoint representation of G or of H on $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. The center Z_G of G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{P}^\vee/\mathrm{Q}^\vee$.

(2.1) Finite Weyl group. The *Weyl group* W of (G, T_G) is defined by $W = N_{T_G}/T_G$ where N_{T_G} is the normalizer of T_G in G. W acts adjointly on T_G and hence has faithful representations on V^* and V leaving invariant the four lattices and Δ. A W-invariant inner product $(\ ,\ )$ on V^* is normalized as $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ for a long root α and defines a linear isomorphism $\iota : \mathrm{V} \to \mathrm{V}^*$. For each root $\alpha \in \Delta$, we introduce a hyperplane $\mathrm{H}_\alpha = \{x \in V; \alpha(x) = 0\}$ and we denote by s_α the orthogonal reflections with respect to H_α. Since W preserves Δ, the family $\cup_{\alpha\in\Delta}\mathrm{H}_\alpha \subset \mathrm{V}$ of hyperplanes is invariant by W. A *chambre* of Δ is, by definition, a connected component of $\mathrm{V} - \cup_{\alpha\in\Delta}\mathrm{H}_\alpha$. Then, the following is known

Theorem 1 *(1) W acts simply transitively on the set of chambres.*
(2) If $\mathrm{H}_1, \cdots, \mathrm{H}_l$ are walls of a chambre C, for each i there exist a unique root α_i such that $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha_i} = \mathrm{H}_i$ and that α_i takes positive values on C.
(3) The set $\mathrm{B(C)} = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l\}$ forms a base of V^*.
(4) The set $S(\mathrm{C}) = \{s_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, s_{\alpha_l}\}$ generate W.
(5) Any root $\alpha \in \Delta$ is expressed as $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{l} n_i\alpha_i$ where n_i are all non-negative integers or all non-positive integers.

We choose and fix a chambre C. *(3)* and *(5)* shows that $\mathrm{B(C)} = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l\}$ forms a base of Q and that the subset $\{\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_l\}$ of V such that $\alpha_i(\mu_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ forms a base of $\mathrm{P}^\vee$ dual to B(C). *(5)* of the theorem shows that Δ is decomposed as a disjoint union of the set Δ_+ of *positive roots* and the set $\Delta_- = -\Delta_+$ of *negative roots* where a root is positive if it takes positive values on C. We see from *(1)* that there exists a unique element $w_0 \in W$ such that $w_0\Delta_+ = \Delta_-$. It is the longest element with respect to the length l on W determined by $S(\mathrm{C})$ where $l(w)$ is the minimun length n of such sequence $(s_{i_1}, \cdots, s_{i_n})$ of $S(\mathrm{C})$ that $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n}$.

The highest weight of the adjoint representation is called *highest root* and is denoted by $\tilde{\alpha}$. It can be shown that, for any $\alpha \in \Delta$, $\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha$ is a linear combination of elements $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l$ of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{C})$ with non-negative coefficients and in particular, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is expressed as $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} n_i\alpha_i$ for $n_i \geq 1$. We define a subset $\mathcal{J}$ of $\{1, \cdots, l\}$ by $j \in \mathcal{J} \Leftrightarrow n_j = 1$. Then, we see the following

Proposition 2 *$a \in \mathrm{P}^\vee$ satisfies $|\alpha(a)| = 1$ or 0 for any $\alpha \in \Delta$ if and only if $a = 0$ or $a = w\mu_j$ for $w \in W$ and $j \in \mathcal{J}$. Moreover, any $\mathrm{Q}^\vee$ orbit in $\mathrm{P}^\vee$ contains one and only one element of $\{0\} \cup \{\, \mu_j \,;\, j \in \mathcal{J} \}$.*

The latter part can be understood after we introduce the group $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$.

(2.2) Infinite Weyl groups. The *affine Weyl group* W_{aff} of a loop group LG is defined by $W_{\mathrm{aff}} = N_{U(1)\times\tilde{T}_G}/(U(1)\times\tilde{T}_G)$ where $N_{U(1)\times\tilde{T}_G}$ is the normalizer of $U(1)\times\tilde{T}_G$ in $U(1)\tilde{\times}\tilde{LG}$ where the group $U(1)$ of rigid rotations acts on $\tilde{LG}$ by $(u\gamma)(\hat{z}) = \gamma(u^{-1}\hat{z})$. We have the isomorphism $W_{\mathrm{aff}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(U(1), T_G)\tilde{\times}W \cong \mathrm{Q}^\vee\tilde{\times}W$. W_{aff} can naturally be considered as a normal subgroup of the affine Weyl group $W'_{\mathrm{aff}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(U(1), \tilde{T}_H)\tilde{\times}W \cong \mathrm{P}^\vee\tilde{\times}W$ of LH. The adjoint action of W'_{aff} on the Lie algebra $i\hat{\mathrm{V}} = i\mathbf{R}_{rot}\oplus i\mathrm{V}\oplus i\mathbf{R}_K$ of the torus $U(1)\times\tilde{T}_H$ and the action on its dual $\hat{\mathrm{V}}^* = \mathbf{R}\oplus\mathrm{V}^*\oplus\mathbf{R}$ is given by

$$e^{-ia\theta}w : (\, x \,, t \,, y \,) \in \hat{\mathrm{V}} \;\longmapsto\; \Big(\, x \,, wt - xa \,, y - \mathrm{tr}(awt) + \frac{x}{2}\mathrm{tr}(a^2)\Big) \in \hat{\mathrm{V}}, \tag{A.2.2}$$

$$e^{-ia\theta}w : (\, n \,, \lambda \,, k \,) \in \hat{\mathrm{V}}^* \;\longmapsto\; \Big(\, n + w\lambda(a) + \frac{k}{2}\mathrm{tr}(a^2) \,, w\lambda + k\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}a \,, k \,\Big) \in \hat{\mathrm{V}}^* . \tag{A.2.3}$$

This action preserves the following non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\hat{\mathrm{V}}^*$:

$$\Big((\, n_1 \,, \lambda_1 \,, k_1 \,), (\, n_2 \,, \lambda_2 \,, k_2 \,)\Big) = (\, \lambda_1 \,, \lambda_2 \,) - n_1k_2 - k_1n_2 \,. \tag{A.2.4}$$

An *affine root* of LG is, by definition, a weight of the adjoint action of $U(1) \times \tilde{T}_H$ on the Lie algebra $L\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$. The set $\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}} \subset \hat{\mathrm{V}}^*$ of non-zero affine roots is invariant under the action of W'_{aff} and is given by $\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}} = \mathbf{Z}_{\neq 0}\times\{0\}\times\{0\} \cup \mathbf{Z}\times\Delta\times\{0\}$.

The hyperplane $\mathrm{V}_x = \{x\}\times\mathrm{V}$ in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{aff}} = \hat{\mathrm{V}}/\mathbf{R}_K$ is W'_{aff}-invariant for each $x \in \mathbf{R}_{rot}$. Identifying V with V_{-1} we see that W'_{aff} forms an affine transformation group of V (see (A.2.2)). Since the family $\{\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\hat{\alpha}}\}_{\hat{\alpha}\in\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}}}$ of hyperplanes in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ is W'_{aff}-invariant where $\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\hat{\alpha}} = \{\, \mathbf{v}\in\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{aff}} \,;\, \hat{\alpha}(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \,\}$, the family $\{\mathrm{H}_{\hat{\alpha}}\}_{\hat{\alpha}\in\mathbf{Z}\times\Delta\times\{0\}}$ of hyperplanes in V is invariant under the affine action of W'_{aff}, where $\mathrm{H}_{(n,\alpha,0)} = \hat{\mathrm{H}}_{(n,\alpha,0)} \cap \mathrm{V}_{-1} \cong \{\, t\in\mathrm{V}; \alpha(t) = n\}$. We denote by $s_{\hat{\alpha}}$ the orthogonal reflection with respect to $\mathrm{H}_{\hat{\alpha}} \neq \emptyset$. An *alcôve* is, by definition, a connected component of $\mathrm{V} - \cup_{\hat{\alpha}\in\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}}} \mathrm{H}_{\hat{\alpha}}$. Then, we have the

Theorem 3 *(1) W_{aff} acts simply transitively on the set of alcôves.*
(2) If $\mathrm{H}_0, \mathrm{H}_1, \cdots, \mathrm{H}_l$ are walls of an alcôve $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$, for each i there exists a unique affine root $\hat{\alpha}_i$ such that $\mathrm{H}_{\hat{\alpha}_i} = \mathrm{H}_i$ and that $\hat{\alpha}_i$ takes positive values on $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$.
(3) The set $\mathrm{B}(\widehat{\mathrm{C}}) = \{\hat{\alpha}_0, \hat{\alpha}_1, \cdots, \hat{\alpha}_l\}$ forms a base of $\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathrm{V}^* \oplus \{0\}$.
(4) The set $S(\widehat{\mathrm{C}}) = \{s_{\hat{\alpha}_0}, s_{\hat{\alpha}_1}, \cdots, s_{\hat{\alpha}_l}\}$ generates W_{aff}.
(5) Any affine root $\hat{\alpha} \in \Delta_{\mathrm{aff}}$ is expressed as $\hat{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{l} n_i \hat{\alpha}_i$ where n_i are all non-negative integers or all non-positive integers.

A chambre C determines an alcôve $\widehat{\mathrm{C}} = \{\, t \in \mathrm{C}\,;\, \tilde{\alpha}(t) < 1\,\}$ which gives $\mathrm{B}(\widehat{\mathrm{C}}) = \{(-1, \alpha_0, 0), (0, \alpha_1, 0), \cdots, (0, \alpha_l, 0)\}$ where $\alpha_0 = -\tilde{\alpha}$. *(5)* of the theorem shows that the set Δ_{aff} is decomposed as a disjoint union of the set $\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}+}$ of *positive affine roots* and the set $\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}-} = -\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}+}$ of *negative affine roots* where an affine root is positive if it takes positive values on the alcôve $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$. The root vectors $e_{\hat{\alpha}}$ for $\hat{\alpha} \in \Delta_{\mathrm{aff}+}$ generate a Lie subalgebra of $L\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ corresponding to the subgroup N^+ of $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$.

W'_{aff} also acts on the set of alcôves and we denote by $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}} \subset W'_{\mathrm{aff}}$ the isotropy group at $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$. Then we see that W'_{aff} decomposes into semi-direct product of W_{aff} and $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$:

$$W'_{\mathrm{aff}} \cong W_{\mathrm{aff}} \tilde{\times} \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}} \; . \tag{A.2.5}$$

The subgroup $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ preserves the decomposition $\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}} = \Delta_{\mathrm{aff}+} \cup \Delta_{\mathrm{aff}-}$ which shows with the aid of *(5)* of the theorem that $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ permutes the elements $\hat{\alpha}_0, \cdots, \hat{\alpha}_l$ of $\mathrm{B}(\widehat{\mathrm{C}})$. Looking at the transformation rule (A.2.3), we see that the homogeneous part of $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ permutes the distinct elements $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l$ of Δ. Since the relative disposition of these $l+1$ roots is used to construct the extended Dynkin diagram, $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ can be identified with a subgroup of the group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms.

We describe the group $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ more explicitly. For each $i \in \mathcal{J} \subset \{1, \cdots, l\}$, we take a subgroup G_i of G sharing a maximal torus with G whose roots constitute the set $\Delta_i = \{\alpha \in \Delta; \alpha(\mu_i) = 0\}$. We think of its Weyl group W_i as a subgroup of W and we take the element $w_i \in W_i$ of maximal length with respect to the length determined by $S_i = \{s_\alpha \in W_i; \alpha \in \mathrm{B}_i\}$ where $\mathrm{B}_i = \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{C}) - \{\alpha_i\}$. Then a direct calculation shows the

Proposition 4 *The group $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ is given by $\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}} = \{\,1\,\} \cup \{\, e^{-i\mu_j\theta} w_j w_0 \in W'_{\mathrm{aff}}\,;\; j \in \mathcal{J}\,\}$.*

Note that the embedding $\mathrm{P}^\vee \hookrightarrow W'_{\mathrm{aff}}$ induces the isomorphism $\mathrm{P}^\vee/\mathrm{Q}^\vee \cong W'_{\mathrm{aff}}/W_{\mathrm{aff}} \cong \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$. Now we see that the latter part of the proposition 2 holds true.

Appendix 3. Orthogonality of Characters

We give a proof of the orthogonality formula between characters of integrable representations of the loop group which is essentially due to [16]. We use notations introduced in Appendix 2.

Let $\chi_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,u)$ be the character of the representation $L_{(\Lambda,k)}$ of the group $\tilde{LG_{\mathbf{C}}}$ defined in (5.2.6) and let $\mathcal{N}_G$ be the moduli space of flat connections of the (trivial) G-bundle on the torus which is realized by $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{C}}\big/(\mathrm{Q}^\vee+\tau\mathrm{Q}^\vee)\tilde{\times}W$. We shall prove the equation

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}_G}\prod_{j=1}^{l} d^2u^j\, e^{\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\mathrm{tr}(u-\bar{u})^2}\chi_{(\Lambda_1,k)}(\tau,u)\overline{\chi_{(\Lambda_2,k)}(\tau,u)}|\Pi(\tau,u)|^2=\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{V}/\mathrm{Q}^\vee)}{\left(\frac{\tau_2}{2}(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\right)^{\frac{l}{2}}}\delta_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2}\,, \tag{A.3.1}$$

where $u^1,\cdots,u^l$ are the coefficients of $u=\sum_{j=1}^{l}u^je_j$ with resect to the orthonormal base $\mathrm{tr}(e_ie_j)=\delta_{i,j}$ and the volume of the torus $\mathrm{V}/\mathrm{Q}^\vee$ is determined with respect to the metric 'tr'.

To prove it, we make essential use of the Weyl-Kac character formula

$$\chi_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,u)=\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{l(w)}\Theta_{w(\Lambda+\rho),k+\mathrm{g}^\vee}(u,\tau)\big/\Pi(\tau,u)\,, \tag{A.3.2}$$

where $\Pi(\tau,u)$ is the Weyl-Kac denominator defined in (5.1.16) and $\Theta_{\Lambda,k}$ is the classical Theta function of degree k with characteristic Λ given by

$$\Theta_{\Lambda,k}(\tau,u)=\sum_{n\in k\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mathrm{Q}^\vee+\Lambda}q^{\frac{1}{2k}(n,n)}e^{2\pi in(u)}\,. \tag{A.3.3}$$

As the fundamental domain of the group $(\mathrm{Q}^\vee+\tau\mathrm{Q}^\vee)\tilde{\times}W$ in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{C}}$, we take the following :

$$W\widehat{\mathrm{C}}\times(-\tau)\widehat{\mathrm{C}}\,, \tag{A.3.4}$$

where $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$ is an arbitrarily chosen alcôve. We introduce the real coordinates ψ^j and ϕ^j related to u by $u^j=\psi^j-\tau\phi^j$. Since $W\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$ is the fundamental domain of $\mathrm{Q}^\vee$, we have

$$\int_{W\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}\prod_{j=1}^{l}d\psi^j\,e^{2\pi i(m_1(u)-m_2(\bar{u}))}=\delta_{m_1,m_2}e^{4\pi\tau_2m_1(\phi)}\,\mathrm{vol}(W\widehat{\mathrm{C}})\,, \tag{A.3.5}$$

for $m_1,m_2\in\mathrm{P}$. With the aid of this relation, the integral $\mathcal{I}$ in the left hand side of (A.3.1) is expressed as

$$\mathcal{I}\;=\;\sum_{w_1,w_2\in W}\;\sum_{\substack{n_i\in(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mathrm{Q}^\vee\\+w_i(\Lambda_i+\rho)}}^{(i=1,2)}(-1)^{l(w_1)+l(w_2)}\int_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}\prod_{j=1}^{l}2d\phi^j\,e^{-2\pi\tau_2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\mathrm{tr}\phi^2}q^{\frac{(n_1,n_1)}{2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)}}\bar{q}^{\frac{(n_2,n_2)}{2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)}}$$
$$\times\delta_{n_1,n_2}e^{4\pi\tau_2n_1(\phi)}\,\mathrm{vol}(W\widehat{\mathrm{C}})\,. \tag{A.3.6}$$

Note that $\delta_{n_1,n_2} \neq 0$ only if $w_1(\Lambda_1+\rho) - w_2(\Lambda_2+\rho) \in (k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mathrm{Q}^\vee$. Since $\Lambda_i + \rho$ lies in $(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mathrm{Q}^\vee$ for $i = 1,2$ and since $\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$ is a fundamental domain of $W_{\mathrm{aff}} = \mathrm{Q}^\vee \tilde{\times} W$, we must have $w_1 = w_2$ and $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$. Then, the integral is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{V}/\mathrm{Q}^\vee)\,\delta_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2} \sum_{\substack{w\in W \\ n\in(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\,{}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mathrm{Q}^\vee + w(\Lambda+\rho)}} \int_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} 2d\phi^j\, e^{-2\pi\tau_2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\mathrm{tr}(\phi-n)^2} && \text{(A.3.7)} \\ &= \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{V}/\mathrm{Q}^\vee)\,\delta_{\Lambda_1,\Lambda_2} \int_{\mathrm{V}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} 2d\phi^j\, e^{-2\pi\tau_2(k+\mathrm{g}^\vee)\mathrm{tr}(\phi+\cdots)^2}\,. && \text{(A.3.8)} \end{aligned}$$

This Gaussian integral is easily seen to coincide with the right hand side of (A.3.1).

References

[1] M. F. Atyah and R. Bott, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 308A (1982), 523-615

[2] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B241 (1984) 333-380

[3] D. Bernard, Nucl. Phys. B303 (1988) 77-93, Nucl. Phys. B309 (1988) 145-174

[4] J.-B. Bost, Séminaire Bourbaki, 39ème année (1986-87) n° 676

[5] R. Bott, Ann. Math. 66 (1957) 203-248

[6] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chapitres 4,5 et 6, Masson 1981

[7] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch, "Semi-infinite cohomology in conformal field theory and 2d gravity", to appear in the proceedings of the XXV Karpacz Winter School of Theoretical Physics

[8] A. Cappelli, Phys. Lett. B185 (1987) 82-88

[9] S. K. Donaldson, J. Differential. Geom. 18 (1983) 269-277

[10] S. Elitzur, G. Moore, A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B326 (1989) 108-134

[11] T. Eguchi and H. Ooguri, Nucl. Phys. B282 (1987) 308-328

[12] G. Felder, K. Gawędzki and A. Kupiainen, Nucl. Phys. B299 (1988) 355-366, Commun. Math. Phys. 117 (1988) 127-158

[13] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. Letters, 42 (1979) 1195-1198, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 2584-2492

[14] K. Gawędzki, Nucl. Phys. B328 (1989) 733-752

[15] K. Gawędzki, "$SU(2)$ WZNW model at higher genera from gauge field functional integral", I.H.E.S. preprint, hep-th 9312051

[16] K. Gawędzki and A. Kupiainen, Nucl. Phys. B320 (1989) 625-668

[17] D. Gepner, Phys. Lett. B222 (1989) 207-212

[18] D. Gepner and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B278 (1986) 493-549

[19] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Commun. Math. Phys. 103 (1987) 105-119

[20] A. Grothendieck, Am. J. Math. 79 (1957) 121-138

[21] G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan, Math. Ann. 212 (1975) 215-248

[22] V. G. Kac, Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebra, 3rd edition, Cambridge 1990

[23] B. Kostant, Ann. Math. 74 (1961) 329-382

[24] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B247 (1984) 83-103

[25] W. Lerche, C. Vafa and N.P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989) 427-474

[26] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Math. Ann. 248 (1980) 205-239

[27] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B220 (1989) 422-430

[28] T. Nakatsu and Y. Sugawara Nucl. Phys. B405 (1993) 695-743

[29] M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, in C. P. Ramanujam : A tribute. Springer 1978

[30] M. S. Narasimhan and C. S. Seshadri, Ann. Math. 82 (1965) 540-567

[31] A. Pressley and G. Segal, Loop Groups, Oxford 1986

[32] D. Quillen, Funct. Anal. Appl. 19 (1986) 31-34

[33] A. Ramanathan, Math. Ann. 213 (1975) 129-152

[34] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, Ann. Math. 98 (1973) 154-177

[35] A. N. Schellekens and S. Yankielowicz, Nucl. Phys. B334 (1990) 67-102

[36] G. Segal, The Definition of Conformal Field Theory, preprint

[37] G. Segal and G. Wilson, Pub. Math. I.H.E.S., 61 (1985) 5-65

[38] E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B300 (1988) 360-376

[39] H. Weyl, Raum Zeit Materie, 5th edition Berlin 1923

[40] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 92 (1984) 455-472

[41] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 351-399

Index of Notation

A', A'' 29
A_a 21
A_γ 90
A_u 51, 52, 95
$\mathcal{A}_P$ 45
$\mathcal{A}_P^\circ$ 52
$\mathcal{A}_{ss}$, $\mathcal{A}_s$ 49, 50
ad$P$, ad$P_{\mathbf{C}}$, adP^* 24,29
ad$_G P$, ad$_{G_{\mathbf{C}}} P$ 29
α 27, 117
$\tilde{\alpha}$ 44, 118
$\hat{\alpha}$ 44, 106, 118
B(C), B($\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$) 44,117, 119
$B = B_0^+$ 38, 67
B^+ 38
b (ghost) 56
$b(h)$, $b_f(h)$ (‘Borel-part’ of h) 64, 71
$b_{\Lambda,\lambda}(\tau)$ 102
C 38, 117
$\widehat{\mathrm{C}}$ 41, 119
c (ghost) 56
$c(\check{h}, \check{g})$ 42, 116
c_λ 12
$c_{\lambda,V}$ 24
$c_1(L)$ 11
$\tilde{\chi}(z)$ 88
$\chi_{(\Lambda,k)}(\tau,u)$ 100, 120
D_0 21
d_a, d_μ 48, 49
Det_{H_+}, $Det^*_{H_+}$ 19
$\det' D^\dagger D$ 55, 101
$Diff S^1$ 16
$Diff_+ S^1$ 19
$\widetilde{Diff_+} S^1$ 20
Δ, Δ_Λ (conformal dimension) 22, 33, 43
${}^a\Delta$ 23, 28
Δ (set of roots) 27, 117
Δ_+, Δ_- 39, 117
Δ_{aff} 41, 118
$\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}+}$, $\Delta_{\mathrm{aff}-}$ 41, 119
δ_τ 97
E 24
$E(\zeta)$ 110
e_{I}, e^{I} 25
e_α 27
e_{a} 33
$e^{-kI_{\Sigma_0}(A_0,g)}$, $e^{-kI_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty,g)}$ 34, 35
$\epsilon_i(u)$ 53
η^{ab} 33
$\mathcal{F}_{H_+}$ 19
$Fl(H)$ 66
$Fl(P_x)$ 70
F_u, $F_{u,h}$ 55
F_v, $F_{v,h}$ 77
$\tilde{f}_t$, $f_t^\flat$, $f_t^\sharp$ 13, 32
Φ_Λ 39
ϕ, ϕ^i (modular parameter) 51, 96
φ 101
G, $G_{\mathbf{C}}$ 29
$\mathrm{g}^\vee$ 29
$\mathcal{G}_P$, $\mathcal{G}_{P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ 45
$\mathcal{G}_{P,G}$ 30
$G_w(z)$, $G^{z'}_\bullet(z)$ 95, 98
γO 66, 91
$\gamma O_\lambda(f)$ 91
g 10
g_0 22
g_τ 94
Gr_{H_+} 18
GL_{res}, $\widetilde{GL}_{res}$ 19, 19
$\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathrm{C}}}$ 41, 119
$\Gamma_\Sigma(g,h)$, $\Gamma_\Sigma(A,g,h)$ 34
$\tilde{\gamma}^*$ 37
γ_x 86
$\gamma\Lambda$, $\gamma\mathcal{E}$ 42, 43
H $(= G/Z_G)$ 29, 116, 117
H $(\subset G/Z_G)$ 45
H_+, H_- 17
$\mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,\pm)}$ 17
$\mathrm{H}^{(\lambda,V^*)}$ 26
$\mathcal{H}^{(G,k)}$ 38
$\check{\mathcal{H}}^{(G,k)}$ 39
$\mathcal{H}^M$ 68
$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ 89
h_a 21
h_γ 85, 90
$\tilde{h}$ 23, 41, 65
h_g 30
$I_{\Sigma,L}$ 10

I_E 24
$I_\Sigma(A,g)$ 29
$I_{\Sigma,P}(A,g)$ 29, 36
$\mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{J}_0$ 47, 48, 118
JacΣ 50
J (current) 10
$J(\epsilon)\mathcal{O}$, $\bar{J}(\epsilon)\mathcal{O}$ 12
$J^\sigma(X)$ 32
$J^\sigma_n(X)$ 37
$J(\tilde{\gamma})$, $\bar{J}(\tilde{\gamma})$ 37
$\mathcal{J}(\tilde{\gamma})$ 62
$:(J,J):$ 33
k 30
$k^{\mathbf{C}}$ 58
K 10
L 10
$\pounds_h$ (left translation on $Fl(H)$) 69
$L_v\mathcal{O}$, $\bar{L}_v\mathcal{O}$ 13
$L\mathbf{C}^*$ 19
$\tilde{L\mathbf{C}}^*$ 20
LG, $LG_{\mathbf{C}}$ 34
$\tilde{LG}_{\mathbf{C}}$ 34
$LU(1)$ 16
$\mathcal{L}^k_{\mathrm{WZ}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{*k}_{\mathrm{WZ}}$ 34, 35
$\mathcal{L}^{-1}_{\mathrm{WZ}} \to L\mathbf{R}$ 61
$\mathcal{L} \to LH_{\mathbf{C}}/LH$ 62
$L_{-\lambda}$ 67
$L_{(\Lambda,k)}$, $\overline{L_{(\Lambda,k)}}$ 39
$L_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ 68
$l(w)$ 118
Λ^* 39
λ (spin) 10
λ (weight) 43
M 45
μ_j 47, 48, 118
μ (type) 49
$\mu(E)$ (slope) 48
N_{T_G} 41
N_0^+ 38
N^+ 38
$N^{\lambda_3}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ 106
$\mathcal{N}_P$ 50
$\mathcal{N}_P^\circ$ 52
$\mathcal{N}_{P,x}^\circ$ 75
$\mathcal{N}_{P^{(j)},x}^\circ$ 80
$\mathcal{N}^\circ_{\mathrm{triv},x}$, $\mathcal{N}^\circ_{\mathrm{non-triv},x}$
(for $H = SO(3)$ and $\Sigma =$ torus) 83
$\nu_a(u)$ 53
$\nu_\alpha(f)$ 71
$\nu_{\mathrm{A}}(v)$ 77
$(O_\lambda)^\lambda_\lambda$ 68
$O_\lambda(f)$ 71
$|O_\Lambda\rangle$ 38
$\mathcal{O}$ 11, 30
$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ 73
$h\mathcal{O}$ 12, 31
$\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ 49
$\mathcal{O}_\Sigma^\times$ 49
$\mathcal{O}(x)^a$ 50
Ω 67
$|\Omega\rangle$ 90
$\Omega_\lambda(hh^*)$ 69
$\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P}(g;\mathcal{O})$ 57
$\Omega^M_{\Sigma,P,x}(g;\mathcal{O}\,O\,)$ 77
ω_z 14
$\mathrm{P}^\vee$ 21, 117
$\mathrm{P}^\vee_j$ 47
P 117
$\mathrm{P}^{(k)}_+$ 39
PicΣ 49
P, $P_{\mathbf{C}}$ 24, 29
$P^{(j)}$ 47, 48
$\mathcal{P}_{[a]}$ 47, 48
$\mathcal{P}_a$ 79
$\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_F$ 81
$\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_u$, $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}_{00}$ 81
$\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_u$ 81
$\Pi(\tau,u)$ 97, 120
ψ, ψ^i (modular parameter) 51, 96
ψ^m (section of $L_{-\lambda}$) 67
$\psi(z)$ 16
$\psi^{(+)}_n$, $\psi^{(-)}_n$ 15
$\psi^{\mathrm{I}(+)}_n$, $\psi^{(-)}_{n,\mathrm{I}}$ 25
ψ_S 19
$:\psi^\sigma_-(z)\psi^\sigma_+(w):$ 11
$:\psi^{(-)}_m\psi^{(+)}_n:$ 16
$:\psi_-\psi_+:$, $:\psi_-\partial_A\psi_+:$, $:\partial_A\psi_-\psi_+:$ 14
$\mathrm{Q}^\vee$ 44, 117
Q 99, 117
q $(= e^{2\pi i\tau})$ 80
q (charge or weight) 22, 27

${}^{a}q$ 23, 28
ρ 43, 64
S 15, 33
$S_{\Lambda,\Lambda'}$ 100
$\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{S}_d$, S 19
$\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ 104
Σ 10
Σ_τ 50
σ_V, σ_{ad} 25
$\sigma_F^{(1)}$ 81
$\sigma_u^{(0)}$, $\sigma_{00}^{(0)}$ 81
$\sigma_u^{(1)}$ 81
$\oint$ 71
T (energy-momentum tensor) 10
T (maximal torus),T_H 21, 117
T_G 41, 117
τ (geodesic) 14
τ (modular parameter), τ_2 50
tr 29
tr_P 29
${}^{\mathrm{tr}}\mu$ 42
$\vartheta(\tau,\zeta)$ 82
$\Theta_{\Lambda,k}(\tau,u)$ 120
u 51, 52, 95
υ 108
V, V* 38, 117
$\hat{\mathrm{V}}$, $\hat{\mathrm{V}}^*$ 43, 117
v 75
$\mathrm{W}_{\Sigma_0}^{(\lambda,\pm)}$ 17
W 38, 117
W_{aff} 41, 118
W'_{aff} 41, 118
w_0 39, 117
$w_j w_0$ 52, 80, 119
$w_\bullet$ 19
y_u 88
Z_G 29
$Z_{\Sigma,P}(g;\mathcal{O})$ 45
$Z_{\Sigma,L}(g,A;\mathcal{O})$ 11
$Z_{\Sigma,P}(g,A;\mathcal{O})$ 30
$Z^{G,k}(\tau,u)$ 99
$Z^{-\tilde{k}-2h^\vee}(\tau,u)$ 101
$Z_{\Sigma_0}(A_0;\mathcal{O}_0)$, $Z_{\Sigma_\infty}(A_\infty;\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ 33, 36
$Z_{\Sigma,P}^{\mathrm{gh}}(g,A;\mathcal{O})$ 56
$Z^{\mathrm{gh}}(\tau,u)$ 102
$Z_{\Sigma,P}^{\mathrm{tot}}(g,A;\mathcal{O})$ 70, 72
ζ 50
$(\ ,\ )$ on $\Omega^{p,q}(\Sigma,\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})$ 52
$\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ pairing of $\Omega^{1,0}_{(\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}})^*}$ and $\Omega^{0,1}_{\mathrm{ad}P_{\mathbf{C}}}$ 54
$(\ ,\ )_{Fl(H)}$ on $H^0(Fl(H),L_{-\lambda})$ 67
$(\ ,\ )$ on $\hat{\mathrm{V}}^*$ 118